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## 1. Effectiveness of air passenger screening during recent travels

Do you think the passenger screening procedures that you saw the last time you flew were too tight or not tight enough? Asked of respondents who have flown at least once

|  | Total | Number of flights in previous year |  |  |  | Number of flights in previous year |  | Reason for flying |  |  | Awareness of security changes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-6 | 7+ | Three or more | Flown since November 1, 2010 | Business | Leisure | Mix | A lot | A little | Nothing at all |
| Too tight | 20.6\% | 19.7\% | 20.4\% | 23.2\% | 22.2\% | 22.8\% | 21.2\% | 30.3\% | 18.3\% | 24.8\% | 24.0\% | 14.0\% | 15.4\% |
| About right | 63.2\% | 62.6\% | 63.1\% | 67.5\% | 58.8\% | 64.5\% | 69.1\% | 60.7\% | 64.5\% | 63.3\% | 59.5\% | 71.9\% | 62.7\% |
| Not tight enough | 16.1\% | 17.7\% | 16.5\% | 9.3\% | 19.1\% | 12.6\% | 9.7\% | 9.0\% | 17.2\% | 11.9\% | 16.4\% | 14.0\% | 21.9\% |
| Totals <br> (Unweighted) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (797) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (362) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (253) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (121) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (181) \end{gathered}$ | $100.0 \%$ <br> (88) | $100.0 \%$ <br> (49) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (261) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (124) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (517) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (226) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (54) \end{gathered}$ |


|  | Total | Age |  |  | Race |  |  | Gender |  | Education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18-29 | 30-64 | 65+ | White | Black | Hispanic | Male | Female | HS or Less | Some College | College Grad |
| Too tight | 20.6\% | 20.9\% | 21.7\% | 16.7\% | 20.1\% | 19.7\% | 27.5\% | 23.7\% | 17.6\% | 16.4\% | 22.5\% | 23.4\% |
| About right | 63.2\% | 68.3\% | 62.4\% | 59.5\% | 63.5\% | 64.2\% | 59.4\% | 63.4\% | 63.1\% | 65.7\% | 62.3\% | 61.5\% |
| Not tight enough | 16.1\% | 10.8\% | 15.9\% | 23.9\% | 16.4\% | 16.1\% | 13.1\% | 13.0\% | 19.2\% | 17.9\% | 15.3\% | 15.1\% |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (797) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (183) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (491) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (123) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (656) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (75) \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% <br> (66) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (390) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (407) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (256) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (283) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (258) \end{aligned}$ |



## 2. Awareness of changes to security procedures

How much have you heard or read about changes in airport security that went into effect around November 1, 2010?

|  | Total | Number of flights in previous year |  |  |  | Number of flights in previous year |  | Reason for flying |  |  | Awareness of security changes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-6 | 7+ | Three or more | Flown since November 1, 2010 | Business | Leisure | Mix | A lot | A little | Nothing at all |
| A lot | 57.5\% | 48.2\% | 68.6\% | 71.4\% | 80.5\% | 74.5\% | 71.8\% | 66.8\% | 70.6\% | 73.9\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| A little | 31.2\% | 35.5\% | 27.7\% | 25.8\% | 11.6\% | 21.0\% | 25.0\% | 26.6\% | 25.4\% | 23.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Nothing at all | 11.3\% | 16.3\% | 3.7\% | 2.9\% | 7.8\% | 4.5\% | 3.2\% | 6.6\% | 4.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (1,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (562) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (254) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (122) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (182) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (263) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (124) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (587) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (312) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (101) \end{aligned}$ |


|  | Total | Age |  |  | Race |  |  | Gender |  | Education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18-29 | 30-64 | 65+ | White | Black | Hispanic | Male | Female | HS or Less | Some College | College Grad |
| A lot | 57.5\% | 54.9\% | 58.6\% | 57.3\% | 58.5\% | 53.7\% | 52.4\% | 57.9\% | 57.0\% | 44.1\% | 63.3\% | 75.0\% |
| A little | 31.2\% | 26.0\% | 32.7\% | 34.1\% | 30.1\% | 33.0\% | 39.3\% | 31.5\% | 30.9\% | 37.9\% | 28.6\% | 22.1\% |
| Nothing at all | 11.3\% | 19.1\% | 8.7\% | 8.6\% | 11.3\% | 13.3\% | 8.2\% | 10.5\% | 12.0\% | 18.0\% | 8.1\% | 2.9\% |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (1,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (246) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (612) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (142) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (801) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (115) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (456) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (544) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (407) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (326) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (267) \end{aligned}$ |


|  | Total | Party ID |  |  | Ideology |  |  | Region |  |  |  | Family Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Lib | Mod | Con | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | Under 40 | 40-100 | 100+ |
| A lot | 57.5\% | 60.5\% | 60.9\% | 62.5\% | 68.3\% | 56.3\% | 67.3\% | 60.5\% | 50.6\% | 58.0\% | 60.2\% | 46.4\% | 62.1\% | 74.6\% |
| A little | 31.2\% | 30.7\% | 31.9\% | 29.8\% | 24.1\% | 36.9\% | 26.5\% | 30.6\% | 39.1\% | 27.9\% | 30.5\% | 34.8\% | 33.4\% | 19.7\% |
| Nothing at all | 11.3\% | 8.8\% | 7.2\% | 7.7\% | 7.7\% | 6.8\% | 6.2\% | 8.9\% | 10.4\% | 14.1\% | 9.3\% | 18.7\% | 4.5\% | 5.7\% |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (1,000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (367) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (256) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (281) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (196) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (350) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (314) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (177) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (206) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (384) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (233) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (367) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (396) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (133) \end{aligned}$ |

3. Perceived change in length of airport security lines

Last time you flew, were the airport security lines longer or shorter than usual? Asked of respondents who have flown at least once

|  | Total | Number of flights in previous year |  |  |  | Number of flights in previous year |  | Reason for flying |  |  | Awareness of security changes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-6 | 7+ | Three or more | Flown since November 1, 2010 | Business | Leisure | Mix | A lot | A little | Nothing at all |
| Much longer | 6.7\% | 7.5\% | 5.0\% | 5.9\% | 10.6\% | 7.5\% | 12.5\% | 5.6\% | 4.7\% | 9.2\% | 7.5\% | 5.6\% | 4.4\% |
| Somewhat longer | 15.4\% | 12.8\% | 19.6\% | 17.5\% | 11.0\% | 15.3\% | 16.3\% | 14.2\% | 18.6\% | 17.5\% | 16.7\% | 15.1\% | 4.7\% |
| About the same | 53.3\% | 44.8\% | 59.9\% | 62.1\% | 60.9\% | 61.7\% | 54.4\% | 67.4\% | 61.3\% | 56.6\% | 53.5\% | 57.1\% | 35.9\% |
| Somewhat shorter | 7.8\% | 6.8\% | 10.0\% | 8.7\% | 3.4\% | 6.9\% | 7.5\% | 8.3\% | 9.0\% | 8.2\% | 7.9\% | 7.1\% | 9.2\% |
| Much shorter | 6.5\% | 8.2\% | 4.3\% | 2.4\% | 12.5\% | 5.8\% | 7.3\% | 2.5\% | 4.5\% | 6.8\% | 6.4\% | 6.0\% | 10.0\% |
| Not sure | 10.3\% | 19.9\% | 1.2\% | 3.4\% | 1.6\% | 2.8\% | 2.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.0\% | 1.6\% | 8.0\% | 9.2\% | 35.8\% |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (802) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (364) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (254) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (122) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (182) \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% (88) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (263) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (124) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (519) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & \text { (227) } \end{aligned}$ | $100.0 \%$ (56) |



|  | Total | Party ID |  |  | Ideology |  |  | Region |  |  |  | Family Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Lib | Mod | Con | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | Under 40 | 40-100 | 100+ |
| Much longer | 6.7\% | 7.2\% | 7.5\% | 7.3\% | 4.6\% | 7.2\% | 8.6\% | 9.5\% | 2.5\% | 7.4\% | 6.9\% | 6.3\% | 6.8\% | 8.2\% |
| Somewhat longer | 15.4\% | 17.6\% | 15.3\% | 13.3\% | 14.2\% | 16.6\% | 15.9\% | 14.5\% | 12.4\% | 15.0\% | 18.9\% | 15.7\% | 18.3\% | 8.3\% |
| About the same | 53.3\% | 50.2\% | 55.9\% | 57.9\% | 59.0\% | 53.3\% | 53.3\% | 47.3\% | 61.0\% | 49.5\% | 57.3\% | 43.5\% | 57.0\% | 58.4\% |
| Somewhat shorter | 7.8\% | 8.1\% | 5.4\% | 9.3\% | 8.2\% | 7.4\% | 7.2\% | 5.2\% | 8.9\% | 9.5\% | 6.3\% | 8.0\% | 6.8\% | 9.4\% |
| Much shorter | 6.5\% | 7.1\% | 7.7\% | 5.5\% | 6.6\% | 7.0\% | 6.3\% | 8.6\% | 4.7\% | 9.1\% | 2.8\% | 8.3\% | 4.4\% | 10.2\% |
| Not sure | 10.3\% | 9.9\% | 8.2\% | 6.7\% | 7.4\% | 8.4\% | 8.7\% | 14.9\% | 10.4\% | 9.6\% | 7.9\% | 18.2\% | 6.7\% | 5.6\% |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (802) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (293) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (224) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (237) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (178) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (290) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (272) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (143) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (160) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (293) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (206) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (239) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (345) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (130) \end{gathered}$ |

4. Received or saw someone else receive a full body pat down

During your most recent experience going through airport security, did you personally receive, or did you see others receive a full body pat down? Asked of respondents
who have flown since November 1, 201

|  | Total | Number of flights in previous year |  |  |  | Number of flights in previous year |  | Reason for flying |  |  | Awareness of security changes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-6 | 7+ | Three or more | Flown since November 1, 2010 | Business | Leisure | Mix | A lot | A little | Nothing at all |
| I personally received a pat down | 24.1\% | 0\% | 28.0\% | 15.7\% | 31.3\% | 22.5\% | 24.1\% | 46.3\% | 18.8\% | 24.1\% | 21.4\% | 31.0\% | 31.8\% |
| I saw others receive a pat down No, I did not see anyone receive a pat down | $35.2 \%$ $40.6 \%$ | $0 \%$ $0 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ $42.8 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ $50.1 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ $26.4 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ $39.7 \%$ | $35.2 \%$ $40.6 \%$ | 29.1\% 24.6\% | $34.1 \%$ $47.1 \%$ | $38.4 \%$ $37.5 \%$ | $39.7 \%$ $38.9 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ $45.3 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ $44.1 \%$ |
| Totals <br> (Unweighted) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \% \\ & \text { (0) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (88) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (41) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (64) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (21) \end{gathered}$ | $100.0 \%$ <br> (3) |


|  | Total | Age |  |  | Race |  |  | Gender |  | Education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18-29 | 30-64 | 65+ | White | Black | Hispanic | Male | Female | HS or Less | Some College | College Grad |
| I personally received a pat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| down | 24.1\% | 22.1\% | 29.2\% | 0.0\% | 21.4\% | 17.4\% | 63.4\% | 26.9\% | 19.3\% | 37.4\% | 24.3\% | 19.6\% |
| I saw others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| receive a pat down | 35.2\% | 40.4\% | 29.5\% | 58.0\% | 35.9\% | 59.7\% | 11.0\% | 34.8\% | 35.9\% | 18.9\% | 35.7\% | 40.4\% |
| No, I did not see anyone receive a pat down | 40.6\% | 37.4\% | 41.2\% | 42.0\% | 42.7\% | 22.9\% | 25.6\% | 38.3\% | 44.8\% | 43.7\% | 40.1\% | 39.9\% |
| Totals | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| (Unweighted) | (88) | (15) | (62) | (11) | (75) | (5) | (8) | (59) | (29) |  | (25) | (48) |


|  | Party ID |  |  | Ideology |  |  | Region |  |  |  | Family Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Dem | Rep | Ind | Lib | Mod | Con | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | Under 40 | 40-100 | 100+ |
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|  | Total | Party ID |  |  | continued from previous page |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Ideology |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Lib | Mod | Con | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | Under 40 | 40-100 | 100+ |
| I saw others receive a pat down | 35.2\% | 36.2\% | 29.7\% | 40.9\% | 43.3\% | 36.2\% | 25.4\% | 60.8\% | 30.8\% | 27.3\% | 32.2\% | 35.4\% | 20.6\% | 45.6\% |
| No, I did not see anyone receive a pat down | 40.6\% | 38.6\% | 40.4\% | 45.9\% | 31.8\% | 44.9\% | 45.4\% | 19.4\% | 57.3\% | 42.4\% | 42.5\% | 42.1\% | 44.2\% | 40.9\% |
| Totals | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| (Unweighted) | (88) | (37) | (26) | (24) | (23) | (30) | (34) | (13) | (14) | (31) | (30) | (12) | (36) | (30) |

## 5. Received or saw someone else receive a full body scan

During your most recent experience going through airport security, did you personally go through, or did you see others go through a full body scanning machine?
Asked of respondents who have flown since November 1, 2010

|  | Total | Number of flights in previous year |  |  |  | Number of flights in previous year |  | Reason for flying |  |  | Awareness of security changes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-6 | 7+ | Three or more | Flown since November 1, 2010 | Business | Leisure | Mix | A lot | A little | Nothing at all |
| I personally went through a full body |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| scanning machine | 28.8\% | 0\% | 20.2\% | 30.6\% | 34.8\% | 32.5\% | 28.8\% | 23.9\% | 23.1\% | 36.8\% | 27.2\% | 31.7\% | 44.1\% |
| I saw others go through a full body scanning machine | 24.8\% | 0\% | 21.8\% | 11.1\% | 44.1\% | 26.2\% | 24.8\% | 35.6\% | 21.8\% | 25.1\% | 23.7\% | 24.0\% | 55.9\% |
| No, I did not see anyone go through a full body scanning machine | 46.4\% | 0\% | 58.0\% | 58.2\% | 21.1\% | 41.3\% | 46.4\% | 40.5\% | 55.0\% | 38.1\% | 49.1\% | 44.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Totals | 100.0\% | 0.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| (Unweighted) | (87) | (0) | (28) | (32) | (27) | (59) | (87) | (12) | (40) | (35) | (64) | (20) | (3) |


|  | Total | Age |  |  | Race |  |  | Gender |  | Education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18-29 | 30-64 | 65+ | White | Black | Hispanic | Male | Female | HS or Less | Some College | College Grad |
| I personally went through a full body scanning machine | 28.8\% | 30.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I saw others go through a full body scanning machine | $28.8 \%$ $24.8 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ $32.1 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ $23.3 \%$ | $47.1 \%$ $22.4 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ $21.9 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ $59.7 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ $39.0 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ $25.0 \%$ | $23.0 \%$ $24.4 \%$ | $25.1 \%$ $6.1 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ $40.6 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ $21.8 \%$ |
| No, I did not see anyone go through a full body scanning machine | 46.4\% | 37.1\% | 52.1\% | 30.5\% | 48.4\% | 22.9\% | 36.6\% | 42.8\% | 52.6\% | 68.8\% | 34.8\% | 46.2\% |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (87) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (61) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (74) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $100.0 \%$ <br> (8) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (58) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (25) \end{gathered}$ | $100.0 \%$ (48) |
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|  | Total | Party ID |  |  | Ideology |  |  | Region |  |  |  | Family Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Lib | Mod | Con | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | Under 40 | 40-100 | 100+ |
| I personally went through a full body scanning machine | 28.8\% | 32.2\% | 33.1\% | 20.3\% | 21.7\% | 31.7\% | 28.6\% | 27.5\% | 24.6\% | 24.3\% | 35.3\% | 6.4\% | 44.1\% | 21.7\% |
| I saw others go through a full body scanning machine | 24.8\% | 24.2\% | 36.1\% | 14.9\% | 31.6\% | 17.7\% | 27.2\% | 30.8\% | 24.2\% | 41.4\% | 6.6\% | 32.7\% | 19.3\% | 27.5\% |
| No, I did not see anyone go through a full body scanning machine | 46.4\% | 43.6\% | 30.7\% | 64.9\% | 46.7\% | 50.6\% | 44.2\% | 41.7\% | 51.3\% | 34.3\% | 58.1\% | 61.0\% | 36.6\% | 50.8\% |
| Totals | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| (Unweighted) |  |  |  |  | (22) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (12) |  | (30) |

## 6. Comfort with full body scans after seeing full body scan images

The above picture was produced from a full body scanning machine. Having seen this image, do you feel comfortable receiving a full body scan at an airport security checkpoint? One of the above pictures was randomly selected to show the respondent

|  | Total | Number of flights in previous year |  |  |  | Number of flights in previous year |  | Reason for flying |  |  | Awareness of security changes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-6 | 7+ | Three or more | Flown since November 1, 2010 | Business | Leisure | Mix | A lot | A little | Nothing at all |
| Very uncomfortable | 38.8\% | 44.8\% | 30.0\% | 32.3\% | 24.6\% | 29.7\% | 30.4\% | 28.0\% | 29.3\% | 31.8\% | 39.5\% | 33.2\% | 50.7\% |
| Somewhat uncomfortable | 20.8\% | 17.5\% | 25.1\% | 27.5\% | 23.8\% | 26.2\% | 28.6\% | 25.2\% | 27.0\% | 22.6\% | 21.7\% | 21.8\% | 12.9\% |
| Not too uncomfortable | 15.6\% | 14.3\% | 20.1\% | 15.9\% | 11.6\% | 14.4\% | 16.7\% | 25.8\% | 16.7\% | 16.6\% | 14.4\% | 18.8\% | 13.0\% |
| Not uncomfortable at all | 20.8\% | 17.3\% | 23.8\% | 24.3\% | 38.1\% | 29.0\% | 23.2\% | 21.0\% | 26.2\% | 27.6\% | 21.7\% | 21.7\% | 13.8\% |
| Not sure | 4.0\% | 6.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.5\% | 2.6\% | 4.5\% | 9.5\% |
| Totals <br> (Unweighted) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (999) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (561) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (254) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (122) \end{aligned}$ | $100.0 \%$ <br> (60) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (182) \end{gathered}$ | $100.0 \%$ <br> (88) | $100.0 \%$ <br> (49) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (263) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (124) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (587) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (312) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (100) \end{gathered}$ |


|  | Total | Age |  |  | Race |  |  | Gender |  | Education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18-29 | 30-64 | $65+$ | White | Black | Hispanic | Male | Female | HS or Less | Some College | College Grad |
| Very uncomfortable | 38.8\% | 44.6\% | 37.6\% | 34.1\% | 37.2\% | 40.6\% | 51.8\% | 32.8\% | 44.3\% | 40.5\% | 41.2\% | 32.5\% |
| Somewhat uncomfortable | 20.8\% | 18.9\% | 22.7\% | 16.5\% | 20.6\% | 20.5\% | 22.2\% | 21.8\% | 19.8\% | 18.1\% | 21.7\% | 24.4\% |
| Not too uncomfortable | 15.6\% | 14.7\% | 15.1\% | 19.0\% | 16.9\% | 10.7\% | 10.5\% | 16.9\% | 14.5\% | 14.3\% | 16.2\% | 17.4\% |
| Not uncomfortable at all | 20.8\% | 17.4\% | 21.0\% | 25.7\% | 21.9\% | 19.5\% | 12.1\% | 24.1\% | 17.9\% | 19.6\% | 19.5\% | 24.9\% |
| Not sure | 4.0\% | 4.5\% | 3.6\% | 4.6\% | 3.3\% | 8.6\% | 3.4\% | 4.5\% | 3.5\% | 7.4\% | 1.4\% | 0.8\% |
| Totals <br> (Unweighted) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (999) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (245) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (612) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (142) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (801) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (114) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (84) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (455) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (544) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (407) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (325) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (267) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
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|  | Total | Party ID |  |  | continued from previous page |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Ideology |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Lib | Mod | Con | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | Under 40 | 40-100 | 100+ |
|  |  | Party ID |  |  | Ideology |  |  | Region |  |  |  | Family Income |  |  |
|  | Total | Dem | Rep | Ind | Lib | Mod | Con | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | Under 40 | 40-100 | 100+ |
| Very uncomfortable | 38.8\% | 33.9\% | 42.8\% | 38.3\% | 26.7\% | 35.7\% | 43.2\% | 34.8\% | 34.9\% | 42.4\% | 39.4\% | 43.3\% | 38.0\% | 25.2\% |
| Somewhat uncomfortable | 20.8\% | 20.6\% | 24.0\% | 21.6\% | 23.9\% | 22.1\% | 23.2\% | 19.5\% | 22.6\% | 19.9\% | 21.7\% | 16.9\% | 22.1\% | 27.2\% |
| Not too uncomfortable | 15.6\% | 16.9\% | 14.8\% | 16.5\% | 19.9\% | 19.1\% | 12.4\% | 19.8\% | 17.0\% | 14.4\% | 13.0\% | 12.6\% | 18.5\% | 16.8\% |
| Not uncomfortable at all | 20.8\% | 25.3\% | 18.2\% | 19.8\% | 27.1\% | 21.5\% | 19.1\% | 21.9\% | 22.2\% | 18.6\% | 22.6\% | 20.0\% | 20.1\% | 28.6\% |
| Not sure | 4.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.3\% | 3.8\% | 2.4\% | 1.6\% | 2.2\% | 4.0\% | 3.3\% | 4.6\% | 3.3\% | 7.2\% | 1.3\% | 2.1\% |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (999) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (366) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (256) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (281) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (195) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (350) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (314) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (177) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (206) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & \text { (383) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (233) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (367) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (395) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (133) \end{gathered}$ |

7. Concerned about full body scan radiation

Are you concerned about the radiation from full body scanning machines?

|  | Total | Number of flights in previous year |  |  |  | Number of flights in previous year |  | Reason for flying |  |  | Awareness of security changes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-6 | 7+ | Three or more | Flown since November 1, 2010 | Business | Leisure | Mix | A lot | A little | Nothing at all |
| Yes | 46.3\% | 47.2\% | 40.9\% | 52.6\% | 47.0\% | 50.7\% | 56.9\% | 45.4\% | 41.6\% | 52.6\% | 51.3\% | 41.1\% | 34.6\% |
| No | 38.5\% | 33.8\% | 45.5\% | 43.2\% | 46.9\% | 44.4\% | 39.7\% | 44.0\% | 47.3\% | 40.6\% | 38.0\% | 41.8\% | 31.9\% |
| Not sure | 15.3\% | 19.0\% | 13.6\% | 4.2\% | 6.1\% | 4.8\% | 3.3\% | 10.6\% | 11.1\% | 6.8\% | 10.7\% | 17.0\% | 33.4\% |
| Totals <br> (Unweighted) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (999) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (562) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (253) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (122) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (182) \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% <br> (88) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (263) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (123) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (587) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (311) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (101) \end{gathered}$ |


|  | Total | Age |  |  | Race |  |  | Gender |  | Education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18-29 | 30-64 | 65+ | White | Black | Hispanic | Male | Female | HS or Less | Some College | College Grad |
| Yes | 46.3\% | 45.3\% | 48.1\% | 41.4\% | 43.9\% | 52.4\% | 60.6\% | 45.4\% | 47.0\% | 44.0\% | 51.9\% | 43.3\% |
| No | 38.5\% | 35.5\% | 38.2\% | 44.1\% | 41.7\% | 27.6\% | 23.4\% | 41.8\% | 35.4\% | 35.4\% | 37.9\% | 45.0\% |
| Not sure | 15.3\% | 19.2\% | 13.8\% | 14.5\% | 14.4\% | 20.1\% | 16.0\% | 12.8\% | 17.5\% | 20.6\% | 10.2\% | 11.8\% |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (999) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (245) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (612) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (142) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (800) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (115) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (455) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (544) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (407) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (325) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (267) \end{gathered}$ |


|  | Total | Party ID |  |  | Ideology |  |  | Region |  |  |  | Family Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Lib | Mod | Con | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | Under 40 | 40-100 | 100+ |
| Yes | 46.3\% | 46.0\% | 47.8\% | 48.7\% | 42.3\% | 44.0\% | 54.0\% | 47.4\% | 40.0\% | 47.3\% | 49.2\% | 46.1\% | 45.9\% | 50.3\% |
| No | 38.5\% | 41.1\% | 41.1\% | 35.4\% | 43.5\% | 42.2\% | 35.3\% | 39.7\% | 42.2\% | 37.0\% | 36.7\% | 35.9\% | 40.9\% | 43.5\% |
| Not sure | 15.3\% | 12.9\% | 11.1\% | 15.9\% | 14.1\% | 13.8\% | 10.7\% | 12.9\% | 17.8\% | 15.7\% | 14.1\% | 18.0\% | 13.3\% | 6.2\% |
| Totals <br> (Unweighted) | 100.0\% <br> (999) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (367) \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% <br> (255) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (281) \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% <br> (196) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (350) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (313) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (177) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (206) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (383) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (233) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (367) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (395) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (133) \end{gathered}$ |

## 8. Comfort with full body scans after seeing full body scan images

The above picture was produced from a full body scanning machine. Having seen this image, do you feel comfortable receiving a full body scan at an airport security checkpoint? One of the above pictures was randomly selected to show the responden

|  | Total | Number of flights in previous year |  |  |  | Number of flights in previous year |  | Reason for flying |  |  | Awareness of security changes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-6 | 7+ | Three or more | Flown since November 1, 2010 | Business | Leisure | Mix | A lot | A little | Nothing at all |
| Very |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| uncomfortable | 38.8\% | 44.8\% | 30.0\% | 32.3\% | 24.6\% | 29.7\% | 30.4\% | 28.0\% | 29.3\% | 31.8\% | 39.5\% | $33.2 \%$ | 50.7\% |
| Somewhat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| uncomfortable | 20.8\% | 17.5\% | 25.1\% | 27.5\% | 23.8\% | 26.2\% | 28.6\% | 25.2\% | 27.0\% | 22.6\% | 21.7\% | 21.8\% | 12.9\% |
| Not too |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| at all | 20.8\% | 17.3\% | 23.8\% | 24.3\% | 38.1\% | 29.0\% | 23.2\% | 21.0\% | 26.2\% | 27.6\% | 21.7\% | 21.7\% | 13.8\% |
| Not sure | 4.0\% | 6.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.5\% | 2.6\% | 4.5\% | 9.5\% |
| Totals | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| (Unweighted) | (999) | (561) | (254) | (122) | (60) | (182) | (88) | (49) | (263) | (124) | (587) | (312) | (100) |
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|  | Total | Party ID |  |  | continued from previous page |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Ideology |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Lib | Mod | Con | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | Under 40 | 40-100 | 100+ |
|  |  | Party ID |  |  | Ideology |  |  | Region |  |  |  | Family Income |  |  |
|  | Total | Dem | Rep | Ind | Lib | Mod | Con | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | Under 40 | 40-100 | 100+ |
| Very uncomfortable | 38.8\% | 33.9\% | 42.8\% | 38.3\% | 26.7\% | 35.7\% | 43.2\% | 34.8\% | 34.9\% | 42.4\% | 39.4\% | 43.3\% | 38.0\% | 25.2\% |
| Somewhat uncomfortable | 20.8\% | 20.6\% | 24.0\% | 21.6\% | 23.9\% | 22.1\% | 23.2\% | 19.5\% | 22.6\% | 19.9\% | 21.7\% | 16.9\% | 22.1\% | 27.2\% |
| Not too uncomfortable | 15.6\% | 16.9\% | 14.8\% | 16.5\% | 19.9\% | 19.1\% | 12.4\% | 19.8\% | 17.0\% | 14.4\% | 13.0\% | 12.6\% | 18.5\% | 16.8\% |
| Not uncomfortable at all | 20.8\% | 25.3\% | 18.2\% | 19.8\% | 27.1\% | 21.5\% | 19.1\% | 21.9\% | 22.2\% | 18.6\% | 22.6\% | 20.0\% | 20.1\% | 28.6\% |
| Not sure | 4.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.3\% | 3.8\% | 2.4\% | 1.6\% | 2.2\% | 4.0\% | 3.3\% | 4.6\% | 3.3\% | 7.2\% | 1.3\% | 2.1\% |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (999) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (366) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (256) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (281) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (195) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (350) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (314) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (177) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (206) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (383) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (233) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (367) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (395) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (133) \end{gathered}$ |

## 9. Full body scanner machines at airports

Full body scans produce detailed pictures of travelers and items that are hidden on their bodies. It might have detected the explosives that were meant to be ignited on the Northwest flight from Amsterdam to Detroit last Christmas. But many privacy advocates have objected to them. The scanners would not detect items within a person's body. What is your opinion of the use of full body scanners at airports?

|  | Total | Number of flights in previous year |  |  |  | Number of flights in previous year |  | Reason for flying |  |  | Awareness of security changes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-6 | 7+ | Three or more | Flown since November 1, 2010 | Business | Leisure | Mix | A lot | A little | Nothing at all |
| They should be used for all passengers | 26.5\% | 25.9\% | 29.6\% | 22.0\% | 30.4\% | 24.8\% | 21.8\% | 23.8\% | 30.7\% | 22.2\% | 25.2\% | 29.9\% | 24.4\% |
| They should be used, but only on people who screeners think |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| may pose a threat | 41.3\% | 37.3\% | 45.9\% | 48.3\% | 49.4\% | 48.7\% | 61.1\% | 46.5\% | 46.0\% | 49.7\% | 46.4\% | 40.5\% | 17.7\% |
| They should not be used | 20.1\% | 21.7\% | 15.0\% | 24.4\% | 14.9\% | 21.2\% | 14.9\% | 17.3\% | 16.7\% | 19.9\% | 22.2\% | 13.4\% | 27.2\% |
| Not sure | 12.1\% | 15.1\% | 9.5\% | 5.3\% | 5.3\% | 5.3\% | 2.2\% | 12.4\% | 6.6\% | 8.2\% | 6.2\% | 16.2\% | 30.7\% |
| Totals | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| (Unweighted) | (997) | (562) | (253) | (121) | (60) | (181) | (88) | (49) | (261) | (124) | (584) | (312) | (101) |


|  | Total | Age |  |  | Race |  |  | Gender |  | Education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18-29 | 30-64 | 65+ | White | Black | Hispanic | Male | Female | HS or Less | Some College | College Grad |
| They should be used for all passengers | 26.5\% | 21.3\% | 26.1\% | 36.2\% | 28.0\% | 23.2\% | 16.9\% | 24.9\% | 28.1\% | 26.8\% | 25.0\% | 28.0\% |
| They should be used, but only on people who screeners think may pose a threat | 41.3\% | 35.3\% | 43.3\% | 43.2\% | 40.7\% | 41.3\% | 47.4\% | 44.4\% | 38.5\% | 39.5\% | 41.1\% | 45.0\% |
| They should not be used | 20.1\% | 28.3\% | 18.9\% | 11.5\% | 19.5\% | 21.1\% | 24.2\% | 20.0\% | 20.1\% | 17.1\% | 26.7\% | 17.3\% |
| Not sure | 12.1\% | 15.1\% | 11.7\% | 9.2\% | 11.8\% | 14.5\% | 11.5\% | 10.8\% | 13.3\% | 16.7\% | 7.3\% | 9.7\% |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (997) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (243) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (612) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (142) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (798) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (115) \end{gathered}$ | $100.0 \%$ <br> (84) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (455) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (542) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (406) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (325) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (266) \end{gathered}$ |
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|  | Total | Party ID |  |  | Ideology |  |  | Region |  |  |  | Family Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Lib | Mod | Con | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | Under 40 | 40-100 | 100+ |
| They should be used for all passengers | 26.5\% | 32.3\% | 21.1\% | 21.8\% | 31.7\% | 29.7\% | 19.8\% | 31.5\% | 26.4\% | 26.8\% | 22.1\% | 30.6\% | 26.0\% | 23.0\% |
| They should be used, but only on people who screeners think |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| may pose a threat | 41.3\% | 37.0\% | 53.1\% | 46.4\% | 33.7\% | 44.6\% | 53.2\% | 40.8\% | 46.0\% | 39.2\% | 41.1\% | 33.1\% | 44.7\% | 50.2\% |
| They should not be used | 20.1\% | 19.8\% | 16.5\% | 20.0\% | 22.2\% | 15.1\% | 20.9\% | 14.5\% | 16.0\% | 21.5\% | 25.8\% | 21.1\% | 19.0\% | 19.9\% |
| Not sure | 12.1\% | 10.8\% | 9.3\% | 11.7\% | 12.3\% | 10.7\% | 6.1\% | 13.2\% | 11.6\% | 12.5\% | 11.0\% | 15.3\% | 10.3\% | 7.0\% |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (997) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (367) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (255) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (280) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (195) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (348) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (314) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (176) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (206) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (383) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (232) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (366) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (395) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (133) \end{gathered}$ |

10. Risk of terrorism justifies full body scans

While some are concerned about the radiation or invasion of privacy from full body scanning machines, others say the risk of terrorism justifies these costs. What do you think?

|  | Total | Number of flights in previous year |  |  |  | Number of flights in previous year |  | Reason for flying |  |  | Awareness of security changes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-6 | 7+ | Three or more | Flown since November 1, 2010 | Business | Leisure | Mix | A lot | A little | Nothing at all |
| Full body scans are not justified | 35.5\% | 34.3\% | 37.5\% | 38.2\% | 33.6\% | 36.6\% | 36.8\% | 34.7\% | 33.7\% | 45.4\% | 40.2\% | 28.6\% | 30.3\% |
| Full body scans are justified to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| keep us safe | 43.5\% | 41.2\% | 46.5\% | 43.4\% | 54.7\% | 47.2\% | 49.2\% | 49.3\% | 49.7\% | 39.6\% | 44.7\% | 45.4\% | 31.8\% |
| Not sure | 21.1\% | 24.5\% | 16.0\% | 18.4\% | 11.7\% | 16.2\% | 13.9\% | 16.0\% | 16.6\% | 15.0\% | 15.1\% | 25.9\% | 37.8\% |
| Totals <br> (Unweighted) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & \text { (998) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (561) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (254) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (122) \end{gathered}$ | $100.0 \%$ (60) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (182) \end{gathered}$ | $100.0 \%$ <br> (88) | $100.0 \%$ <br> (49) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (263) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (124) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (585) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (312) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (101) \end{gathered}$ |
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|  | Total | Party ID |  |  | continued from previous page |  |  |  |  |  |  | Family Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Ideology |  |  | Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Lib | Mod | Con | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | Under 40 | 40-100 | 100+ |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (998) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (367) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (256) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (281) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (196) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (349) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (314) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (175) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (206) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (384) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (233) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (367) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (396) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (133) \end{gathered}$ |

11. Effectiveness of new air travel security measures

Overall, do you think the recent changes in air travel security measures will make flying safer or not?

|  | Total | Number of flights in previous year |  |  |  | Number of flights in previous year |  | Reason for flying |  |  | Awareness of security changes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-6 | 7+ | Three or more | Flown since November 1, 2010 | Business | Leisure | Mix | A lot | A little | Nothing at all |
| Won't make much difference | 49.4\% | 43.0\% | 55.8\% | 60.9\% | 64.1\% | 62.0\% | 61.5\% | 55.5\% | 55.3\% | 66.5\% | 57.4\% | 42.5\% | 27.9\% |
| Will make flying safer | 34.6\% | 35.0\% | 36.4\% | 31.5\% | 30.7\% | 31.3\% | 34.2\% | 38.6\% | 36.7\% | 27.1\% | 32.0\% | 38.7\% | 36.5\% |
| Not sure | 16.0\% | 22.0\% | 7.8\% | 7.6\% | 5.2\% | 6.8\% | 4.3\% | 6.0\% | 8.0\% | 6.5\% | 10.6\% | 18.8\% | 35.6\% |
| Totals <br> (Unweighted) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (997) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (560) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (254) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (122) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (182) \end{aligned}$ | $100.0 \%$ <br> (88) | $100.0 \%$ <br> (49) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (263) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (124) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (585) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (311) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (101) \end{aligned}$ |


|  | Total | Age |  |  | Race |  |  | Gender |  | Education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18-29 | 30-64 | 65+ | White | Black | Hispanic | Male | Female | HS or Less | Some College | College Grad |
| Won't make much difference | 49.4\% | 47.4\% | 50.4\% | 48.7\% | 51.1\% | 32.1\% | 58.3\% | 55.1\% | 44.1\% | 40.9\% | 55.2\% | 57.7\% |
| Will make flying safer | 34.6\% | 35.1\% | 34.2\% | 35.5\% | 33.8\% | 42.5\% | 30.5\% | 33.4\% | 35.7\% | 35.6\% | 34.0\% | 33.7\% |
| Not sure | 16.0\% | 17.5\% | 15.5\% | 15.7\% | 15.0\% | 25.4\% | 11.2\% | 11.5\% | 20.2\% | 23.6\% | 10.8\% | 8.6\% |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (997) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (244) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (611) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (142) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (799) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (115) \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% <br> (83) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (456) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (541) \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% <br> (405) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (325) \end{aligned}$ | 100.0\% (267) |


|  |  | Party ID |  |  | Ideology |  |  | Region |  |  |  | Family Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Dem | Rep | Ind | Lib | Mod | Con | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | Under 40 | 40-100 | 100+ |
| Won't make much difference | 49.4\% | 41.0\% | 57.1\% | 57.4\% | 50.8\% | 46.5\% | 60.2\% | 49.9\% | 46.2\% | 47.7\% | 54.7\% | 40.3\% | 51.8\% | 64.6\% |
| Will make flying safer | 34.6\% | 43.2\% | 28.8\% | 28.2\% | 38.3\% | 38.8\% | 27.7\% | 38.9\% | 39.2\% | 34.1\% | 27.9\% | 35.7\% | 35.8\% | 29.8\% |
| Not sure | 16.0\% | 15.7\% | 14.1\% | 14.5\% | 10.9\% | 14.6\% | 12.1\% | 11.2\% | 14.6\% | 18.2\% | 17.4\% | 24.0\% | 12.4\% | 5.6\% |
| Totals <br> (Unweighted) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (997) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (366) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (256) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (280) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (196) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (349) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (314) \end{gathered}$ | 100.0\% <br> (174) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (206) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (384) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (233) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (365) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (396) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (133) \end{gathered}$ |

12. Decrease travel in response to security procedures

Will you travel by air less frequently in the future in order to avoid being subjected to the new procedures, or not?

|  | Total | Number of flights in previous year |  |  |  | Number of flights in previous year |  | Reason for flying |  |  | Awareness of security changes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1-2 | 3-6 | 7+ | Three or more | Flown since November 1, 2010 | Business | Leisure | Mix | A lot | A little | Nothing at all |
| Yes | 39.1\% | 43.7\% | 34.2\% | 31.6\% | 28.8\% | 30.6\% | 27.3\% | 28.5\% | 31.4\% | 37.1\% | 45.3\% | 30.3\% | 31.9\% |
| No | 60.9\% | 56.3\% | 65.8\% | 68.4\% | 71.2\% | 69.4\% | 72.7\% | 71.5\% | 68.6\% | 62.9\% | 54.7\% | 69.7\% | 68.1\% |
| Totals <br> (Unweighted) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (991) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (554) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (254) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (122) \end{gathered}$ | $100.0 \%$ <br> (60) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (182) \end{gathered}$ | $100.0 \%$ <br> (88) | $100.0 \%$ <br> (49) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (263) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (124) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (583) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (310) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (98) \end{gathered}$ |


|  | Total | Age |  |  | Race |  |  | Gender |  | Education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 18-29 | 30-64 | 65+ | White | Black | Hispanic | Male | Female | HS or Less | Some College | College Grad |
| Yes | 39.1\% | 37.4\% | 40.6\% | 36.6\% | 38.8\% | 38.0\% | 44.4\% | 42.6\% | 36.0\% | 40.5\% | 41.8\% | 33.3\% |
| No | 60.9\% | 62.6\% | 59.4\% | 63.4\% | 61.2\% | 62.0\% | 55.6\% | 57.4\% | 64.0\% | 59.5\% | 58.2\% | 66.7\% |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (991) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (242) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (608) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (141) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (796) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (113) \end{aligned}$ | $100.0 \%$ <br> (82) | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (452) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (539) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (401) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (324) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (266) \end{aligned}$ |


|  | Total | Party ID |  |  | Ideology |  |  | Region |  |  |  | Family Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Rep | Ind | Lib | Mod | Con | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | Under 40 | 40-100 | 100+ |
| Yes | 39.1\% | 35.0\% | 39.9\% | 43.3\% | 34.0\% | 32.1\% | 48.0\% | 37.0\% | 33.6\% | 41.5\% | 41.7\% | 37.2\% | 39.4\% | 40.2\% |
| No | 60.9\% | 65.0\% | 60.1\% | 56.7\% | 66.0\% | 67.9\% | 52.0\% | 63.0\% | 66.4\% | 58.5\% | 58.3\% | 62.8\% | 60.6\% | 59.8\% |
| Totals (Unweighted) | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (991) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (363) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (255) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (280) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (194) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (348) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (313) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (175) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (204) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (379) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (233) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (363) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \% \\ & (393) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \% \\ (133) \end{gathered}$ |


| Sponsorship | The Economist |
| :--- | :--- |
| Fieldwork | YouGov |
| Interviewing Dates | November 27-30, 2010 |
| Target population | U.S. citizens, aged 18 and over. |
| Sampling method | Respondents were selected from YouGov's PollingPoint panel using <br> sample matching. A random sample (stratified by age, gender, race, <br> education, and region) was selected from the 2005-2007 American <br> Community Study. Voter registration, turnout, religion, news interest, <br> minor party identification, and non-placement on an ideology scale, <br> were imputed from the 2008 Current Population Survey Registration <br> and Voting Supplement and the Pew Religion in American Life Survey. <br> Matching respondents were selected from the PollingPoint panel, an |
| opt-in Internet panel. |  |
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