

# YouGov / Sunday Times Survey Results

Sample Size: 1952 GB Adults  
Fieldwork: 1st - 2nd August 2013

	Voting intention					2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					Twitter users	
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland		
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1952</b>	489	581	154	190	599	486	438	949	1003	236	498	668	550	1113	839	250	634	418	480	170	421	
Unweighted Sample	1952	472	593	127	210	601	482	449	954	998	164	491	761	536	1300	652	287	650	388	472	155	439	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

## Headline Voting Intention

[Excluding Don't knows and Wouldn't votes]

Con	<b>32</b>	100	0	0	0	74	5	9	32	33	33	33	30	35	38	25	34	36	36	28	20	27
Lab	<b>38</b>	0	100	0	0	4	86	34	35	42	42	38	42	33	35	44	46	26	35	51	43	48
Lib Dem	<b>10</b>	0	0	100	0	2	2	36	12	8	11	13	11	7	10	11	7	15	11	6	6	13
Other	<b>19</b>	0	0	0	100	20	7	21	21	17	15	16	17	25	17	21	12	23	18	15	31	12

## Other Parties Voting Intention

UKIP	<b>13</b>	0	0	0	100	19	4	12	15	11	7	10	10	19	9	17	9	18	13	11	2	7
SNP / PCY	<b>3</b>	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	2	0	0	2	0	25	1
Green	<b>2</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	2	2	3	3	2	1	3	1	3	3	1	2	3	3
BNP	<b>1</b>	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0
Respect	<b>0</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	<b>0</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1

## Non Voters

Would Not Vote	<b>8</b>	0	0	0	0	4	3	3	8	8	16	12	6	3	9	6	6	12	8	5	4	7
Don't know	<b>15</b>	0	0	0	0	13	9	22	11	18	18	17	13	13	14	16	14	15	15	15	15	13

## Do you approve or disapprove of the Government's record to date?

	July 31 - Aug 1	Aug 1 - 2																					
Approve	28	<b>28</b>	79	3	39	14	57	7	22	33	24	15	31	30	30	31	25	34	30	28	26	21	24
Disapprove	55	<b>57</b>	10	91	39	74	29	84	64	56	58	54	53	58	61	54	62	52	54	56	61	69	61
Don't know	16	<b>14</b>	11	6	22	12	14	9	14	11	18	31	16	12	9	15	14	15	16	16	12	10	15

## Do you think that David Cameron is doing well or badly as Prime Minister?

	July 25-26	Aug 1 - 2																					
Very well	6	<b>6</b>	20	0	3	1	14	1	1	7	5	1	6	7	5	6	6	8	6	5	4	6	6
Fairly well	32	<b>33</b>	72	8	54	31	55	11	31	35	31	34	35	31	33	36	28	34	36	35	30	22	31
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>37</b>
Fairly badly	31	<b>32</b>	6	45	28	38	20	39	37	29	34	29	30	32	34	32	32	31	31	31	32	37	31
Very badly	23	<b>24</b>	1	45	13	27	7	44	26	24	23	17	23	26	25	20	28	21	21	22	28	30	28
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>59</b>
Don't know	8	<b>6</b>	1	2	1	3	4	5	5	5	7	19	7	4	2	6	6	6	6	7	5	4	5

Fieldwork: 1st - 2nd August 2013

	Voting intention					2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					Twitter users	
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland		
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1952</b>	489	581	154	190	599	486	438	949	1003	236	498	668	550	1113	839	250	634	418	480	170	421	
Unweighted Sample	1952	472	593	127	210	601	482	449	954	998	164	491	761	536	1300	652	287	650	388	472	155	439	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

	July 25-26	Aug 1-2																					
<b>Do you think Ed Miliband is doing well or badly as leader of the Labour party?</b>																							
Very well	2	2	1	6	2	1	0	6	1	2	2	2	4	2	2	3	2	5	1	4	3	1	2
Fairly well	23	21	8	49	24	8	7	42	26	20	23	21	19	24	21	18	26	22	18	19	25	27	25
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>27</b>
Fairly badly	37	39	39	33	47	45	37	34	46	41	37	35	38	40	40	44	32	40	39	37	40	37	38
Very badly	25	25	43	5	21	41	46	7	19	30	21	18	24	23	32	26	24	21	30	24	21	27	21
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>59</b>
Don't know	13	12	8	7	7	5	9	11	9	7	17	24	15	10	7	10	16	12	12	17	11	9	13
<b>Do you think that Nick Clegg is doing well or badly as leader of the Liberal Democrats?</b>																							
Very well	2	2	2	1	11	0	1	1	3	2	2	3	2	1	2	1	2	3	2	1	2	0	3
Fairly well	18	17	27	8	55	7	21	8	24	17	16	7	21	20	13	17	16	16	18	18	15	15	18
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>21</b>
Fairly badly	33	35	39	36	29	27	35	36	35	35	35	35	32	34	38	37	32	37	36	36	30	33	32
Very badly	36	37	24	52	2	61	35	49	31	41	34	34	33	36	43	35	40	33	34	33	44	47	38
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>70</b>
Don't know	12	10	8	4	3	5	8	7	6	6	14	20	13	8	4	10	10	11	10	11	9	5	9
<b>Do you think the Conservative and Liberal Democrat coalition partners are working together well or badly?</b>																							
Very well	2	2	5	0	4	0	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	3	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2
Fairly well	28	27	55	8	56	21	45	9	31	33	22	15	32	30	26	31	22	29	30	27	25	23	25
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>27</b>
Fairly badly	35	37	31	40	33	40	33	40	39	34	40	49	33	34	41	38	37	36	40	36	35	43	39
Very badly	26	25	6	48	3	37	13	45	22	25	25	17	24	26	29	21	30	23	20	27	31	29	27
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>66</b>
Don't know	9	8	4	4	4	2	6	6	5	5	11	19	9	8	3	8	8	10	8	9	8	5	7

Fieldwork: 1st - 2nd August 2013

	Voting intention					2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					Twitter users	
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland		
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1952</b>	489	581	154	190	599	486	438	949	1003	236	498	668	550	1113	839	250	634	418	480	170	421	
Unweighted Sample	1952	472	593	127	210	601	482	449	954	998	164	491	761	536	1300	652	287	650	388	472	155	439	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

	July 18-19	Aug 1-2																					
<b>Do you think this coalition government is good or bad for people like you?</b>																							
Very good	2	2	4	0	4	1	2	0	2	3	1	2	2	2	1	2	2	4	2	1	1	2	1
Fairly good	16	16	37	2	38	12	26	4	19	20	12	5	19	19	14	19	12	14	17	19	13	13	18
<b>TOTAL GOOD</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>19</b>
Fairly bad	26	29	25	35	26	30	28	30	32	30	29	33	26	28	33	31	27	31	29	32	25	35	30
Very bad	29	28	6	52	3	41	16	49	21	28	27	16	24	29	34	23	34	25	23	26	34	30	28
<b>TOTAL BAD</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>58</b>
Doesn't make much difference either way	21	20	25	10	21	16	24	13	22	16	23	24	23	19	16	20	19	20	21	18	20	17	18
Don't know	7	6	3	2	7	0	4	4	4	4	7	20	7	3	2	5	7	7	7	4	6	5	5

<b>Do you think the coalition government is managing the economy well or badly?</b>																							
Very well	4	4	13	0	5	0	9	1	3	5	3	2	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4
Fairly well	31	32	70	6	49	35	58	10	28	35	29	28	32	31	35	36	27	33	34	33	31	24	30
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>34</b>
Fairly badly	30	33	11	48	31	36	18	42	41	30	36	37	31	33	32	32	35	32	33	33	31	36	32
Very badly	25	22	1	44	7	24	8	41	21	23	21	13	21	24	25	19	27	23	18	20	26	30	25
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>57</b>
Don't know	10	9	5	3	8	5	8	6	7	6	12	20	12	7	3	9	8	8	10	9	8	8	10

<b>In your opinion how good or bad is the state of Britain's economy at the moment?</b>																							
Very good	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
Quite good	10	9	24	2	10	10	19	2	7	11	7	3	9	9	11	10	8	8	12	7	6	9	9
<b>TOTAL GOOD</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>
Neither good nor bad	29	28	41	17	52	28	39	18	32	30	27	35	27	24	32	29	28	29	28	32	28	23	26
Quite bad	41	41	29	49	33	39	32	47	42	39	43	38	40	44	39	40	41	36	41	42	41	44	41
Very bad	16	17	2	30	5	22	7	29	17	16	18	10	17	21	17	16	20	20	15	15	20	22	20
<b>TOTAL BAD</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>61</b>
Don't know	4	4	1	2	1	1	2	4	2	3	5	12	7	2	1	4	3	6	4	3	4	2	3

Fieldwork: 1st - 2nd August 2013

	Voting intention					2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					Twitter users	
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland		
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1952</b>	489	581	154	190	599	486	438	949	1003	236	498	668	550	1113	839	250	634	418	480	170	421	
Unweighted Sample	1952	472	593	127	210	601	482	449	954	998	164	491	761	536	1300	652	287	650	388	472	155	439	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

How do you think the financial situation of your household will change over the next 12 months?

	July 25-26	Aug 1-2																					
Get a lot better	1	2	4	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	3	2	2	1	3	1	3	2	2	1	1	3
Get a little better	14	14	23	7	26	9	19	5	17	17	11	18	21	13	6	16	11	16	15	11	11	16	17
<b>TOTAL BETTER</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>20</b>
Stay about the same	39	38	49	32	49	34	46	31	39	39	38	34	35	38	44	41	35	33	40	41	37	38	37
Get a little worse	30	29	21	36	17	40	25	37	29	28	30	23	24	29	37	26	33	25	28	31	33	24	28
Get a lot worse	12	12	1	21	3	15	4	21	11	10	14	8	10	16	11	9	16	13	11	8	13	17	13
<b>TOTAL WORSE</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>
Don't know	4	5	2	3	4	0	4	5	3	4	6	14	7	3	2	5	5	9	4	7	4	4	4

Do you have a positive or negative of Julian Assange, the founder of Wikileaks?

	June 17-18	Aug 1-2																					
Very positive	6	4	2	5	3	4	2	4	5	5	2	6	5	4	1	4	4	4	3	5	4	3	5
Fairly positive	23	18	13	23	20	24	13	22	21	20	16	24	19	17	16	18	18	24	18	19	16	17	25
<b>TOTAL POSITIVE</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>30</b>
Fairly negative	24	29	33	28	41	23	31	24	32	32	25	27	30	29	28	31	26	27	35	22	26	32	29
Very negative	16	17	27	13	19	22	27	15	16	19	16	7	10	20	26	20	14	17	17	16	19	19	15
<b>TOTAL NEGATIVE</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>44</b>
Don't know	31	32	25	31	17	27	28	35	26	23	40	36	36	30	29	27	38	28	28	38	35	30	27

In 2010 Bradley Manning, a US army soldier, leaked a large amount of classified US army information to the Wikileaks website. This allegedly included videos of US airstrikes were civilians were killed, military reports from the Iraq and Afghanistan wars and diplomatic reports from US embassies overseas.

Do you think Wikileaks were right or wrong to publish...

The video of US airstrikes that killed civilians

Right	47	36	53	51	49	36	45	58	57	38	53	48	48	44	50	44	48	49	43	47	50	52
Wrong	33	45	26	39	40	46	31	25	29	36	24	28	32	42	31	35	27	33	35	33	34	30
Don't know	20	19	20	10	11	18	24	16	14	26	23	24	20	14	19	21	24	18	22	20	16	18

Fieldwork: 1st - 2nd August 2013

	Voting intention					2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					Twitter users	
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland		
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1952</b>	489	581	154	190	599	486	438	949	1003	236	498	668	550	1113	839	250	634	418	480	170	421	
Unweighted Sample	1952	472	593	127	210	601	482	449	954	998	164	491	761	536	1300	652	287	650	388	472	155	439	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

**Classified military reports from the Iraq and Afghanistan wars**

Right	<b>26</b>	16	33	26	32	18	30	33	30	23	29	29	28	20	27	25	29	26	23	26	29	33
Wrong	<b>52</b>	67	45	59	59	64	46	47	53	51	45	42	51	65	52	52	44	53	55	52	53	48
Don't know	<b>22</b>	17	22	16	10	18	25	20	17	26	26	28	21	15	21	23	27	21	22	22	18	19

**Diplomatic reports from US embassies overseas**

Right	<b>29</b>	20	35	26	40	22	32	36	34	25	31	31	31	24	29	30	32	31	28	27	31	36
Wrong	<b>47</b>	61	41	56	48	60	43	40	48	46	40	41	45	58	49	45	41	48	48	49	48	43
Don't know	<b>24</b>	19	24	18	12	19	25	24	18	29	28	28	23	18	22	25	27	22	25	24	21	21

**From what you have seen or heard about the case, which of the following best reflects your view?**

Bradley Manning knew exactly what he was doing, and was not exploited by Wikileaks	<b>46</b>	47	48	48	58	46	48	44	53	38	47	45	47	44	44	47	46	48	40	44	51	48
Bradley Manning was vulnerable and naive, and was exploited by Wikileaks	<b>18</b>	24	18	26	19	23	17	21	20	17	13	11	20	26	21	15	18	17	19	19	20	15
Don't know	<b>36</b>	29	34	26	24	31	34	35	26	45	40	44	33	30	34	38	36	34	40	37	28	37

**The British courts have ruled that Julian Assange should be extradited to Sweden, where he faces trial on sexual assault charges. Mr Assange's supporters have said this is part of a plot to extradite him to the USA. Last year Mr Assange went to Ecuador's embassy in London and was granted asylum. He has been living in the Ecuadorian embassy in London ever since, as if he left the embassy to travel to Ecuador he would face arrest by the British police.**

**Do you think Mr Assange should or should not give himself up and face trial in Sweden?**

Should	<b>61</b>	75	56	62	60	72	60	54	60	62	51	54	61	70	62	59	53	61	61	63	63	60
Should not	<b>17</b>	12	23	19	22	13	19	20	22	12	18	20	18	14	18	17	22	17	14	16	23	19
Don't know	<b>22</b>	13	21	20	18	15	21	26	18	26	31	26	21	15	21	24	25	22	25	21	14	21

Fieldwork: 1st - 2nd August 2013

	Voting intention					2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					Twitter users	
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland		
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1952</b>	489	581	154	190	599	486	438	949	1003	236	498	668	550	1113	839	250	634	418	480	170	421	
Unweighted Sample	1952	472	593	127	210	601	482	449	954	998	164	491	761	536	1300	652	287	650	388	472	155	439	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Shale gas is natural gas trapped under sedimentary rock, which is extracted using a method known as hydraulic fracturing, or "fracking". There are large reserves of shale gas in parts of England.

Some people think that using shale gas could be a solution to Britain's energy needs. Other people think that fracking is a dangerous technique that risks contaminating ground water and causing minor earthquakes.

From what you have seen or heard about the issue, do you think Britain should or should not start extracting shale gas?

Should	<b>41</b>	61	29	45	61	59	30	33	56	27	33	37	40	50	43	38	38	46	38	41	36	36
Should not	<b>33</b>	21	46	34	20	21	42	41	27	38	36	31	35	30	31	35	34	31	30	35	37	40
Don't know	<b>26</b>	19	25	21	19	20	29	26	17	35	31	32	24	20	26	27	29	23	32	23	27	24

If Shale Gas extraction through fracking was started in Britain...

How safe, if at all, do you think it would be?

Very safe	<b>8</b>	15	5	6	11	14	5	4	11	4	1	6	6	13	7	8	7	6	11	7	6	5
Fairly safe	<b>33</b>	44	26	42	46	44	25	29	42	25	29	29	35	36	35	30	32	39	26	34	30	30
<b>TOTAL SAFE</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>
Not very safe	<b>25</b>	17	32	18	18	16	29	34	21	29	32	24	26	22	24	27	27	23	24	25	32	32
Not safe at all	<b>11</b>	5	16	12	7	6	16	12	10	13	12	10	13	10	10	12	11	10	11	13	9	13
<b>TOTAL NOT SAFE</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>45</b>
Don't know	<b>23</b>	19	21	21	17	20	24	21	17	30	26	31	21	18	23	23	23	22	28	21	23	21

How good for the economy, if at all, do you think it would be?

Very good for the economy	<b>27</b>	43	16	25	38	43	16	19	37	17	20	24	23	37	28	24	25	30	27	25	19	20
Fairly good for the economy	<b>41</b>	43	42	52	40	37	42	47	40	42	47	40	43	37	42	40	39	42	41	43	39	45
<b>TOTAL GOOD FOR THE ECONOMY</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>65</b>
Not very good for the economy	<b>11</b>	3	17	13	10	4	16	15	10	12	13	10	12	10	9	13	10	8	12	13	14	14
Not at all good for the economy	<b>3</b>	1	5	2	2	2	6	3	3	4	6	2	5	2	3	4	4	2	3	4	6	4
<b>TOTAL NOT GOOD FOR THE ECONOMY</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>18</b>
Don't know	<b>18</b>	10	21	7	10	14	20	15	10	25	14	24	17	14	17	18	23	17	17	14	22	17

Fieldwork: 1st - 2nd August 2013

	Voting intention					2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					Twitter users	
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland		
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1952</b>	489	581	154	190	599	486	438	949	1003	236	498	668	550	1113	839	250	634	418	480	170	421	
Unweighted Sample	1952	472	593	127	210	601	482	449	954	998	164	491	761	536	1300	652	287	650	388	472	155	439	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

How damaging to the environment, if at all, do you think it would be?

Very damaging to the environment	20	13	27	16	9	13	26	26	16	24	26	20	21	16	18	23	21	19	18	22	21	27
Fairly damaging to the environment	27	23	31	29	26	19	30	32	26	28	28	27	28	25	29	24	31	26	27	26	29	31
<b>TOTAL DAMAGING FOR THE ENVIRONMENT</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>58</b>
Not very damaging to the environment	27	41	17	38	39	41	17	23	35	20	23	23	26	35	28	26	22	32	26	27	21	23
Not at all damaging to the environment	4	6	3	1	10	8	4	1	6	2	3	3	3	6	4	4	3	4	4	4	5	1
<b>TOTAL NOT DAMAGING FOR THE ENVIRONMENT</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>24</b>
Don't know	22	17	21	16	16	20	23	18	17	26	21	27	22	17	21	23	23	20	24	20	24	18

Some people think that Shale Gas fracking would be good for an area as it would provide jobs and investment. Other people think it would be a bad thing for an area as it would damage the local environment and cause pollution.

Do you think it would be a good or bad thing if Shale Gas fracking began in your own local town or area?

A good thing	25	38	18	35	38	35	18	21	37	14	17	25	24	32	25	25	18	27	27	27	25	23
A bad thing	43	30	55	40	30	28	52	54	36	50	50	43	45	38	43	43	50	40	39	47	43	50
Neither	14	17	12	10	21	21	14	8	15	13	12	10	14	17	14	14	13	17	13	12	12	13
Don't know	18	15	15	15	11	16	17	17	12	23	21	22	17	13	18	18	20	16	21	15	21	14

Feb 7-8  
Aug 1 - 2

Do you think the government is right or wrong to invest money to encourage the development of the following forms of energy generation?

**Nuclear power**

The government is right to spend money encouraging this form of energy	40	49	64	41	60	56	60	39	47	65	34	41	44	48	57	51	46	46	50	49	49	47	48
The government is wrong to spend money encouraging this form of energy	35	28	19	36	29	21	18	34	30	20	36	29	29	32	22	29	27	30	29	25	29	30	30
Don't know	26	23	17	24	10	23	22	27	22	15	31	30	27	20	20	21	26	24	22	26	22	22	22

Fieldwork: 1st - 2nd August 2013

	Voting intention					2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					Twitter users	
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland		
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1952</b>	489	581	154	190	599	486	438	949	1003	236	498	668	550	1113	839	250	634	418	480	170	421	
Unweighted Sample	1952	472	593	127	210	601	482	449	954	998	164	491	761	536	1300	652	287	650	388	472	155	439	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

	Feb 7-8	Aug 1 - 2																					
<b>Wind power</b>																							
The government is right to spend money encouraging this form of energy	56	65	59	72	79	51	50	67	79	65	64	70	74	67	51	67	62	66	68	62	62	64	80
The government is wrong to spend money encouraging this form of energy	26	23	31	15	15	44	39	16	12	26	19	12	12	21	40	22	24	18	21	25	26	23	11
Don't know	18	13	10	13	6	4	11	16	9	8	17	18	14	12	9	11	14	16	12	13	12	14	9
<b>Solar power</b>																							
The government is right to spend money encouraging this form of energy	65	78	76	79	95	75	73	78	87	82	74	70	79	80	77	79	76	77	81	74	76	77	83
The government is wrong to spend money encouraging this form of energy	17	10	14	8	2	22	17	7	6	11	10	11	7	8	15	11	9	8	9	13	9	14	8
Don't know	18	12	10	13	4	4	10	15	7	8	16	19	14	12	8	10	15	15	10	12	15	9	9
<b>Tidal power</b>																							
The government is right to spend money encouraging this form of energy	66	76	77	75	91	76	73	75	84	82	70	73	76	78	74	80	70	74	78	76	72	81	80
The government is wrong to spend money encouraging this form of energy	13	7	11	7	2	13	12	6	3	8	7	7	5	6	12	6	9	5	8	7	9	5	4
Don't know	21	17	12	18	7	11	15	19	13	10	23	20	19	17	13	13	21	21	15	17	18	14	15
<b>Power from shale gas</b>																							
The government is right to spend money encouraging this form of energy	40		57	29	44	66	58	31	33	54	27	29	35	41	49	41	39	38	45	40	38	33	35
The government is wrong to spend money encouraging this form of energy	33		21	44	34	18	20	38	43	28	37	37	32	34	30	34	32	31	32	31	34	37	40
Don't know	27		22	28	22	16	22	31	24	18	35	34	33	25	21	25	29	30	23	30	27	30	25
<b>Clean coal" power</b>																							
The government is right to spend money encouraging this form of energy	57		65	53	54	79	66	56	52	65	50	42	49	59	69	57	57	57	56	60	56	58	53
The government is wrong to spend money encouraging this form of energy	13		11	14	21	6	8	10	19	15	11	17	18	13	7	16	9	14	15	10	13	12	17
Don't know	30		24	33	25	15	26	34	28	20	40	41	33	28	25	27	34	29	29	30	31	31	31

Fieldwork: 1st - 2nd August 2013

	Voting intention				2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					Twitter users		
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North		Scotland	
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1952</b>	489	581	154	190	599	486	438	949	1003	236	498	668	550	1113	839	250	634	418	480	170	421	
Unweighted Sample	1952	472	593	127	210	601	482	449	954	998	164	491	761	536	1300	652	287	650	388	472	155	439	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

**Generally speaking, do you think the growth of the internet and social media has made people more polite to each other, ruder to each other, or made no difference?**

Has made people more polite to one another	<b>3</b>	3	2	4	3	2	2	1	3	2	2	3	3	1	2	3	3	2	3	2	2	2	5
Has made people ruder to one another	<b>62</b>	65	64	54	69	68	62	60	58	66	53	57	63	69	62	61	58	61	65	61	67	67	62
Has made no difference	<b>29</b>	27	29	38	28	25	31	31	34	25	35	30	30	24	28	30	30	31	23	32	27	30	30
Don't know	<b>7</b>	5	5	4	1	5	5	7	6	7	10	10	4	6	7	6	9	6	8	5	4	3	3

**Thinking about comments on social media sites that people find abusive or offensive, which of the following best reflects your view?**

Comments like this are unacceptable and social media sites should take action to prevent or remove comments that are abusive or offensive	<b>65</b>	72	67	68	68	69	69	65	56	73	46	54	65	82	63	68	64	61	65	67	72	61	61
Social media sites should allow abusive or offensive comments, they are only words and people should block those users if they dislike the comments	<b>20</b>	17	17	23	24	17	14	21	27	13	27	25	21	9	22	17	18	21	19	21	13	24	24
Neither	<b>8</b>	6	10	6	6	7	10	7	9	6	10	10	7	6	8	8	6	10	8	5	9	10	10
Don't know	<b>8</b>	6	6	4	2	7	7	6	8	8	16	11	7	3	8	8	13	7	8	7	6	5	5

**And thinking more specifically about comments that threaten violence or rape, which of the following best reflects your view?**

Comments like this are unacceptable and social media sites should take action to prevent or remove comments that threaten violence or rape	<b>87</b>	89	90	93	89	88	89	90	84	90	80	82	88	94	87	88	82	88	87	90	87	88	88
Social media sites should allow comments that threaten violence or rape, they are only words and people should block those users if they dislike them	<b>4</b>	5	3	3	6	4	3	3	6	2	5	6	3	2	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	5	5
Neither	<b>3</b>	3	3	1	1	3	4	2	4	2	3	4	4	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	4	4
Don't know	<b>6</b>	3	3	2	4	4	4	5	5	6	11	8	5	2	6	5	10	5	6	3	7	2	2

Fieldwork: 1st - 2nd August 2013

	Voting intention					2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					Twitter users	
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland		
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1952</b>	489	581	154	190	599	486	438	949	1003	236	498	668	550	1113	839	250	634	418	480	170	421	
Unweighted Sample	1952	472	593	127	210	601	482	449	954	998	164	491	761	536	1300	652	287	650	388	472	155	439	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

This week Caroline Criado-Perez, who ran a successful campaign to have a woman on the new ten pound note, has received a large number of offensive messages on the social media site Twitter threatening rape and violence.

Do you think social media sites like Twitter do or do not do enough to protect people from bullying, harrassment and threats on social media sites?

Social media sites do all they can reasonably be expected to do to protect people from bullying, hassessment and threats	<b>14</b>	17	12	19	18	14	14	14	17	12	27	20	12	7	15	13	15	14	15	14	11	23
Social media sites should be doing more to protect people from bullying, hassessment and threats	<b>72</b>	76	76	72	72	75	76	75	68	77	49	64	76	86	70	75	69	71	72	74	80	68
Don't know	<b>13</b>	8	11	9	10	11	11	12	16	11	25	16	12	7	14	12	15	15	13	12	10	9

Do you think people who make comments threatening violence or rape on social media sites should or should not face criminal prosecution?

Should face prosecution	<b>85</b>	87	89	84	85	86	87	86	83	87	68	77	89	94	83	87	83	84	84	86	91	84
Should not face prosecution	<b>5</b>	6	4	7	8	5	4	5	7	3	11	8	3	3	6	4	4	6	3	7	2	8
Don't know	<b>10</b>	7	8	9	7	9	9	9	10	10	21	15	9	3	11	9	13	10	13	7	7	8

Would you support or oppose social media sites like Twitter only allowing people to use them if they provided a full verified name and address?

Support	<b>70</b>	81	70	70	74	78	75	67	70	71	53	63	75	79	71	70	63	73	70	70	73	67
Oppose	<b>12</b>	9	13	19	11	9	8	17	16	8	23	17	9	6	13	10	16	10	11	12	15	19
Don't know	<b>18</b>	10	17	12	15	14	17	15	14	21	24	20	16	15	16	20	20	17	19	18	13	14

Fieldwork: 1st - 2nd August 2013

	Voting intention					2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					Twitter users	
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland		
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1952</b>	489	581	154	190	599	486	438	949	1003	236	498	668	550	1113	839	250	634	418	480	170	421	
Unweighted Sample	1952	472	593	127	210	601	482	449	954	998	164	491	761	536	1300	652	287	650	388	472	155	439	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

**And would you support or oppose social media sites like Twitter only allowing people to use them if they paid a subscription fee?**

Support	<b>20</b>	24	18	15	34	27	19	16	19	22	4	13	21	33	20	20	17	20	23	20	21	7
Oppose	<b>54</b>	50	60	68	45	44	58	64	57	51	68	65	53	37	56	51	57	53	48	57	56	83
Don't know	<b>26</b>	26	22	17	21	30	23	21	24	28	29	22	26	29	24	29	26	28	28	24	22	10

**The Serious Organised Crime Agency has compiled a list naming more than 100 firms that have used private investigators to illegally hack or blag information. They have given the list to a Parliamentary committee, but the names on it have not been published because criminal investigations may still be underway against those named**

**Do you think the list of companies involved should or should not be published?**

Should be published - it is in the public interest to know	<b>53</b>	48	61	41	67	49	57	53	59	47	54	49	55	55	51	56	55	52	53	56	46	59
Should not be published - there is a risk it could damage criminal investigations against them	<b>35</b>	41	29	53	26	40	31	39	33	37	27	37	35	37	38	31	34	35	34	32	46	34
Don't know	<b>12</b>	10	10	6	7	11	12	9	8	16	19	14	10	9	11	13	11	13	13	11	8	8

**Thinking about how the police have investigated allegations of phone hacking and blagging against newspapers and other companies, which of the following best reflects your view?**

Phone hacking and blagging by the newspapers has been treated more harshly than when the same offences are committed by other companies	<b>32</b>	38	32	32	46	39	28	35	36	29	31	28	32	38	33	31	31	36	29	31	34	32
Phone hacking and blagging by companies has been treated more harshly than when the same offences are committed by newspapers	<b>7</b>	4	9	8	6	4	9	7	7	7	4	10	5	8	7	7	11	5	6	7	9	9
The police have treated newspapers and other companies in just the same way	<b>24</b>	26	28	29	24	23	26	24	27	21	18	23	27	25	24	24	20	21	29	26	23	28
Don't know	<b>37</b>	33	30	31	25	34	37	33	30	43	48	39	37	29	36	38	39	37	36	35	35	31

Fieldwork: 1st - 2nd August 2013

	Voting intention				2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					Twitter users		
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North		Scotland	
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1952</b>	489	581	154	190	599	486	438	949	1003	236	498	668	550	1113	839	250	634	418	480	170	421	
Unweighted Sample	1952	472	593	127	210	601	482	449	954	998	164	491	761	536	1300	652	287	650	388	472	155	439	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

**Which of the following best reflects your view?**

Phone hacking and illegal payments are serious offences, and it is right that the police are spending time and resources properly investigating them	<b>65</b>	63	71	81	56	59	65	75	71	59	57	65	66	67	68	60	62	65	65	64	69	72
The police are spending too much time and resources on phone hacking and illegal payments, there are other more serious crimes that the money should be spent on investigating	<b>21</b>	26	16	12	38	28	21	14	17	24	22	17	21	24	19	23	24	20	19	20	22	16
Neither	<b>4</b>	3	4	4	2	5	4	3	4	5	2	7	4	4	4	4	2	5	4	4	2	4
Don't know	<b>10</b>	8	9	4	4	8	10	8	8	12	19	12	10	6	9	12	12	9	11	11	6	8

**Parliament currently has two chambers, the House of Commons and the House of Lords.**

**Currently the House of Lords is mostly made up of people appointed by the political parties or by the House of Lords Appointments Commission, which nominates independent Lords. The House of Lords also has seats for senior Church of England bishops and 92 remaining hereditary peers.**

**Some people have suggested replacing the House of Lords with a mostly or wholly elected chamber, other people think it should be left as it is or abolished entirely**

**What would you most like to see?**

The House of Lords left as an APPOINTED chamber	<b>19</b>	32	13	19	21	28	14	17	20	18	16	14	21	22	20	17	18	20	20	17	17	17
The House of Lords replaced with a chamber that is part ELECTED, part APPOINTED	<b>23</b>	25	21	41	22	26	20	26	23	22	19	22	23	25	25	20	26	24	19	21	24	23
The House of Lords replaced with a chamber that is wholly ELECTED	<b>24</b>	18	28	27	23	20	26	31	27	20	14	24	25	26	24	23	25	26	19	22	29	25
The House of Lords ABOLISHED entirely	<b>16</b>	10	20	9	27	14	21	12	17	15	9	11	17	22	13	20	12	13	18	21	16	12
Don't know	<b>19</b>	15	17	4	7	12	20	14	13	25	43	30	14	6	18	21	20	17	24	19	14	24

Fieldwork: 1st - 2nd August 2013

	Voting intention					2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					Twitter users	
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland			
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1952</b>	489	581	154	190	599	486	438	949	1003	236	498	668	550	1113	839	250	634	418	480	170	421	
Unweighted Sample	1952	472	593	127	210	601	482	449	954	998	164	491	761	536	1300	652	287	650	388	472	155	439	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

**Do you think it is or is not acceptable for the political parties to appoint people who have donated money to the party as members of the House of Lords?**

Is acceptable	<b>9</b>	14	7	15	7	11	8	10	12	6	13	7	10	8	10	7	12	8	10	8	6	11
Is not acceptable	<b>77</b>	74	79	78	85	77	77	80	77	77	60	72	78	87	76	78	71	79	73	78	84	74
Don't know	<b>14</b>	12	13	7	8	12	15	10	11	17	28	20	12	5	14	15	17	12	17	15	10	15

**Do you think there should or should not be a BAN on peerages being given to people who have given money to political parties?**

Should be banned	<b>60</b>	55	62	61	69	58	60	62	60	60	43	57	58	72	60	60	53	63	55	63	63	58
Should not be banned	<b>21</b>	28	20	25	24	26	20	21	26	16	20	18	25	20	22	20	25	18	23	19	26	19
Don't know	<b>19</b>	18	17	13	8	16	20	17	14	24	37	25	18	7	18	20	22	18	22	18	11	22

## YouGov Weighting Data

In addition to weighting by age, gender, social class and region (weighted and unweighted figures shown in the tables), YouGov also weighted its raw data by newspaper readership and political party identification:

		Unweighted no.	Weighted no.
<b>Age and Gender</b>			
	Male 18 to 24	<b>79</b>	<b>121</b>
	Male 25 to 39	<b>214</b>	<b>250</b>
	Male 40 to 59	<b>384</b>	<b>330</b>
	Male 60 +	<b>277</b>	<b>248</b>
	Female 18 to 24	<b>85</b>	<b>115</b>
	Female 25 to 39	<b>277</b>	<b>248</b>
	Female 40 to 59	<b>377</b>	<b>338</b>
	Female 60 +	<b>259</b>	<b>303</b>
<b>Region</b>			
	North England	<b>472</b>	<b>480</b>
	Midlands	<b>292</b>	<b>320</b>
	East England	<b>176</b>	<b>187</b>
	London	<b>287</b>	<b>250</b>
	South England	<b>474</b>	<b>447</b>
	Wales	<b>96</b>	<b>98</b>
	Scotland	<b>155</b>	<b>170</b>
<b>Social Grade</b>			
	AB	<b>713</b>	<b>547</b>
	C1	<b>587</b>	<b>566</b>
	C2	<b>291</b>	<b>410</b>
	DE	<b>361</b>	<b>429</b>
<b>Newspaper Type</b>			
	Express / Mail	<b>354</b>	<b>312</b>
	Sun / Star	<b>187</b>	<b>459</b>
	Mirror / Record	<b>110</b>	<b>195</b>
	Guardian / Independent	<b>127</b>	<b>88</b>
	FT / Times / Telegraph	<b>151</b>	<b>185</b>
	Other Paper	<b>270</b>	<b>224</b>
	No Paper	<b>753</b>	<b>488</b>
<b>Political Party Identification</b>			
	Labour	<b>653</b>	<b>634</b>
	Conservative	<b>537</b>	<b>556</b>
	Liberal Democrat	<b>171</b>	<b>234</b>
	SNP/Plaid Cymru	<b>40</b>	<b>33</b>
	Others	<b>115</b>	<b>25</b>
	None/ DK	<b>436</b>	<b>468</b>

YouGov is a member of the British Polling Council and abides by its rules.

[yougov.co.uk](http://yougov.co.uk)

© 2013 YouGov Plc. All Rights Reserved