November 20-23, 2010



List of Tables

1. Effectiveness of air passenger screening during recent travels	2
2. Awareness of changes to security procedures	3
3. Acceptability of full body scans	4
4. Concern about full body scan radiation	5
5. Comfort with full body scans	6
6. Opinion of full body scanner machine usages	8
7. Risk of terrorism justifies full body scans	10
8. Favor airport security measures	12
9. Oppose security measures	16
10. Effectiveness of new air travel security procedures	20
11. Decrease travel in response to security procedures	22

November 20-23, 2010



1. Effectiveness of air passenger screening during recent travels

Do you think the passenger screening procedures that you saw the last time you flew were too tight or not tight enough? Asked if respondent describes self as a frequent or occasional air traveler

			Air travel frequency	
	Total	A frequent air traveler	An occasional air traveler	Never flown
Too tight	23.0%	37.8%	21.4%	0.0%
About right	62.9%	55.4%	63.7%	0.0%
Not tight enough	14.1%	6.7%	14.9%	0.0%
Totals				
(Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%
	(808)	(75)	(733)	(0)

		Age				Race	e Gender			Education			
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	
Too tight	23.0%	26.2%	23.8%	16.4%	21.0%	30.4%	35.0%	26.5%	19.4%	21.3%	22.5%	25.4%	
About right	62.9%	67.0%	61.0%	63.3%	65.5%	46.6%	53.5%	61.3%	64.5%	60.3%	64.1%	64.6%	
Not tight enough	14.1%	6.8%	15.2%	20.3%	13.4%	22.9%	11.6%	12.2%	16.2%	18.3%	13.4%	10.1%	
Totals													
(Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
,	(808)	(184)	(491)	(133)	(670)	(77)	(61)	(379)	(429)	(268)	(288)	(252)	

		Party ID				Ideology			Regio	n		Family Income		
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too tight About right Not tight enough	23.0%	20.1%	21.9%	28.3%	28.1%	17.1%	24.0%	16.8%	22.3%	23.1%	27.2%	22.0%	22.6%	25.1%
	62.9%	60.5%	64.4%	61.3%	55.6%	67.8%	64.3%	66.9%	60.9%	63.1%	61.8%	64.3%	60.6%	64.6%
	14.1%	19.5%	13.7%	10.4%	16.3%	15.2%	11.7%	16.3%	16.8%	13.8%	11.0%	13.7%	16.8%	10.3%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(808)	(293)	(230)	(237)	(168)	(285)	(276)	(138)	(183)	(264)	(223)	(264)	(326)	(117)

November 20-23, 2010



2. Awareness of changes to security procedures

How much have you heard or read about changes in airport security that went into effect around November 1?

			Air travel frequency	
	Total	A frequent air traveler	An occasional air traveler	Never flown
A lot	57.1%	77.6%	60.4%	39.2%
A little	33.0%	16.5%	32.7%	39.5%
Nothing at all	9.9%	5.9%	6.9%	21.3%
Totals				
(Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	(995)	(74)	(731)	(189)

		Age				Race			nder	Education			
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	
A lot	57.1%	44.0%	58.4%	71.4%	58.2%	54.5%	49.6%	63.2%	51.4%	51.0%	59.8%	64.4%	
A little	33.0%	41.1%	32.5%	23.4%	32.4%	35.5%	36.3%	30.5%	35.4%	35.7%	31.9%	29.7%	
Nothing at all	9.9%	14.9%	9.1%	5.2%	9.4%	10.0%	14.1%	6.3%	13.2%	13.3%	8.3%	5.9%	
Totals													
(Unweighted)	100.0% (995)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (601)	100.0% (155)	100.0% (809)	100.0% (108)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (450)	100.0% (545)	100.0% (393)	100.0% (334)	100.0% (268)	

		Party ID				ldeology			Region				Family Income		
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+	
A lot	57.1%	56.0%	63.5%	59.9%	60.1%	59.0%	67.0%	55.1%	60.0%	51.8%	63.1%	51.1%	62.2%	71.0%	
A little	33.0%	35.8%	30.5%	30.9%	32.6%	31.9%	27.9%	36.3%	31.5%	36.6%	27.3%	34.7%	31.5%	26.2%	
Nothing at all	9.9%	8.1%	6.0%	9.2%	7.3%	9.0%	5.1%	8.5%	8.5%	11.6%	9.6%	14.2%	6.3%	2.8%	
Totals															
(Unweighted)	100.0% (995)	100.0% (356)	100.0% (263)	100.0% (295)	100.0% (194)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (314)	100.0% (176)	100.0% (225)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (251)	100.0% (375)	100.0% (375)	100.0% (122)	

November 20-23, 2010



3. Acceptability of full body scans

Some airports are now using full body scanner machines that send a naked image of you to be looked at by a TSA agent before you can proceed past the security checkpoint, would that be acceptable to you?

			Air travel frequency	
	Total	A frequent air traveler	An occasional air traveler	Never flown
Unacceptable	42.9%	34.9%	42.9%	45.7%
Acceptable	41.5%	48.7%	44.9%	27.0%
Not sure	15.7%	16.4%	12.2%	27.3%
Totals				
(Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	(998)	(75)	(733)	(189)

			Age			Race		Gei	nder	Education			
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	
Unacceptable	42.9%	57.7%	39.7%	32.4%	43.7%	38.4%	40.4%	45.1%	40.8%	38.9%	48.7%	42.8%	
Acceptable .	41.5%	31.3%	42.9%	51.2%	41.4%	41.7%	42.3%	43.1%	39.9%	42.3%	39.0%	42.9%	
Not sure	15.7%	11.0%	17.5%	16.4%	14.9%	19.8%	17.3%	11.8%	19.3%	18.8%	12.3%	14.3%	
Totals													
(Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (605)	100.0% (154)	100.0% (812)	100.0% (108)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (450)	100.0% (548)	100.0% (395)	100.0% (334)	100.0% (269)	

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Family Income		
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Unacceptable	42.9%	37.9%	43.1%	49.5%	48.9%	37.2%	45.6%	44.3%	40.2%	44.6%	41.9%	42.4%	43.4%	36.1%
Acceptable	41.5%	46.7%	44.8%	35.7%	40.4%	48.5%	41.2%	42.6%	44.7%	37.0%	44.0%	38.3%	43.3%	57.4%
Not sure	15.7%	15.4%	12.2%	14.8%	10.7%	14.3%	13.2%	13.1%	15.1%	18.4%	14.2%	19.2%	13.3%	6.5%
Totals														_
(Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (357)	100.0% (265)	100.0% (295)	100.0% (193)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (317)	100.0% (177)	100.0% (224)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (253)	100.0% (376)	100.0% (375)	100.0% (123)

November 20-23, 2010



4. Concern about full body scan radiation

Are you concerned about the radiation from full body scanning machines?

			Air travel frequency	
	Total	A frequent air traveler	An occasional air traveler	Never flown
Yes	43.5%	42.1%	43.4%	44.3%
No	39.3%	44.6%	42.0%	27.9%
Not sure	17.2%	13.3%	14.6%	27.8%
Totals				
(Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
-	(995)	(75)	(731)	(188)

		Age				Race			nder	Education			
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	
Yes	43.5%	47.0%	43.0%	40.1%	43.5%	41.6%	45.9%	41.9%	44.9%	37.9%	45.5%	50.5%	
No	39.3%	34.1%	40.4%	43.0%	39.7%	40.8%	33.0%	41.3%	37.4%	41.1%	39.3%	36.3%	
Not sure	17.2%	19.0%	16.6%	16.9%	16.8%	17.6%	21.0%	16.8%	17.7%	21.1%	15.2%	13.2%	
Totals													
(Unweighted)	100.0% (995)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (602)	100.0% (154)	100.0% (810)	100.0% (107)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (450)	100.0% (545)	100.0% (392)	100.0% (334)	100.0% (269)	

			Party ID			Ideology		Region			Fa	mily Income	е	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	43.5%	43.3%	44.6%	45.9%	48.2%	42.0%	45.0%	51.0%	39.9%	43.1%	42.1%	42.2%	44.1%	41.5%
No	39.3%	42.6%	43.3%	34.7%	42.3%	38.1%	40.7%	36.6%	46.5%	34.6%	41.1%	36.6%	40.3%	47.2%
Not sure	17.2%	14.1%	12.1%	19.5%	9.6%	19.9%	14.3%	12.4%	13.6%	22.4%	16.8%	21.3%	15.7%	11.3%
Totals														
(Unweighted)	100.0% (995)	100.0% (357)	100.0% (265)	100.0% (294)	100.0% (193)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (317)	100.0% (176)	100.0% (225)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (251)	100.0% (374)	100.0% (375)	100.0% (123)

November 20-23, 2010



5. Comfort with full body scans
How comfortable are you with undergoing a full body scan at an airport security checkpoint?

	Total 29.7% 25.3% 16.5% 22.5% 6.1%		Air travel frequency	
	Total	A frequent air traveler	An occasional air traveler	Never flown
Very				
uncomfortable	29.7%	25.4%	27.5%	39.1%
Somewhat				
uncomfortable	25.3%	19.4%	29.2%	14.4%
Not too				
uncomfortable	16.5%	19.2%	16.8%	13.9%
Not uncomfortable				
at all	22.5%	31.0%	23.6%	15.6%
Not sure	6.1%	4.9%	2.9%	17.0%
Totals				
(Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	(998)	(75)	(733)	(189)

			Age	·		Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very												
uncomfortable	29.7%	33.2%	30.7%	21.7%	29.6%	29.6%	30.9%	25.6%	33.6%	29.4%	33.0%	26.6%
Somewhat												
uncomfortable	25.3%	25.0%	24.3%	28.8%	26.6%	19.9%	19.0%	24.5%	26.0%	20.9%	25.8%	32.1%
Not too												
uncomfortable	16.5%	16.9%	16.2%	16.7%	17.0%	14.3%	14.1%	17.7%	15.3%	17.3%	15.1%	16.5%
Not uncomfortable												
at all	22.5%	19.6%	23.1%	24.5%	22.5%	20.8%	24.9%	26.8%	18.4%	22.6%	22.6%	22.2%
Not sure	6.1%	5.3%	5.7%	8.2%	4.3%	15.3%	11.1%	5.3%	6.7%	9.9%	3.5%	2.5%
Totals	·						·					
(Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
,	(998)	(239)	(604)	(155)	(812)	(108)	(78)	(451)	(547)	(395)	(335)	(268)



			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very														
uncomfortable	29.7%	27.0%	28.0%	34.4%	30.4%	24.0%	32.6%	28.6%	29.4%	31.9%	27.8%	30.9%	28.4%	26.1%
Somewhat														
uncomfortable	25.3%	26.1%	26.4%	25.4%	23.9%	28.8%	24.7%	22.6%	27.5%	22.6%	28.7%	21.5%	28.4%	21.3%
Not too														
uncomfortable	16.5%	17.0%	15.9%	16.0%	18.4%	14.9%	18.6%	17.1%	20.0%	15.0%	14.8%	15.6%	18.0%	20.5%
Not uncomfortable														
at all	22.5%	23.8%	25.5%	20.0%	25.5%	26.5%	21.0%	27.2%	19.2%	20.5%	24.9%	22.6%	22.0%	31.6%
Not sure	6.1%	6.1%	4.4%	4.3%	1.8%	5.8%	3.0%	4.5%	3.9%	9.9%	3.7%	9.4%	3.3%	0.6%
Totals														
(Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	(998)	(357)	(265)	(295)	(193)	(344)	(317)	(176)	(225)	(344)	(253)	(377)	(374)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



6. Opinion of full body scanner machine usages

Full body scans produce detailed pictures of travelers and items that are hidden on their bodies. It might have detected the explosives that were meant to be ignited on the Christmas Day Northwest flight from Amsterdam to Detroit. But many privacy advocates have objected to them. The scanners would not detect items within a person's body. What is your opinion of the use of full body scanners at airports?

		Air travel frequency							
	Total	A frequent air traveler	An occasional air traveler	Never flown					
They should be									
used for all									
passengers	30.8%	25.8%	32.1%	28.5%					
They should be									
used, but only on									
people who									
screeners think									
may pose a threat	36.8%	43.6%	39.6%	24.5%					
They should not be									
used	20.3%	15.3%	20.2%	22.5%					
Not sure	12.1%	15.2%	8.2%	24.5%					
Totals									
(Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
	(997)	(75)	(734)	(187)					

.					Race		Ger	nder		Education	
Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
30.8%	24.5%	30.9%	39.3%	28.5%	43.0%	37.9%	26.6%	34.8%	36.2%	29.1%	23.9%
36.8%	32.5%	38.7%	36.6%	39.3%	29.4%	22.1%	41.8%	32.1%	31.6%	40.3%	41.4%
20.3% 12.1%	31.0% 11.9%	17.6% 12.8%	14.0% 10.1%	20.5% 11.8%	14.2% 13.5%	26.8% 13.3%	24.0% 7.6%	16.8% 16.3%	16.3% 15.8%	22.2% 8.5%	24.8% 9.9%
	30.8% 36.8% 20.3%	30.8% 24.5% 36.8% 32.5% 20.3% 31.0%	30.8% 24.5% 30.9% 36.8% 32.5% 38.7% 20.3% 31.0% 17.6%	Total 18-29 30-64 65+ 30.8% 24.5% 30.9% 39.3% 36.8% 32.5% 38.7% 36.6% 20.3% 31.0% 17.6% 14.0%	Total 18-29 30-64 65+ White 30.8% 24.5% 30.9% 39.3% 28.5% 36.8% 32.5% 38.7% 36.6% 39.3% 20.3% 31.0% 17.6% 14.0% 20.5%	Total 18-29 30-64 65+ White Black 30.8% 24.5% 30.9% 39.3% 28.5% 43.0% 36.8% 32.5% 38.7% 36.6% 39.3% 29.4% 20.3% 31.0% 17.6% 14.0% 20.5% 14.2%	Total 18-29 30-64 65+ White Black Hispanic 30.8% 24.5% 30.9% 39.3% 28.5% 43.0% 37.9% 36.8% 32.5% 38.7% 36.6% 39.3% 29.4% 22.1% 20.3% 31.0% 17.6% 14.0% 20.5% 14.2% 26.8%	Total 18-29 30-64 65+ White Black Hispanic Male 30.8% 24.5% 30.9% 39.3% 28.5% 43.0% 37.9% 26.6% 36.8% 32.5% 38.7% 36.6% 39.3% 29.4% 22.1% 41.8% 20.3% 31.0% 17.6% 14.0% 20.5% 14.2% 26.8% 24.0%	Total 18-29 30-64 65+ White Black Hispanic Male Female 30.8% 24.5% 30.9% 39.3% 28.5% 43.0% 37.9% 26.6% 34.8% 36.8% 32.5% 38.7% 36.6% 39.3% 29.4% 22.1% 41.8% 32.1% 20.3% 31.0% 17.6% 14.0% 20.5% 14.2% 26.8% 24.0% 16.8%	Total 18-29 30-64 65+ White Black Hispanic Male Female HS or Less 30.8% 24.5% 30.9% 39.3% 28.5% 43.0% 37.9% 26.6% 34.8% 36.2% 36.8% 32.5% 38.7% 36.6% 39.3% 29.4% 22.1% 41.8% 32.1% 31.6% 20.3% 31.0% 17.6% 14.0% 20.5% 14.2% 26.8% 24.0% 16.8% 16.3%	Total 18-29 30-64 65+ White Black Hispanic Male Female HS or Less Some College 30.8% 24.5% 30.9% 39.3% 28.5% 43.0% 37.9% 26.6% 34.8% 36.2% 29.1% 36.8% 32.5% 38.7% 36.6% 39.3% 29.4% 22.1% 41.8% 32.1% 31.6% 40.3% 20.3% 31.0% 17.6% 14.0% 20.5% 14.2% 26.8% 24.0% 16.8% 16.3% 22.2%



		continued from previous page												
			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education			
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad		
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (997)	100.0% (238)	100.0% (605)	100.0% (154)	100.0% (812)	100.0% (107)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (450)	100.0% (547)	100.0% (394)	100.0% (334)	100.0% (269)		

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
They should be used for all														
passengers They should be used, but only on people who screeners think	30.8%	39.3%	27.6%	24.4%	30.4%	36.8%	24.8%	34.5%	33.2%	28.0%	30.0%	33.7%	30.3%	32.8%
may pose a threat They should not be	36.8%	30.2%	46.3%	37.9%	26.2%	35.3%	49.9%	31.2%	41.5%	34.2%	40.0%	29.4%	41.4%	46.1%
used	20.3%	19.8%	17.8%	25.6%	33.9%	16.4%	19.0%	22.1%	17.1%	22.4%	19.1%	21.8%	18.7%	16.2%
Not sure	12.1%	10.8%	8.2%	12.1%	9.6%	11.4%	6.3%	12.2%	8.2%	15.4%	10.9%	15.0%	9.6%	4.9%
Totals														
(Unweighted)	100.0% (997)	100.0% (356)	100.0% (265)	100.0% (295)	100.0% (194)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (317)	100.0% (177)	100.0% (224)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (253)	100.0% (375)	100.0% (375)	100.0% (123)

November 20-23, 2010



7. Risk of terrorism justifies full body scans

While some are concerned about the radiation or invasion of privacy from full body scanning machines, others say the risk of terrorism justifies these costs. What do you think?

	Total 35.4% 44.4% 20.2%		Air travel frequency	
		A frequent air traveler	An occasional air traveler	Never flown
Full body scans				
are not justified	35.4%	35.1%	36.2%	33.1%
Full body scans				
are justfied to keep				
us safe	44.4%	46.7%	46.8%	35.2%
Not sure	20.2%	18.2%	17.0%	31.7%
Totals				
(Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
,	(998)	(75)	(733)	(189)

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Full body scans												
are not justified	35.4%	46.5%	32.3%	30.0%	37.4%	23.7%	31.8%	42.1%	29.2%	31.0%	39.0%	38.8%
Full body scans												
are justfied to keep												
us safe	44.4%	34.3%	47.4%	48.7%	43.6%	57.6%	34.7%	41.9%	46.8%	46.6%	45.2%	39.8%
Not sure	20.2%	19.2%	20.3%	21.2%	19.0%	18.7%	33.5%	16.0%	24.1%	22.4%	15.8%	21.4%
Totals												
(Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
· - ,	(998)	(239)	(604)	(155)	(812)	(108)	(78)	(451)	(547)	(395)	(335)	(268)

			Party ID		Ideology			Region				Family Income		
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Full body scans are not justified Full body scans	35.4%	29.4%	38.1%	43.0%	44.0%	29.8%	41.7%	32.8%	35.0%	36.8%	35.8%	33.7%	37.5%	33.2%
are justfied to keep us safe	44.4%	50.8%	46.7%	37.4%	36.9%	50.9%	44.8%	44.4%	51.6%	41.8%	41.6%	45.4%	44.5%	51.1%



		continued from previous page												
			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	Э
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Not sure	20.2%	19.8%	15.3%	19.7%	19.1%	19.3%	13.4%	22.9%	13.4%	21.4%	22.6%	20.9%	18.0%	15.7%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (358)	100.0% (264)	100.0% (295)	100.0% (194)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (316)	100.0% (177)	100.0% (225)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (252)	100.0% (377)	100.0% (374)	100.0% (123)

November 20-23, 2010



8. Favor airport security measures

Do you favor or oppose the following security measures for air travel?

			Air travel frequency	
	Total	A frequent air traveler	An occasional air traveler	Never flown
Limiting				
passengers to a				
single carry-on				
bag	63.9%	52.1%	67.5%	56.0%
Not allowing				
passengers to				
leave their seats				
during the last				
hour of flight	38.9%	25.3%	39.4%	41.8%
Not allowing				
passengers to				
have any personal				
items during the				
last hour of flight	26.2%	8.9%	27.7%	27.3%
Requiring travelers				
to remove their				
shoes at security				
checkpoints	67.1%	62.1%	69.8%	59.7%
Not allowing more				
than 3 ounces of				
liquids	48.8%	46.6%	52.3%	37.3%
Checking IDs				
multiple times	77.8%	81.9%	81.0%	65.3%
Full body scanner				
machines that				
produce a detailed				
picture of travelers'		0/		
bodies	41.0%	43.2%	42.8%	33.7%
A traditional pat	0/	0/		
down	69.1%	75.0%	70.4%	62.2%
		continued	on the next page	



		continued	continued from previous page Air travel frequency							
	Total	A frequent air traveler	An occasional air traveler	Never flown						
An enhanced pat down which includes touching genitals and breasts	15.8%	16.9%	15.4%	16.5%						
Totals	(1,000)	(75)	(735)	(189)						

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Limiting passengers to a single carry-on												
bag Not allowing passengers to leave their seats during the last	63.9%	54.5%	66.4%	69.0%	66.6%	50.0%	56.3%	64.2%	63.7%	63.5%	64.6%	63.7%
hour of flight Not allowing passengers to have any personal items during the	38.9%	36.5%	39.4%	40.4%	39.2%	44.5%	28.1%	34.0%	43.4%	45.3%	38.9%	28.0%
last hour of flight Requiring travelers to remove their shoes at security	26.2%	17.3%	29.3%	29.0%	25.3%	32.1%	27.9%	25.5%	26.9%	31.6%	25.4%	18.2%
checkpoints Not allowing more than 3 ounces of	67.1%	66.2%	65.9%	72.4%	67.1%	69.4%	64.0%	63.6%	70.4%	70.5%	67.2%	61.3%
liquids Checking IDs	48.8%	49.5%	47.3%	52.5%	48.7%	46.4%	52.2%	45.7%	51.7%	47.8%	51.6%	47.3%
multiple times	77.8%	73.1%	79.4%	79.3%	79.7%	69.2%	70.2%	77.8%	77.8%	73.7%	80.3%	81.8%
						contir	nued on the ne	ext page				

November 20-23, 2010



						conti	nued from pre	vious page				
			Age			Race	•	Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Full body scanner machines that produce a detailed picture of travelers'												
bodies A traditional pat	41.0%	30.1%	43.4%	48.6%	40.0%	52.4%	35.5%	40.4%	41.5%	45.4%	38.3%	36.7%
down An enhanced pat down which includes touching genitals and	69.1%	70.2%	70.8%	62.1%	69.3%	80.4%	51.9%	72.2%	66.1%	65.6%	70.2%	73.5%
breasts	15.8%	14.7%	16.4%	15.4%	15.9%	19.4%	10.3%	18.7%	13.0%	15.9%	15.3%	16.1%
Totals	(1,000)	(239)	(606)	(155)	(813)	(109)	(78)	(451)	(549)	(396)	(335)	(269)

		Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fai	mily Income	•
Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
63.9%	64.1%	71.3%	62.4%	59.0%	66.8%	71.9%	65.3%	66.0%	61.5%	64.4%	61.2%	72.0%	63.1%
38.9%	40.5%	43.6%	32.6%	35.3%	35.4%	41.7%	42.2%	36.7%	41.9%	34.4%	41.5%	40.7%	30.0%
26.2%	28.1%	32.0%	20.3%	21.0%	26.2%	28.7%	29.6%	28.4%	23.2%	26.2%	29.8%	27.0%	20.4%
67.1%	70.3%	73.8%	61.6%	65.6%	66.1%	71.2%	74.9%	71.2%	62.9%	63.9%	66.5%	72.1%	61.2%
-	63.9% 38.9% 26.2%	63.9% 64.1% 38.9% 40.5% 26.2% 28.1%	Total Dem Rep 63.9% 64.1% 71.3% 38.9% 40.5% 43.6% 26.2% 28.1% 32.0%	Total Dem Rep Ind 63.9% 64.1% 71.3% 62.4% 38.9% 40.5% 43.6% 32.6% 26.2% 28.1% 32.0% 20.3%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib 63.9% 64.1% 71.3% 62.4% 59.0% 38.9% 40.5% 43.6% 32.6% 35.3% 26.2% 28.1% 32.0% 20.3% 21.0%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod 63.9% 64.1% 71.3% 62.4% 59.0% 66.8% 38.9% 40.5% 43.6% 32.6% 35.3% 35.4% 26.2% 28.1% 32.0% 20.3% 21.0% 26.2%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con 63.9% 64.1% 71.3% 62.4% 59.0% 66.8% 71.9% 38.9% 40.5% 43.6% 32.6% 35.3% 35.4% 41.7% 26.2% 28.1% 32.0% 20.3% 21.0% 26.2% 28.7%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast 63.9% 64.1% 71.3% 62.4% 59.0% 66.8% 71.9% 65.3% 38.9% 40.5% 43.6% 32.6% 35.3% 35.4% 41.7% 42.2% 26.2% 28.1% 32.0% 20.3% 21.0% 26.2% 28.7% 29.6%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest 63.9% 64.1% 71.3% 62.4% 59.0% 66.8% 71.9% 65.3% 66.0% 38.9% 40.5% 43.6% 32.6% 35.3% 35.4% 41.7% 42.2% 36.7% 26.2% 28.1% 32.0% 20.3% 21.0% 26.2% 28.7% 29.6% 28.4%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South 63.9% 64.1% 71.3% 62.4% 59.0% 66.8% 71.9% 65.3% 66.0% 61.5% 38.9% 40.5% 43.6% 32.6% 35.3% 35.4% 41.7% 42.2% 36.7% 41.9% 26.2% 28.1% 32.0% 20.3% 21.0% 26.2% 28.7% 29.6% 28.4% 23.2%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South West 63.9% 64.1% 71.3% 62.4% 59.0% 66.8% 71.9% 65.3% 66.0% 61.5% 64.4% 38.9% 40.5% 43.6% 32.6% 35.3% 35.4% 41.7% 42.2% 36.7% 41.9% 34.4% 26.2% 28.1% 32.0% 20.3% 21.0% 26.2% 28.7% 29.6% 28.4% 23.2% 26.2%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South West Under 40 63.9% 64.1% 71.3% 62.4% 59.0% 66.8% 71.9% 65.3% 66.0% 61.5% 64.4% 61.2% 38.9% 40.5% 43.6% 32.6% 35.3% 35.4% 41.7% 42.2% 36.7% 41.9% 34.4% 41.5% 26.2% 28.1% 32.0% 20.3% 21.0% 26.2% 28.7% 29.6% 28.4% 23.2% 26.2% 29.8%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South West Under 40 40-100 63.9% 64.1% 71.3% 62.4% 59.0% 66.8% 71.9% 65.3% 66.0% 61.5% 64.4% 61.2% 72.0% 38.9% 40.5% 43.6% 32.6% 35.3% 35.4% 41.7% 42.2% 36.7% 41.9% 34.4% 41.5% 40.7% 26.2% 28.1% 32.0% 20.3% 21.0% 26.2% 28.7% 29.6% 28.4% 23.2% 26.2% 29.8% 27.0%



							continued f	rom previous p	age					
			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Not allowing more than 3 ounces of														
liquids Checking IDs	48.8%	53.2%	54.4%	41.9%	46.5%	51.2%	51.9%	49.8%	50.5%	49.4%	45.7%	48.3%	50.8%	49.5%
multiple times Full body scanner machines that produce a detailed picture of travelers'	77.8%	81.0%	83.9%	73.6%	79.7%	78.8%	83.4%	77.0%	80.7%	77.6%	76.0%	72.0%	82.9%	82.4%
bodies A traditional pat	41.0%	47.0%	42.2%	35.0%	36.4%	46.7%	41.3%	39.5%	46.7%	37.3%	42.0%	41.0%	42.1%	49.6%
down An enhanced pat down which includes touching genitals and	69.1%	73.6%	71.1%	65.8%	71.9%	69.7%	69.4%	68.5%	73.8%	66.1%	69.3%	69.5%	68.1%	83.7%
breasts	15.8%	19.1%	13.8%	14.4%	13.5%	19.7%	14.2%	17.4%	16.6%	12.8%	18.1%	16.2%	16.2%	20.7%
Totals	(1,000)	(359)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(318)	(177)	(225)	(345)	(253)	(377)	(376)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



9. Oppose security measuresDo you favor or oppose the following security measures for air travel?

			Air travel frequency	
	Total	A frequent air traveler	An occasional air traveler	Never flown
Limiting				
passengers to a				
single carry-on				
bag	23.8%	40.1%	23.5%	18.8%
Not allowing				
passengers to				
leave their seats				
during the last				
hour of flight	41.2%	66.0%	43.4%	24.8%
Not allowing				
passengers to				
have any personal				
items during the				
last hour of flight	50.4%	80.0%	51.5%	36.3%
Requiring travelers				
to remove their				
shoes at security				
checkpoints	20.5%	34.4%	20.0%	17.4%
Not allowing more				
than 3 ounces of				
liquids	33.8%	38.2%	34.2%	30.9%
Checking IDs				
multiple times	12.3%	16.2%	11.4%	14.1%
Full body scanner				
machines that				
produce a detailed				
picture of travelers'				
bodies	39.8%	38.3%	39.7%	41.0%
A traditional pat				
down	17.2%	16.8%	17.9%	14.8%
		continued	on the next page	



		continued	from previous page Air travel frequency	
	Total	A frequent air traveler	An occasional air traveler	Never flown
An enhanced pat down which includes touching genitals and breasts	69.4%	77.1%	70.9%	61.9%
Totals	(1,000)	(75)	(735)	(189)

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Limiting passengers to a single carry-on												
bag Not allowing passengers to leave their seats during the last	23.8%	32.7%	21.6%	18.2%	21.9%	33.2%	29.3%	24.4%	23.2%	20.5%	25.0%	27.8%
hour of flight Not allowing passengers to have any personal items during the	41.2%	48.7%	40.0%	34.8%	42.9%	24.4%	46.2%	49.1%	33.8%	30.2%	42.8%	57.8%
last hour of flight Requiring travelers to remove their shoes at security	50.4%	69.1%	45.7%	39.5%	52.0%	37.6%	52.2%	55.5%	45.7%	37.8%	56.5%	64.7%
checkpoints Not allowing more than 3 ounces of	20.5%	22.9%	19.4%	20.8%	21.3%	15.8%	19.0%	25.6%	15.8%	15.5%	21.0%	28.4%
liquids Checking IDs	33.8%	34.5%	34.3%	31.4%	34.3%	35.1%	27.7%	39.0%	29.0%	32.4%	29.4%	41.2%
multiple times	12.3%	15.6%	10.7%	12.7%	11.1%	19.3%	14.5%	13.4%	11.3%	13.6%	10.1%	12.7%
						contir	nued on the ne	ext page				

November 20-23, 2010



						conti	inued from pre	vious page				
			Age			Race	•		nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Full body scanner machines that produce a detailed picture of travelers'												
bodies A traditional pat	39.8%	51.4%	37.2%	31.9%	41.4%	27.7%	40.3%	43.8%	36.1%	34.5%	44.2%	43.7%
down An enhanced pat down which includes touching genitals and	17.2%	16.1%	15.7%	23.2%	17.4%	6.8%	27.9%	16.7%	17.6%	17.2%	16.6%	17.7%
breasts	69.4%	73.4%	66.5%	73.4%	69.6%	66.1%	72.5%	67.3%	71.4%	66.5%	68.7%	75.1%
Totals	(1,000)	(239)	(606)	(155)	(813)	(109)	(78)	(451)	(549)	(396)	(335)	(269)

		Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fai	mily Income	;
Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
23.8%	24.7%	22.7%	25.0%	31.6%	22.6%	21.5%	22.4%	24.1%	23.4%	24 9%	23.2%	10 3%	31.9%
23.070	21.170	22.170	23.070	31.070	22.070	21.370	22.170	21.170	23.170	21.370	23.270	13.370	31.370
41.2%	38.5%	43.2%	47.0%	49.5%	45.3%	43.8%	42.5%	46.2%	34.3%	45.2%	32.2%	41.6%	62.8%
50.4%	48.9%	47.8%	57.8%	61.7%	53.8%	49.4%	44.8%	54.3%	45.8%	57.2%	41.6%	50.4%	72.3%
20.5%	18.6%	19.6%	25.8%	25.0%	23.3%	21.2%	14.6%	21.1%	20.9%	23.6%	17.9%	20.7%	28.9%
	23.8% 41.2% 50.4%	23.8% 24.7% 41.2% 38.5% 50.4% 48.9%	Total Dem Rep 23.8% 24.7% 22.7% 41.2% 38.5% 43.2% 50.4% 48.9% 47.8%	Total Dem Rep Ind 23.8% 24.7% 22.7% 25.0% 41.2% 38.5% 43.2% 47.0% 50.4% 48.9% 47.8% 57.8%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib 23.8% 24.7% 22.7% 25.0% 31.6% 41.2% 38.5% 43.2% 47.0% 49.5% 50.4% 48.9% 47.8% 57.8% 61.7%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod 23.8% 24.7% 22.7% 25.0% 31.6% 22.6% 41.2% 38.5% 43.2% 47.0% 49.5% 45.3% 50.4% 48.9% 47.8% 57.8% 61.7% 53.8%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con 23.8% 24.7% 22.7% 25.0% 31.6% 22.6% 21.5% 41.2% 38.5% 43.2% 47.0% 49.5% 45.3% 43.8% 50.4% 48.9% 47.8% 57.8% 61.7% 53.8% 49.4%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast 23.8% 24.7% 22.7% 25.0% 31.6% 22.6% 21.5% 22.4% 41.2% 38.5% 43.2% 47.0% 49.5% 45.3% 43.8% 42.5% 50.4% 48.9% 47.8% 57.8% 61.7% 53.8% 49.4% 44.8%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest 23.8% 24.7% 22.7% 25.0% 31.6% 22.6% 21.5% 22.4% 24.1% 41.2% 38.5% 43.2% 47.0% 49.5% 45.3% 43.8% 42.5% 46.2% 50.4% 48.9% 47.8% 57.8% 61.7% 53.8% 49.4% 44.8% 54.3%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South 23.8% 24.7% 22.7% 25.0% 31.6% 22.6% 21.5% 22.4% 24.1% 23.4% 41.2% 38.5% 43.2% 47.0% 49.5% 45.3% 43.8% 42.5% 46.2% 34.3% 50.4% 48.9% 47.8% 57.8% 61.7% 53.8% 49.4% 44.8% 54.3% 45.8%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South West 23.8% 24.7% 22.7% 25.0% 31.6% 22.6% 21.5% 22.4% 24.1% 23.4% 24.9% 41.2% 38.5% 43.2% 47.0% 49.5% 45.3% 43.8% 42.5% 46.2% 34.3% 45.2% 50.4% 48.9% 47.8% 57.8% 61.7% 53.8% 49.4% 44.8% 54.3% 45.8% 57.2%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South West Under 40 23.8% 24.7% 22.7% 25.0% 31.6% 22.6% 21.5% 22.4% 24.1% 23.4% 24.9% 23.2% 41.2% 38.5% 43.2% 47.0% 49.5% 45.3% 43.8% 42.5% 46.2% 34.3% 45.2% 32.2% 50.4% 48.9% 47.8% 57.8% 61.7% 53.8% 49.4% 44.8% 54.3% 45.8% 57.2% 41.6%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South West Under 40 40-100 23.8% 24.7% 22.7% 25.0% 31.6% 22.6% 21.5% 22.4% 24.1% 23.4% 24.9% 23.2% 19.3% 41.2% 38.5% 43.2% 47.0% 49.5% 45.3% 43.8% 42.5% 46.2% 34.3% 45.2% 32.2% 41.6% 50.4% 48.9% 47.8% 57.8% 61.7% 53.8% 49.4% 44.8% 54.3% 45.8% 57.2% 41.6% 50.4%



							continued f	rom previous p	age					
			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Not allowing more than 3 ounces of														
liquids Checking IDs	33.8%	30.2%	34.4%	40.2%	43.7%	32.0%	36.1%	32.6%	33.6%	31.3%	38.2%	31.7%	33.6%	37.8%
multiple times Full body scanner machines that produce a detailed picture of travelers'	12.3%	10.5%	12.2%	15.0%	15.4%	11.7%	10.9%	13.1%	14.4%	10.3%	12.7%	12.2%	10.8%	15.2%
bodies A traditional pat	39.8%	34.3%	43.5%	45.2%	44.0%	36.0%	44.0%	39.4%	36.4%	42.9%	38.9%	38.5%	41.6%	33.7%
down An enhanced pat down which includes touching genitals and	17.2%	13.6%	19.4%	19.9%	20.1%	18.2%	18.4%	15.2%	16.4%	18.5%	17.4%	15.1%	19.3%	10.8%
breasts	69.4%	66.2%	74.6%	71.6%	75.2%	66.8%	74.3%	67.6%	69.8%	72.4%	66.3%	65.8%	70.7%	73.1%
Totals	(1,000)	(359)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(318)	(177)	(225)	(345)	(253)	(377)	(376)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



10. Effectiveness of new air travel security procedures

Overall, do you think the recent changes in air travel security measures will make flying safer or not?

		Air travel frequency								
	Total	A frequent air traveler	An occasional air traveler	Never flown						
Won't make much										
difference	51.9%	65.1%	53.3%	42.2%						
Will make flying										
safer	30.0%	19.6%	32.6%	25.1%						
Not sure	18.1%	15.3%	14.1%	32.6%						
Totals										
(Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%						
	(998)	(75)	(733)	(189)						

			Age	Э		Race	Race		nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Won't make much												
difference	51.9%	46.9%	51.6%	59.6%	54.8%	33.3%	47.6%	60.5%	43.8%	45.8%	51.6%	62.3%
Will make flying												
safer	30.0%	33.0%	29.7%	26.9%	27.5%	45.1%	34.8%	25.0%	34.7%	31.6%	33.7%	23.1%
Not sure	18.1%	20.1%	18.7%	13.4%	17.7%	21.5%	17.7%	14.4%	21.6%	22.5%	14.7%	14.6%
Totals												
(Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	(998)	(239)	(604)	(155)	(812)	(108)	(78)	(450)	(548)	(395)	(335)	(268)

		Party ID				ldeology			Region				Family Income		
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+	
Won't make much															
difference	51.9%	45.6%	52.2%	65.2%	59.4%	49.9%	59.6%	49.0%	52.2%	51.0%	54.7%	43.1%	55.3%	67.6%	
Will make flying															
safer	30.0%	38.1%	31.5%	20.2%	25.5%	34.1%	28.5%	34.5%	33.2%	26.9%	28.5%	34.7%	30.0%	22.1%	
Not sure	18.1%	16.3%	16.2%	14.6%	15.1%	15.9%	11.9%	16.5%	14.6%	22.1%	16.8%	22.2%	14.7%	10.2%	
Totals															
(Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
-															



		continued from previous page											
	Party ID			Ideology			Region				Family Income		
Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
(998)	(358)	(265)	(294)	(194)	(344)	(316)	(176)	(225)	(344)	(253)	(377)	(374)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



11. Decrease travel in response to security procedures

Will you travel by air less frequently in the future in order to avoid being subjected to the new procedures, or not?

		Air travel frequency							
	Total	A frequent air traveler	An occasional air traveler	Never flown					
Yes	34.8%	20.7%	35.7%	37.2%					
No	65.2%	79.3%	64.3%	62.8%					
Totals									
(Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
	(992)	(75)	(731)	(185)					

			Age			Race		Gender			Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Yes	34.8%	37.4%	33.9%	34.4%	35.7%	29.3%	33.6%	35.0%	34.7%	34.4%	42.7%	26.7%
No	65.2%	62.6%	66.1%	65.6%	64.3%	70.7%	66.4%	65.0%	65.3%	65.6%	57.3%	73.3%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(992)	(239)	(599)	(154)	(808)	(107)	(77)	(449)	(543)	(390)	(334)	(268)

		Party ID				Ideology			Region				Family Income		
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+	
Yes	34.8%	25.9%	34.4%	43.9%	26.0%	33.3%	41.0%	33.6%	30.3%	39.8%	33.0%	34.6%	36.6%	23.4%	
No	65.2%	74.1%	65.6%	56.1%	74.0%	66.7%	59.0%	66.4%	69.7%	60.2%	67.0%	65.4%	63.4%	76.6%	
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
(Unweighted)	(992)	(354)	(265)	(293)	(194)	(341)	(316)	(177)	(225)	(338)	(252)	(374)	(374)	(123)	

November 20-23, 2010



Sponsorship The Economist

Fieldwork YouGov

Interviewing Dates November 20-23, 2010

Target population U.S. citizens, aged 18 and over.

Sampling method Respondents were selected from YouGov's PollingPoint panel using

sample matching. A random sample (stratified by age, gender, race, education, and region) was selected from the 2005–2007 American Community Study. Voter registration, turnout, religion, news interest, minor party identification, and non-placement on an ideology scale, were imputed from the 2008 Current Population Survey Registration and Voting Supplement and the Pew Religion in American Life Survey. Matching respondents were selected from the PollingPoint panel, an

opt-in Internet panel.

Weighting The sample was weighted using propensity scores based on age,

gender, race, education, news interest, voter registration, and non-placement on an ideology scale. The weights range from 0.6 to 3.6

with a mean of one and a standard deviation of 0.4.

Number of respondents 1,000

Margin of error \pm 3.4% (adjusted for weighting)

Survey mode Web-based interviews

Full results Available online at

http://today.yougov.com/news/categories/economist/.