

# YouGov / Sunday Times Survey Results

Sample Size: 1669 GB Adults  
Fieldwork: 12th - 13th March 2015

	Westminster VI				2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					England	
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands/Wales	North	Scotland	England
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1669</b>	467	466	92	191	472	420	313	809	860	199	422	571	477	951	718	214	542	357	411	145	1440
Unweighted Sample	1669	444	437	90	205	471	398	339	804	865	160	309	673	527	1126	543	179	568	333	433	156	1446
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

## Headline Voting Intention

[Excluding Don't knows and Would not votes]

Con	34	100	0	0	0	78	7	16	37	32	30	30	32	41	38	29	37	42	35	30	14	37
Lab	34	0	100	0	0	3	79	30	31	38	30	44	32	31	28	42	37	26	36	46	25	35
Lib Dem	7	0	0	100	0	1	1	27	6	7	10	8	6	5	9	4	6	10	7	4	3	7
UKIP	14	0	0	0	100	17	7	12	16	12	9	9	17	16	13	15	16	15	15	15	3	15
Other	11	0	0	0	0	1	7	15	11	11	21	9	12	7	12	9	5	7	7	5	55	6

## Other Parties Voting Intention

Green	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	4	6	14	4	5	3	6	3	4	6	5	5	5	5
SNP/ PCY	5	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	5	5	6	5	6	3	5	5	0	0	1	0	49	0
BNP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Respect	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	0

## Non Voters

Would Not Vote	5	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	5	5	9	8	3	2	4	6	7	5	5	5	2	5
Don't know	13	0	0	0	0	10	7	20	8	18	14	17	12	11	13	13	22	11	11	16	10	14

## Do you approve or disapprove of the Government's record to date?

	Mar 11-12	Mar 12-13																					
Approve	34	36	87	9	43	26	72	10	30	42	30	33	31	35	41	40	30	37	42	37	29	26	37
Disapprove	51	50	5	83	37	66	17	82	56	49	52	43	46	56	51	46	56	44	45	50	56	67	49
Don't know	15	14	8	8	20	8	12	8	14	9	18	24	23	9	8	14	14	20	14	13	15	7	14

## Do you think that David Cameron is doing well or badly as Prime Minister?

	Mar 5-6	Mar 12-13																					
Very well	8	8	25	1	2	1	19	3	3	10	6	6	6	9	8	9	6	10	8	8	7	3	8
Fairly well	36	36	69	12	52	38	62	12	33	38	34	37	34	33	40	39	32	39	40	37	31	28	37
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>45</b>
Fairly badly	28	27	5	40	27	32	12	38	39	26	29	28	26	26	29	28	27	22	27	29	27	32	26
Very badly	22	23	0	44	13	25	3	43	22	23	24	20	22	28	21	19	29	24	18	20	28	35	23
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>49</b>
Don't know	6	6	0	2	6	3	3	4	4	4	8	9	11	4	2	5	7	6	6	5	8	3	6

Sample Size: 1669 GB Adults  
Fieldwork: 12th - 13th March 2015

	Westminster VI					2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					England
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Fe-male	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	
Weighted Sample	1669	467	466	92	191	472	420	313	809	860	199	422	571	477	951	718	214	542	357	411	145	1440
Unweighted Sample	1669	444	437	90	205	471	398	339	804	865	160	309	673	527	1126	543	179	568	333	433	156	1446
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

	Mar 5-6	Mar 12-13																					
<b>Do you think Ed Miliband is doing well or badly as leader of the Labour party?</b>																							
Very well	4	3	1	10	0	0	1	9	1	2	3	1	3	3	3	2	4	4	2	3	4	1	3
Fairly well	17	21	4	52	33	10	4	42	23	20	22	16	23	19	24	18	25	22	17	24	24	20	20
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>23</b>
Fairly badly	34	34	37	28	44	31	34	30	44	33	36	42	32	34	34	37	31	32	36	31	37	31	35
Very badly	35	32	56	7	17	53	57	13	23	39	26	24	28	37	35	35	29	29	35	34	26	45	31
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>66</b>
Don't know	11	9	3	4	6	6	3	6	9	5	13	17	14	7	4	8	11	14	10	7	9	3	10
<b>Do you think that Nick Clegg is doing well or badly as leader of the Liberal Democrats?</b>																							
Very well	1	1	2	2	7	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	3	2	2	1	0	2
Fairly well	18	17	25	12	62	11	22	10	30	17	18	17	16	17	19	19	15	16	20	18	17	12	18
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>
Fairly badly	33	32	35	34	21	29	33	30	36	33	32	32	31	30	36	34	30	28	34	35	29	34	32
Very badly	38	38	32	45	7	54	36	49	27	42	34	33	33	43	39	36	41	37	34	37	42	47	37
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>69</b>
Don't know	11	11	6	7	3	5	7	10	5	7	14	16	19	8	5	9	13	17	10	8	11	5	11
<b>Do you think the Conservative and Liberal Democrat coalition partners are working together well or badly?</b>																							
Very well	2	3	6	1	4	1	4	1	4	3	2	2	4	2	1	3	2	3	3	3	2	1	3
Fairly well	31	30	60	9	67	20	52	10	38	35	26	27	28	31	33	36	22	28	33	33	25	30	30
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>33</b>
Fairly badly	32	35	26	44	17	45	31	41	35	34	36	38	30	31	42	35	34	31	36	37	35	28	35
Very badly	25	24	5	43	5	31	10	42	18	24	25	19	23	30	22	20	30	23	20	21	30	35	24
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>59</b>
Don't know	10	8	3	3	6	3	4	6	6	5	11	15	15	6	2	6	11	14	8	7	8	6	8
<b>Do you think this coalition government is good or bad for people like you?</b>																							
Very good	3	4	10	1	4	1	6	2	4	4	3	4	5	3	4	4	3	4	4	6	3	1	4
Fairly good	18	20	41	5	54	14	32	7	25	25	16	16	20	21	21	24	15	21	23	20	18	14	21
<b>TOTAL GOOD</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>25</b>
Fairly bad	26	26	19	38	16	30	24	33	27	25	28	25	26	27	26	27	25	21	26	32	26	23	26
Very bad	25	22	7	40	1	28	12	39	17	24	20	19	20	25	22	19	26	19	17	20	29	32	21
<b>TOTAL BAD</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>47</b>
Doesn't make much difference either way	22	23	22	14	22	25	22	16	24	20	26	24	21	21	26	21	24	26	26	19	19	28	22
Don't know	5	5	2	2	2	2	3	4	2	3	7	12	8	3	2	4	6	9	5	4	5	2	6

Sample Size: 1669 GB Adults  
Fieldwork: 12th - 13th March 2015

	Westminster VI					2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					England	
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Fe- male	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands/ Wales	North	Scotland		
Weighted Sample	1669	467	466	92	191	472	420	313	809	860	199	422	571	477	951	718	214	542	357	411	145	1440	
Unweighted Sample	1669	444	437	90	205	471	398	339	804	865	160	309	673	527	1126	543	179	568	333	433	156	1446	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Do you think the coalition government is managing the economy well or badly?

	Mar 5-6	Mar 12-13																					
Very well	10	10	31	1	5	6	23	3	5	14	6	10	11	9	11	12	8	11	12	9	11	2	11
Fairly well	36	35	59	16	59	38	57	16	40	38	32	28	28	36	44	39	30	32	39	40	27	35	35
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>46</b>
Fairly badly	27	27	6	46	21	30	11	41	33	25	30	29	27	27	27	27	27	23	27	26	31	26	28
Very badly	17	18	1	32	2	19	4	33	13	17	19	14	18	21	15	14	23	18	12	18	21	32	16
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>44</b>
Don't know	9	10	3	6	13	6	5	7	10	6	13	19	15	7	4	8	12	16	10	7	10	5	10

In your opinion how good or bad is the state of Britain's economy at the moment?

Very good	4	3	9	1	0	2	7	1	2	4	2	3	5	2	2	3	3	5	3	3	4	0	4
Quite good	26	27	59	10	32	22	50	10	23	32	22	23	22	25	36	30	23	27	30	29	24	19	27
<b>TOTAL GOOD</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>31</b>
Neither good nor bad	32	31	26	32	50	35	27	27	40	30	32	35	29	32	29	32	29	31	32	31	29	29	31
Quite bad	24	24	6	37	11	25	10	39	24	22	27	21	23	28	23	23	26	17	22	23	29	35	24
Very bad	9	11	1	18	3	15	4	20	8	10	12	7	14	12	9	8	16	12	9	11	12	15	10
<b>TOTAL BAD</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>34</b>
Don't know	5	4	0	1	4	1	2	3	3	2	5	10	7	2	1	4	4	9	4	3	3	1	4

How do you think the financial situation of your household will change over the next 12 months?

Get a lot better	2	3	6	3	0	1	5	2	1	4	2	4	6	2	0	4	2	6	3	1	2	1	3
Get a little better	20	15	28	11	22	12	20	9	16	19	13	24	22	13	10	18	12	19	15	19	14	10	16
<b>TOTAL BETTER</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>19</b>
Stay about the same	44	47	54	43	55	42	54	44	50	43	50	34	38	49	57	47	46	42	50	44	46	51	46
Get a little worse	20	22	9	31	13	29	16	29	23	23	21	20	22	20	24	21	23	16	20	24	23	25	21
Get a lot worse	8	8	1	9	5	14	3	11	5	7	8	4	5	11	7	5	11	6	6	8	9	11	7
<b>TOTAL WORSE</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>28</b>
Don't know	6	6	2	4	6	4	3	5	6	4	7	15	6	5	3	5	6	11	6	4	6	2	6

Sample Size: 1669 GB Adults  
Fieldwork: 12th - 13th March 2015

	Westminster VI				2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					England	
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	England
Weighted Sample	1669	467	466	92	191	472	420	313	809	860	199	422	571	477	951	718	214	542	357	411	145	1440
Unweighted Sample	1669	444	437	90	205	471	398	339	804	865	160	309	673	527	1126	543	179	568	333	433	156	1446
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Do you think that George Osborne is doing well or badly as Chancellor of the Exchequer?

	Mar 20-21 2014	Mar 12-13 2015																					
Very well	8	8	27	0	0	6	23	2	1	12	6	7	8	8	11	11	5	8	10	11	7	4	9
Fairly well	33	31	61	11	43	36	54	12	32	36	26	21	24	31	40	33	28	33	32	33	27	28	31
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>40</b>
Fairly badly	23	23	6	36	26	26	11	33	30	20	25	21	21	24	23	23	22	19	26	21	21	27	23
Very badly	24	20	1	38	10	21	3	40	21	23	17	17	19	24	18	17	24	17	14	21	26	32	19
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>42</b>
Don't know	12	18	6	14	21	12	10	13	17	10	25	35	27	13	8	16	20	22	18	15	19	10	18

Next week is the last annual budget before the election.

Thinking about the budget next week, if the Chancellor has some money to spend do you think he should prioritise...

Increasing spending on public services and investment	44	26	62	51	36	27	59	55	42	47	44	37	45	50	45	43	38	41	46	48	48	43
Cutting the amount of tax that people pay	25	31	20	24	36	33	22	16	27	22	19	29	25	23	23	26	25	23	25	26	28	24
Reducing the government's deficit more quickly	20	38	8	17	20	32	8	19	24	15	21	20	19	20	23	15	18	25	19	15	17	21
Not sure	12	5	10	8	8	7	11	10	7	16	16	15	11	8	8	16	18	12	10	11	7	12

And if the Chancellor has some money to spend in the budget next week, which of the following groups do you think he should be focusing any help upon? Please tick up to three

People in work but on low incomes	59	56	60	67	58	55	61	63	60	58	60	51	61	63	59	58	51	57	62	63	60	58
People currently looking for work	31	24	42	28	21	25	35	34	33	29	35	26	33	32	32	29	36	32	29	28	33	31
People trying to get on the housing ladder	25	30	22	32	25	26	25	27	22	28	35	23	21	28	27	22	25	29	21	24	23	26
People running small businesses	25	39	15	27	24	35	15	25	25	25	24	20	27	28	27	22	24	28	27	22	21	25
People in training and education	23	18	26	28	19	17	23	22	23	22	37	23	21	19	23	23	17	23	23	23	25	23
People on average incomes	22	25	24	15	26	28	24	16	24	20	17	24	29	15	22	22	19	21	23	26	18	23
People with children	17	12	23	12	15	13	20	18	12	23	13	29	17	10	16	19	17	15	15	20	24	17
People who are already retired	17	19	16	11	25	23	18	14	17	17	6	7	11	37	15	20	18	19	14	17	17	17
People saving for their retirement	12	16	9	10	18	18	9	13	12	12	1	9	17	13	13	11	8	10	17	13	11	11
None of these	3	5	4	0	5	4	3	4	5	2	3	5	3	2	3	4	5	3	4	3	2	3
Don't know	6	3	5	4	5	3	6	5	4	8	11	7	6	4	5	8	9	7	6	5	3	6

Sample Size: 1669 GB Adults  
Fieldwork: 12th - 13th March 2015

	Westminster VI				2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					England	
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland		
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1669</b>	467	466	92	191	472	420	313	809	860	199	422	571	477	951	718	214	542	357	411	145	1440
<b>Unweighted Sample</b>	1669	444	437	90	205	471	398	339	804	865	160	309	673	527	1126	543	179	568	333	433	156	1446
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

More specifically, would you support or oppose the Chancellor including the following measures in his budget next week?

**Raising the National Insurance threshold, so it is no longer paid by the lowest earners**

Support	71	75	72	75	68	74	71	74	72	69	65	63	73	77	71	71	59	71	71	73	78	70
Oppose	15	19	16	10	18	17	14	14	16	15	13	20	14	13	17	13	13	16	16	16	13	16
Don't know	14	6	12	15	14	9	14	11	12	16	23	17	12	10	12	17	28	13	12	11	8	14

**Allowing people who had to buy an annuity with their pension fund when it was compulsory to cash it back in**

Support	41	51	35	46	45	50	37	38	44	38	31	35	45	45	43	37	41	39	45	40	37	41
Oppose	13	16	12	14	13	12	14	14	15	11	12	12	11	17	14	11	13	14	11	12	15	13
Don't know	46	33	52	40	41	38	49	48	41	51	57	53	44	39	42	52	46	46	45	48	48	46

**Increasing the personal tax allowance from £10,000 to £11,000**

Support	83	92	83	89	83	92	83	90	86	81	70	77	87	91	85	81	76	85	83	86	83	83
Oppose	5	4	5	6	5	4	5	3	5	5	6	6	5	3	5	5	8	5	5	4	3	5
Don't know	12	4	12	5	12	3	12	7	9	15	25	17	8	6	10	14	16	11	12	10	14	11

**Continuing to freeze the level of child benefit**

Support	58	82	43	54	69	78	45	60	64	53	48	50	61	66	62	53	55	60	60	58	51	60
Oppose	26	12	38	22	20	15	40	22	23	28	26	30	25	22	24	27	29	24	20	28	36	24
Don't know	16	7	19	24	11	8	16	19	13	19	27	20	13	12	14	20	16	16	21	14	14	16

**Limiting child benefit, so it is only paid for a maximum of three children**

Support	73	89	64	74	87	89	63	76	76	70	52	67	78	81	74	72	66	77	74	73	64	74
Oppose	18	8	27	20	7	8	26	16	17	19	29	23	15	13	18	18	20	15	17	18	27	17
Don't know	9	3	9	6	6	3	11	7	8	11	19	10	8	6	8	11	14	7	9	9	9	9

**Reducing the maximum amount of benefits a household can receive each year from £26,000 to £23,000**

Support	63	90	50	52	76	82	52	61	66	60	46	61	63	70	65	60	53	64	63	67	59	63
Oppose	24	6	36	31	18	10	35	26	24	24	30	25	24	20	23	25	29	23	22	21	29	23
Don't know	13	4	14	17	6	7	13	13	10	17	24	14	13	10	12	15	18	12	15	12	11	13

**Stopping housing benefit and unemployment benefits for under 25s who are not in work or training**

Support	48	72	30	32	62	68	33	39	51	45	40	51	46	50	52	43	45	52	47	47	37	50
Oppose	35	13	54	53	23	16	49	45	36	34	44	33	39	29	35	35	34	33	32	36	48	34
Don't know	17	15	15	14	15	17	18	16	13	21	16	15	16	21	13	22	21	15	21	16	15	17

Sample Size: 1669 GB Adults  
Fieldwork: 12th - 13th March 2015

Total	Westminster VI				2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					England	
	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland		
<b>1669</b>	467	466	92	191	472	420	313	809	860	199	422	571	477	951	718	214	542	357	411	145	1440	
Unweighted Sample	469	444	437	90	205	471	398	339	804	865	160	309	673	527	1126	543	179	568	333	433	156	1446
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

**Increasing the amount of money people can earn before they have to pay the 40% tax rate from £41,865**

Support	<b>55</b>	73	47	47	64	71	46	52	56	55	48	55	55	59	60	50	63	54	55	51	60	54
Oppose	<b>29</b>	20	39	34	24	21	38	34	32	27	30	24	32	30	28	31	19	33	24	33	29	30
Don't know	<b>15</b>	8	14	19	12	8	16	15	12	18	22	20	13	11	12	20	17	13	20	16	11	16

**Cutting the rate of duty on alcohol**

Support	<b>33</b>	36	36	15	45	35	37	26	40	26	27	34	35	32	29	38	31	31	27	41	35	33
Oppose	<b>50</b>	53	48	70	45	52	44	58	47	52	45	46	50	54	56	41	51	52	57	41	47	49
Don't know	<b>17</b>	11	16	15	10	13	19	16	12	22	28	20	15	13	15	21	18	18	15	19	18	18

**And if you had to pick just one of the following, which would you MOST like to see?**

Increasing the personal tax allowance from £10,000 to £11,000	<b>31</b>	28	35	39	25	29	34	35	33	28	26	28	34	31	29	32	26	31	31	31	32	30
Reducing the maximum amount of benefits a household can receive each year from £26,000 to £23,000	<b>15</b>	21	13	7	20	19	12	11	15	14	11	16	14	15	14	15	9	16	14	18	10	15
Raising the National Insurance threshold, so it is no longer paid by the lowest earners	<b>14</b>	9	17	18	11	11	18	18	16	12	17	14	16	12	16	13	15	13	14	16	19	14
Limiting child benefit, so it is only paid for a maximum of three children	<b>14</b>	17	13	11	20	18	13	12	11	17	9	12	13	18	14	14	11	14	17	14	10	14
Stopping housing benefit and unemployment benefits for under 25s who are not in work or training	<b>7</b>	9	6	4	9	7	6	5	7	7	7	9	5	8	8	6	9	8	5	7	11	7
Increasing the amount of money people can earn before they have to pay the 40% tax rate from £41,865	<b>6</b>	8	5	6	5	7	5	7	6	6	8	8	6	4	8	4	11	6	6	4	3	6
Allowing people who had to buy an annuity with their pension fund when it was compulsory to cash it back in	<b>2</b>	2	0	3	0	2	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	4	1	2	0	1	3	1	5	1
Continuing to freeze the level of child benefit	<b>2</b>	2	1	3	1	2	0	1	2	1	3	1	1	2	2	1	4	1	0	2	2	2
Cutting the rate of duty on alcohol	<b>1</b>	1	2	1	3	1	2	1	2	1	3	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	1
None of them	<b>3</b>	1	4	6	1	1	4	4	2	4	5	3	2	3	4	2	3	3	3	3	3	3
Don't know	<b>6</b>	2	5	2	5	2	6	4	4	8	11	7	6	2	4	9	12	5	6	4	3	6

Sample Size: 1669 GB Adults  
Fieldwork: 12th - 13th March 2015

	Westminster VI				2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					England	
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North		Scotland
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>1669</b>	467	466	92	191	472	420	313	809	860	199	422	571	477	951	718	214	542	357	411	145	1440
Unweighted Sample	1669	444	437	90	205	471	398	339	804	865	160	309	673	527	1126	543	179	568	333	433	156	1446
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

	Mar 7-8 2013	Jan 9-10 2014	Mar 12-13 2015																					
<b>Thinking about the government's plans to make further cuts to spending, which of the following areas do you think the government should cut spending in the MOST? Please tick up to three</b>																								
Overseas aid	70	71	66	77	61	50	83	77	63	56	68	64	44	57	69	78	62	70	61	65	64	71	60	66
Welfare benefits	39	37	36	61	20	31	49	56	22	30	44	29	35	41	34	35	40	32	29	36	42	39	27	37
Environment and climate change	32	33	29	39	27	13	48	42	25	24	34	25	18	19	30	43	29	30	22	30	36	30	23	29
Defence	20	20	19	9	25	32	11	8	22	23	20	18	32	21	17	15	22	15	24	17	16	18	30	18
Local government	13	11	11	13	11	9	8	12	11	11	11	10	7	11	10	13	11	11	12	9	12	10	13	11
Transport	7	8	8	5	9	13	7	6	9	9	7	9	14	6	8	7	8	8	10	7	6	9	9	8
NHS	3	3	5	4	5	8	6	5	5	5	6	4	8	6	4	3	4	5	8	5	3	3	7	5
Education and schools	3	3	4	3	5	4	5	3	5	4	4	4	3	5	3	3	4	4	7	3	2	4	4	4
Pensions	3	4	4	3	7	7	3	3	6	4	6	3	8	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	3	4	6	4
Crime and policing	1	2	3	1	4	5	1	1	3	3	3	2	4	4	3	1	3	2	2	2	3	3	5	3
None of these	6	6	7	1	10	7	0	2	9	12	6	7	5	8	7	5	7	6	6	7	8	6	8	7
Don't know	4	5	5	1	5	4	2	2	5	7	4	6	12	6	5	2	5	6	9	5	7	4	2	5

	Mar 7-8 2013	Jan 9-10 2014	Mar 12-13 2015																					
<b>Thinking about the government's plans to make further cuts to spending, which of the following areas do you think the government should try to PROTECT from cuts? Please tick up to three</b>																								
NHS	76	67	79	76	84	81	79	76	84	79	77	81	78	75	80	83	78	81	70	81	79	85	74	80
Education and schools	53	54	50	51	52	60	34	45	48	54	46	54	62	57	48	40	51	48	53	49	50	50	46	51
Crime and policing	42	33	35	41	26	25	48	40	29	36	33	36	21	36	36	37	35	33	34	35	33	38	28	35
Pensions	38	39	27	28	26	21	41	31	27	22	26	27	13	13	25	45	24	29	26	26	28	26	25	27
Defence	17	18	25	38	14	18	42	40	16	20	33	17	18	19	23	35	25	25	18	26	28	26	20	26
Welfare benefits	16	15	13	4	24	11	7	7	20	14	11	15	12	12	17	11	12	16	11	12	13	13	22	12
Environment and climate change	6	8	9	7	9	10	3	6	9	9	8	9	10	11	8	6	11	6	11	7	10	8	9	8
Local government	6	7	9	5	12	16	7	4	13	12	9	9	10	7	11	8	9	9	6	8	9	11	11	9
Transport	7	7	7	5	9	12	8	5	6	10	10	4	8	9	8	4	8	7	11	5	10	6	6	7
Overseas aid	2	3	3	3	4	7	1	3	3	5	4	3	3	3	4	3	4	2	2	4	2	2	9	3
None of these	1	2	2	3	1	1	1	3	1	1	3	1	3	3	2	1	2	2	4	2	1	2	2	2
Don't know	4	5	3	1	2	1	1	1	4	3	2	4	8	4	3	1	3	4	6	3	4	2	1	3

Sample Size: 1669 GB Adults  
Fieldwork: 12th - 13th March 2015

Total	Westminster VI				2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					England
	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Fe- male	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	
<b>1669</b>	467	466	92	191	472	420	313	809	860	199	422	571	477	951	718	214	542	357	411	145	1440
Unweighted Sample	444	437	90	205	471	398	339	804	865	160	309	673	527	1126	543	179	568	333	433	156	1446
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Thinking about reductions in defence spending in recent years, do you think the cuts have been too deep, not deep enough, or have they got the balance about right?

Too deep	47	47	50	31	69	54	52	43	53	41	28	32	50	64	45	49	40	47	47	51	46	47
Not deep enough	12	7	15	21	10	7	14	13	13	11	17	17	10	8	14	10	15	12	8	11	21	11
Have got the balance about right	21	37	14	33	10	29	13	24	22	20	21	23	22	18	22	19	16	23	25	19	15	21
Don't know	20	9	21	15	11	10	21	20	12	28	34	28	18	11	19	22	30	19	19	19	19	21

Britain's current system of submarine launched nuclear weapons, known as Trident, is coming to the end of its useful life and will soon have to be scrapped or replaced.

What do you think Britain should do when Trident reaches the end of its useful life?

Britain should replace Trident with an equally powerful nuclear missile system	31	46	24	20	49	49	23	22	37	25	24	29	32	35	32	30	30	35	32	28	22	32
Britain should retain a nuclear missile system, but it should be less powerful and cost less than replacing Trident	29	34	29	42	28	30	26	39	30	28	23	22	30	36	31	27	28	28	33	29	27	29
Britain should give up nuclear weapons completely	24	12	30	35	15	10	35	27	26	22	30	27	24	19	26	22	25	22	18	24	42	22
Don't know	16	8	16	4	8	11	16	11	7	24	23	21	15	10	12	22	17	15	17	19	9	17

Members of NATO are expected to spend 2% of their GDP on defence. Britain currently meets this target. Do you think Britain should or should not commit to spending this much on defence in the future?

Should commit to spending 2% of GDP on defence - it is important we maintain a strong defence and meet our obligations	53	70	47	56	64	69	49	51	62	46	36	46	57	63	55	51	45	60	52	53	46	55
Should not commit to spending 2% of GDP on defence - most other NATO countries don't meet the target, and there are other areas that need the money more	27	20	32	34	22	20	31	29	27	27	36	26	26	24	27	26	28	23	29	26	37	25
Not sure	20	10	20	10	14	11	20	20	12	27	28	28	17	13	18	22	27	17	19	21	17	20



Sample Size: 1669 GB Adults  
Fieldwork: 12th - 13th March 2015

	Westminster VI				2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					England	
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North		Scotland
Weighted Sample	1669	467	466	92	191	472	420	313	809	860	199	422	571	477	951	718	214	542	357	411	145	1440
Unweighted Sample	1669	444	437	90	205	471	398	339	804	865	160	309	673	527	1126	543	179	568	333	433	156	1446
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

The United Nations have a target of 0.7% of National Income for Western countries to spend on overseas aid to developing countries. Britain currently meets this target. Parliament has recently voted to put this target into law. Do you think Britain should or should not commit to spending this much on overseas aid in the future?

Should commit to spending 0.7% of National Income on overseas aid - it is important we help less well off countries and meet our obligations

Should not commit to spending 0.7% of National Income on overseas aid - most other countries don't meet the target, and there are other areas that need the money more

Not sure

24	19	31	50	4	16	27	39	27	22	39	28	25	15	29	18	27	26	24	22	26	24
59	72	52	39	90	75	56	46	63	55	37	47	61	76	57	62	50	61	60	60	59	59
17	9	17	11	6	9	17	16	10	22	24	26	14	9	14	20	24	13	16	18	15	16

Imagine there is a hung Parliament after the next election, with the SNP holding the balance of power that is, has enough seats to determine which of the two main parties was able to form a government) Do you think it would be a good or bad thing if the SNP held the balance of power in a hung Parliament?

A very good thing	5	2	3	2	2	2	7	3	7	4	5	6	6	3	5	5	4	2	2	2	36	2
A fairly good thing	10	2	17	11	7	3	18	10	11	9	12	12	9	8	11	8	10	8	8	11	14	9
<b>TOTAL GOOD THING</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>11</b>
A fairly bad thing	19	13	27	28	17	12	23	25	18	20	12	19	20	20	19	18	22	18	21	18	14	19
A very bad thing	44	75	29	43	58	73	32	38	52	37	35	33	45	56	47	40	38	50	48	42	28	46
<b>TOTAL BAD THING</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>65</b>
Don't know	22	8	25	17	16	10	21	23	13	31	37	29	19	13	18	28	26	21	21	27	9	23

Do you think it would be a good or bad thing if the SNP was part of a coalition government at Westminster after the next election?

A very good thing	4	1	3	2	2	1	5	2	5	3	4	4	5	3	3	4	3	1	1	2	26	2
A fairly good thing	11	1	18	15	6	3	16	15	13	9	13	14	10	9	13	8	12	8	10	11	25	10
<b>TOTAL GOOD THING</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>12</b>
A fairly bad thing	21	19	27	35	19	17	24	24	20	21	22	20	21	22	22	19	19	22	22	23	9	22
A very bad thing	43	72	28	39	57	70	32	36	50	36	30	34	45	53	45	40	39	49	46	38	30	44
<b>TOTAL BAD THING</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>66</b>
Don't know	21	7	25	10	15	9	23	23	12	30	31	29	19	14	16	28	27	20	21	25	10	22

Sample Size: 1669 GB Adults  
Fieldwork: 12th - 13th March 2015

	Westminster VI				2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					England	
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	England	
<b>Weighted Sample</b> 1669	467	466	92	191	472	420	313	809	860	199	422	571	477	951	718	214	542	357	411	145	1440	
<b>Unweighted Sample</b> 1669	444	437	90	205	471	398	339	804	865	160	309	673	527	1126	543	179	568	333	433	156	1446	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

**Do you think the Labour party should be prepared to do a deal with the SNP in the event of a hung Parliament, or should it rule out a deal?**

Should be prepared to do a deal with the SNP	22	5	37	24	15	7	35	27	25	20	25	27	22	17	24	20	20	17	19	22	51	19
Should rule out doing a deal with the SNP	53	82	39	56	70	80	41	47	60	47	44	41	55	67	56	50	50	58	59	49	36	55
Not sure	25	13	25	20	15	13	24	25	15	33	31	33	23	16	20	30	29	24	21	29	14	26

**If there was a hung Parliament and the following were the only options available which would you prefer?**

**A minority Labour government without any secure majority, or a Labour/SNP coalition with an overall majority?**

A minority Labour government with no secure majority	45	66	39	60	46	61	38	47	55	36	41	41	46	50	50	39	41	54	47	40	30	47
A Labour/SNP coalition with an overall majority	21	3	38	22	10	4	38	25	22	20	26	20	21	20	22	20	22	17	16	21	51	18
Not sure	34	31	23	18	44	35	25	29	23	44	33	39	33	29	28	41	37	30	37	39	19	35

**A Conservative/Liberal Democrat coalition or a Labour/SNP coalition?**

A Conservative/Liberal Democrat coalition	45	94	10	69	49	86	14	42	51	40	37	42	44	51	51	38	47	54	44	37	33	47
A Labour/SNP coalition	29	1	66	17	13	3	58	34	31	27	30	29	31	26	27	32	27	21	30	32	52	26
Not sure	26	6	24	14	38	12	28	24	18	33	33	29	24	22	22	31	26	24	26	31	15	27

**Thinking about some of the things the SNP could possibly ask for in exchange for supporting a minority government in Westminster, would you support or oppose the following?**

**Getting rid of Trident, Britain's nuclear weapons system**

Would support this as part of a deal with the SNP	26	11	36	39	15	12	34	33	29	23	37	26	25	21	27	24	27	23	22	23	51	23
Would oppose this as part of a deal with the SNP	49	72	39	45	65	70	41	46	58	41	32	41	52	61	51	46	46	51	53	49	36	51
Don't know	25	17	25	16	20	18	25	21	13	36	30	33	23	18	21	30	27	26	25	28	14	26

**Keeping Trident, but moving Britain's nuclear submarine base out of Scotland**

Would support this as part of a deal with the SNP	34	40	36	21	41	39	37	31	40	29	27	31	37	37	37	31	32	33	38	32	40	34
Would oppose this as part of a deal with the SNP	34	38	30	58	35	38	30	39	41	27	35	30	32	39	34	34	27	35	32	33	44	33
Don't know	32	22	33	21	24	23	33	30	19	44	38	39	31	24	29	35	41	31	30	35	16	33

**Holding a new referendum on Scottish independence**

Would support this as part of a deal with the SNP	22	19	23	15	27	21	22	21	27	18	19	26	24	19	23	22	20	20	25	18	44	20
Would oppose this as part of a deal with the SNP	55	68	56	71	51	64	55	61	59	50	55	47	52	64	59	48	52	59	52	56	44	56
Don't know	23	13	21	14	22	15	23	18	14	32	26	27	25	16	18	30	28	21	23	26	12	24

Sample Size: 1669 GB Adults  
Fieldwork: 12th - 13th March 2015

	Westminster VI				2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					England	
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	England	
<b>Weighted Sample</b> 1669	467	466	92	191	472	420	313	809	860	199	422	571	477	951	718	214	542	357	411	145	1440	
<b>Unweighted Sample</b> 1669	444	437	90	205	471	398	339	804	865	160	309	673	527	1126	543	179	568	333	433	156	1446	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

**Giving Scotland greater devolved powers, such as control of welfare and oil revenues**

Would support this as part of a deal with the SNP	29	21	36	35	13	20	34	29	34	24	41	31	28	25	33	24	29	25	25	26	65	26
Would oppose this as part of a deal with the SNP	45	63	37	43	67	63	39	47	49	42	32	38	44	58	46	44	43	51	47	45	24	48
Don't know	25	16	27	22	20	17	27	24	16	34	27	31	28	18	21	32	29	25	28	28	11	27

**Do you think employers should be able to give priority to people who are British over people of different nationalities when recruiting for a job, or should it be illegal to discriminate between job applicants based on their nationality?**

Should be legal for an employer to give priority to British people over people of different nationalities	49	59	40	30	85	66	45	42	52	47	22	41	54	62	44	56	43	49	49	53	49	49
Should be illegal for an employer to discriminate against people based on their nationality	40	36	50	58	10	29	44	46	40	40	62	45	37	30	46	31	42	41	41	35	42	39
Not sure	11	5	11	12	5	5	11	12	9	13	16	14	9	9	9	13	14	9	10	13	9	11

**Generally speaking, do you have a positive or negative impression of Jeremy Clarkson, the presenter of Top Gear?**

Very positive	16	23	11	4	29	24	11	8	21	12	16	19	17	12	15	17	10	15	20	19	12	17
Fairly positive	28	38	21	31	31	37	19	27	29	26	39	27	28	23	27	28	23	32	30	25	20	28
<b>TOTAL POSITIVE</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>45</b>
Fairly negative	19	15	23	25	10	13	22	23	18	20	18	22	18	18	22	15	22	18	19	16	28	17
Very negative	29	19	40	27	23	21	42	35	25	33	16	19	30	42	27	31	29	28	24	33	35	29
<b>TOTAL NEGATIVE</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>46</b>
Don't know	8	4	5	13	6	5	7	7	6	10	11	12	7	5	8	9	15	7	7	8	5	9

**Jeremy Clarkson has been suspended by the BBC after he reportedly hit one of the shows producers.**

**Do you think the BBC was right or wrong to suspend Jeremy Clarkson?**

Right to suspend him	66	60	77	74	50	56	74	73	63	68	64	67	64	67	67	65	65	67	65	64	72	65
Wrong to suspend him	20	27	15	11	31	28	16	13	23	18	22	16	24	19	20	21	13	19	23	23	18	21
Don't know	14	12	8	16	19	16	10	14	14	14	14	17	12	14	14	14	22	14	12	13	10	15

**Do you think Jeremy Clarkson should...**

Be sacked by the BBC	36	27	47	36	29	26	50	39	31	40	27	31	39	41	36	36	38	33	31	40	42	35
Be punished with a fine or suspension, but not sacked completely	38	46	33	43	41	43	30	40	37	38	42	39	36	36	38	37	37	39	42	34	33	38
Not face any punishment	11	14	9	5	16	15	8	6	14	8	16	10	11	10	9	13	8	12	11	13	10	12
Don't know	15	13	11	16	14	17	12	15	17	13	15	19	14	13	17	13	17	16	16	13	15	15

Sample Size: 1669 GB Adults  
Fieldwork: 12th - 13th March 2015

	Westminster VI				2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social Grade		Region					England	
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	UKIP	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Fe- male	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland		
<b>Weighted Sample</b> 1669	467	466	92	191	472	420	313	809	860	199	422	571	477	951	718	214	542	357	411	145	1440	
Unweighted Sample	444	437	90	205	471	398	339	804	865	160	309	673	527	1126	543	179	568	333	433	156	1446	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

**If Jeremy Clarkson does leave the BBC, do you think Top Gear should continue with a new presenter, or should it be scrapped?**

Should continue with a new presenter	<b>48</b>	46	56	55	44	45	56	55	47	49	36	50	48	52	48	48	46	50	47	48	47	49
Should be scrapped	<b>36</b>	43	32	24	44	43	29	32	38	35	42	32	39	35	36	37	32	34	40	38	40	36
Don't know	<b>15</b>	12	12	20	11	12	15	13	15	16	22	18	13	13	16	15	22	17	13	13	13	16

**In a recent case the Supreme Court has ruled that a woman should be able to claim cash from her millionaire ex-husband, 20 years after they divorced.**

**The couple were new-age travellers with no money at the time they married and divorced, but the man later became a millionaire.**

**Do you think people should be able to claim for a financial settlement for divorce after this length of time, or should there be a time limit?**

There should be no time limit for a financial settlement for divorce, even if it means the occasional extreme case like this	<b>10</b>	7	12	16	7	7	12	15	8	12	8	12	11	10	11	10	13	10	11	8	13	10
There should be a time limit for a financial settlement for divorce, it is unreasonable for a claim to be made after twenty years	<b>80</b>	90	79	74	87	89	76	77	84	77	77	77	83	82	81	79	74	81	81	83	79	80
Don't know	<b>9</b>	3	9	10	6	4	11	8	8	10	14	11	6	8	8	11	13	9	8	8	7	9

**Thinking about GCSE English, students are required to study a Shakespeare play, a classic novel, a modern novel or play, and at least 15 poems.**

**Under new rules, they are no longer allowed to take the books, plays or poems they have studied into the exam. Which of the following best reflects your view?**

It is right students should not be able to take texts into the exam - it risks cheating and they should have studied and learnt enough to be able to answer without the texts	<b>52</b>	64	45	40	67	66	47	49	51	53	22	32	60	73	52	52	55	55	49	48	57	52
It is wrong students are not able to take the texts into the exam - it is unreasonable to expect teenagers to have memorised enough of the texts to answer without referring to them	<b>33</b>	27	41	44	22	25	37	37	33	32	54	53	25	16	34	31	30	29	35	40	26	33
Neither	<b>5</b>	3	4	8	2	3	5	7	5	5	7	6	5	4	6	4	5	6	5	4	5	5
Don't know	<b>10</b>	6	10	7	9	6	11	7	11	9	17	10	10	7	8	13	11	10	10	8	12	10