## YouGov-Cambridge Survey Results

Sample Size: 1691 GB Adults
Fieldwork: 10th - 11th February 2013

|  | Voting intention |  |  | 2010 Vote |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | Social grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Male | Female | $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 - 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 +}$ | ABC1 | C2DE |
| $\mathbf{1 6 9 1}$ | 406 | 556 | 146 | 538 | 394 | 364 | 822 | 869 | 205 | 431 | 578 | 477 | 964 | 727 |
| 1691 | 412 | 553 | 116 | 547 | 424 | 353 | 772 | 919 | 95 | 446 | 679 | 471 | 1106 | 585 |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |

How much more, if anything, would you be prepared to pay, per year, on your energy bills if the money went on policies aimed at
reducing the impact of climate change from energy production? Please write the amount you would be willing to pay additionally in the box below. If you would not be prepared to pay anything please write ' 0 '.
[Split sample $n=579$ ]
Would pay more

| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 26 | 28 | 51 | 17 | 34 | 27 | 25 | 23 | 34 | 31 | 20 | 17 | 29 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 43 | 48 | 37 | 26 | 52 | 34 | 46 | 51 | 37 | 22 | 32 | 48 | 58 | 39 |

 MEAN EXLUDING THOSE WHO WON'T PAY


| MORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Don't know | 33 | 26 | 34 | 23 | 31 | 32 | 27 | 24 | 39 | 44 | 37 | 32 | 25 | 31 |

magine an energy company has offered to
introduce policies designed to reduce their
impact on climate change, which would cost an
additional $£ 89$ per year to the average user
family's energy bills. Do you think this is good or bad value for money?

| [Split sample $n=579]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Very good value for money | 5 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 3 |
|  | Fairly good | 16 | 15 | 21 | 22 | 12 | 19 | 10 | 14 | 17 | 27 | 18 | 11 | 14 | 18 | 11 |
|  | TOTAL GOOD VALUE | 21 | 16 | 29 | 31 | 13 | 31 | 17 | 16 | 24 | 38 | 23 | 15 | 17 | 24 | 14 |
|  | Neither good nor bad | 24 | 22 | 23 | 22 | 26 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 25 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 28 | 25 | 21 |
|  | Fairly bad | 20 | 18 | 19 | 32 | 19 | 13 | 30 | 20 | 19 | 16 | 24 | 21 | 17 | 18 | 24 |
|  | Very bad value for money | 31 | 41 | 23 | 14 | 41 | 25 | 27 | 38 | 26 | 14 | 25 | 39 | 34 | 30 | 33 |
|  | TOTAL BAD VALUE | 51 | 59 | 42 | 46 | 60 | 38 | 57 | 58 | 45 | 30 | 49 | 60 | 51 | 48 | 57 |
|  | Don't know | 5 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 8 |

## YouGov-Cambridge

 Survey ResultsSample Size: 1691 GB Adults
Fieldwork: 10th - 11th February 2013

|  | Region |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | London | Rest of <br> South | Midlands <br> Wales | North | Scotland |
| $\mathbf{1 6 9 1}$ | 216 | 550 | 362 | 416 | 147 |
| 1691 | 300 | 520 | 288 | 441 | 142 |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |

How much more, if anything, would you be prepared to pay, per year, on your energy bills if the money went on policies aimed at
reducing the impact of climate change from
energy production? Please write the amount
you would be willing to pay additionally in the box below. If you would not be prepared to pay anything please write ' 0 '.
[Split sample $n=579]$


Imagine an energy company has offered to introduce policies designed to reduce their impact on climate change, which would cost an additional $£ 89$ per year to the average user family's energy bills. Do you think this is good or bad value for money?

| [Split sample $n=579$ ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Very good value for money | $\mathbf{5}$ | 4 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 3 |
|  | Fairly good | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 18 | 17 | 12 | 16 | 13 |
|  | TOTAL GOOD VALUE | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |
|  | Neither good nor bad | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 11 | 26 | 23 | 24 | 41 |
| Fairly bad | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 33 | 20 | 17 | 16 | 12 |  |
|  | Very bad value for money | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | 26 | 30 | 35 | 33 | 26 |
| TOTAL BAD VALUE | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ |  |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | 2 | 7 | 5 |  |


|  | Voting intention |  |  |  | 2010 Vote |  |  | Gender |  |  | Age |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Male | Female | $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 - 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 +}$ | ABC1 | C2DE |
| $\mathbf{1 6 9 1}$ | 406 | 556 | 146 | 538 | 394 | 364 | 822 | 869 | 205 | 431 | 578 | 477 | 964 | 727 |
| 1691 | 412 | 553 | 116 | 547 | 424 | 353 | 772 | 919 | 95 | 446 | 679 | 471 | 1106 | 585 |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |

How much more, if anything, would you be prepared to pay, per month, on your energy bills if the money went on policies aimed a reducing the impact of climate change from energy production? Please write the amount you would be willing to pay additionally in the box below. If you would not be prepared to pay anything please write ' 0 '.
[Split sample $n=574]$
Would pay more


Imagine an energy company has offered to introduce policies designed to reduce their
impact on climate change, which would cost an additional $£ 7.40$ per month to the average user family's energy bills. Do you think this is good or bad value for money?
[Split sample $n=574$ ]
Very good value for money

| Very good value for money | $\mathbf{6}$ | 6 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 11 | 4 | 9 | 9 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fairly good | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 19 | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | 14 | 25 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ |
| TOTAL GOOD VALUE | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| Neither good nor bad | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 19 | 24 | 12 | 19 | 14 | 32 |
| Fairly bad | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 20 | 13 | 22 | 24 | 17 | 14 |
| Very bad value for money | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 33 | 24 | 7 | 35 | 22 | 16 |
| TOTAL BAD VALUE | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 3 | 9 | $\mathbf{7}$ | 4 | 12 | 4 |


| 6 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 15 | 27 | 26 | 14 |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ |
| 19 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 17 |
| 15 | 26 | 23 | 17 | 22 |
| 28 | 23 | 7 | 17 | 34 |
| $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | 56 |
|  | 9 | 11 | 19 | 13 |


| 8 | 5 | 8 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 14 | 26 | 11 |
| 22 | 19 | 34 | 15 |
| 17 | 19 | 16 | 23 |
| 22 | 20 | 17 | 24 |
| 34 | 34 | 29 | 22 |
| 56 | 54 | 46 | 46 |
| 5 | 9 | 4 | 16 |

What the world thinks

How much more, if anything, would you be prepared to pay, per month, on your energ bills if the money went on policies aimed at reducing the impact of climate change from energy production? Please write the amount you would be willing to pay additionally in the box below. If you would not be prepared to pay anything please write ' 0 '.
[Split sample $n=574]$

|  | Region |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | London | Rest of <br> South | Midlands <br> Wales | North | Scotland |
| $\mathbf{1 6 9 1}$ | 216 | 550 | 362 | 416 | 147 |
| 1691 | 300 | 520 | 288 | 441 | 142 |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Would pay more | 26 | 33 | 30 | 25 | 21 | 21 |
|  | Wouldn't pay more | 41 | 34 | 38 | 37 | 45 | 54 |
| MEAN INCLUDING THOSE WHO WON'T PAY |  | $£ 5.00$ | $£ 10.00$ | $£ 5.00$ | £3.00 | $£ 5.00$ | £3.00 |
| MEAN EXLUDING THOSE WHO WON'T PAY |  | £12.00 | £20.00 | $£ 11.00$ | £8.00 | £14.00 | £10.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Don't know | 33 | 33 | 32 | 38 | 33 | 25 |

Imagine an energy company has offered to introduce policies designed to reduce their
impact on climate change, which would cost an additional $£ 7.40$ per month to the average user family's energy bills. Do you think this is good or bad value for money?

| [Split sample $n=574$ ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Very good value for money | $\mathbf{6}$ | 7 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
|  | Fairly good | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 28 | 17 | 23 | 14 | 18 |
|  | TOTAL GOOD VALUE | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
|  | Neither good nor bad | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 10 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 36 |
| Fairly bad | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 27 | 20 | 17 | 23 | 9 |  |
|  | Very bad value for money | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 24 | 22 | 25 | 29 | 33 |
|  | TOTAL BAD VALUE | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 5 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 2 |  |


|  | Voting intention |  |  |  | 2010 Vote |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  | Social grade |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | Male | Female | $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 9}$ | $40-59$ | $\mathbf{6 0 +}$ | ABC1 | C2DE |
| $\mathbf{1 6 9 1}$ | 406 | 556 | 146 | 538 | 394 | 364 | 822 | 869 | 205 | 431 | 578 | 477 | 964 | 727 |
| 1691 | 412 | 553 | 116 | 547 | 424 | 353 | 772 | 919 | 95 | 446 | 679 | 471 | 1106 | 585 |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |

How much more would you be prepared to pay, per week, on your energy bills if the money went on policies aimed at reducing the impac of climate change from energy production?
Please write the amount you would be willing
to pay additionally in the box below. If you
would not be prepared to pay anything please write ' 0 '.

magine an energy company has offered to
introduce policies that will reduce their impact on climate change, which would cost an
additional $£ 1.70$ per week to the average user family's energy bills. Do you think this is good or bad value for money?

| [Split sample $\mathrm{n}=538$ ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Very good value for money | 13 | 9 | 17 | 20 | 7 | 10 | 15 | 16 | 10 | 30 | 15 | 11 | 8 | 11 | 15 |
|  | Fairly good | 21 | 12 | 23 | 30 | 13 | 24 | 35 | 20 | 22 | 28 | 28 | 20 | 13 | 23 | 17 |
|  | TOTAL GOOD VALUE | 34 | 21 | 40 | 50 | 20 | 34 | 50 | 36 | 32 | 58 | 43 | 31 | 21 | 34 | 32 |
|  | Neither good nor bad | 21 | 29 | 18 | 9 | 29 | 20 | 14 | 20 | 22 | 11 | 13 | 28 | 23 | 19 | 25 |
|  | Fairly bad | 14 | 20 | 14 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 11 | 16 | 18 | 10 |
|  | Very bad value for money | 22 | 25 | 18 | 13 | 29 | 20 | 15 | 23 | 22 | 2 | 23 | 19 | 30 | 22 | 23 |
|  | TOTAL BAD VALUE | 36 | 45 | 32 | 30 | 45 | 35 | 29 | 37 | 36 | 15 | 38 | 30 | 46 | 40 | 33 |
|  | Don't know | 9 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 16 | 5 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 10 |

What the world thinks

How much more would you be prepared to pay, per week, on your energy bills if the money
went on policies aimed at reducing the impac
of climate change from energy production?
Please write the amount you would be willing
to pay additionally in the box below. If you
would not be prepared to pay anything please
write ' 0 '.
[Split sample $\mathrm{n}=538$ ]

|  | Region |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | London | Rest of <br> South | Midlands <br> Wales | North | Scotland |
| $\mathbf{1 6 9 1}$ | 216 | 550 | 362 | 416 | 147 |
| 1691 | 300 | 520 | 288 | 441 | 142 |
| $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |


| Would pay more | 25 | 35 | 27 | 18 | 28 | 17 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wouldn't pay more | 44 | 33 | 38 | 54 | 42 | 59 |
| MEAN INCLUDING THOSE WHO WON'T PAY | $£ 3.00$ | $£ 15.00$ | $£ 2.00$ | $£ 1.00$ | $£ 2.00$ | $£ 1.00$ |
| MORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEAN EXLUDING THOSE WHO WON'T PAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MORE | $£ 9.00$ | $£ 30.00$ | $£ 4.00$ | $£ 5.00$ | $£ 5.00$ | $£ 5.00$ |
| Don't know | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 32 | 34 | 27 | 30 | 24 |

magine an energy company has offered to introduce policies that will reduce their impact on climate change, which would cost an additional $£ 1.70$ per week to the average user family's energy bills. Do you think this is good or bad value for money?

| [Split sample $\mathrm{n}=538$ ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Very good value for money | 13 | 23 | 9 | 8 | 18 | 12 |
|  | Fairly good | 21 | 20 | 23 | 22 | 17 | 18 |
|  | TOTAL GOOD VALUE | 34 | 43 | 32 | 30 | 35 | 30 |
|  | Neither good nor bad | 21 | 25 | 26 | 16 | 16 | 26 |
|  | Fairly bad | 14 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 18 | 13 |
|  | Very bad value for money | 22 | 11 | 23 | 28 | 21 | 23 |
|  | TOTAL BAD VALUE | 36 | 20 | 34 | 44 | 39 | 36 |
|  | Don't know | 9 | 12 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 7 |

