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## 1. University handling

Generally speaking, do you think colleges and universities do a good or a bad job handling cases of students reporting rape, sexual assault or harassment?


## 2. More common

Which do you think is more common?


|  | Total | Race |  |  | Family Income |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White | Black | Hispanic | Under 40 | 40-80 | 80+ | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| False accusations of rape | 19\% | 20\% | 13\% | 18\% | 19\% | 21\% | 17\% | 28\% | 19\% | 15\% | 16\% |
| Rapists going free because people don't |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| believe the accuser | 52\% | 52\% | 53\% | 51\% | 55\% | 50\% | 56\% | 45\% | 52\% | 53\% | 56\% |
| Not sure | 29\% | 28\% | 34\% | 31\% | 27\% | 29\% | 28\% | 28\% | 29\% | 31\% | 28\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| (Unweighted N ) | $(1,000)$ | (768) | (115) | (117) | (371) | (311) | (191) | (173) | (218) | (380) | (229) |

## 3. Responsibility

Say that one person tries to initiate a sexual encounter with another person. Which comes closer to your opinion?


## 4. Yes is yes

The state of California recently passed a law changing how colleges and universities define sexual assault. Instead of requiring that one person say no if they're not interested, the law will require that both people say yes, or give "affirmative consent," before a sexual encounter is considered consensual. Do you approve or disapprove of this law?

|  | Total | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | Party ID |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-29 | 30-44 | 45-64 | 65+ | Democrat |  | ndependent | Republican |
| Strongly approve | 26\% | 24\% | 28\% | 24\% | 30\% | 28\% | 19\% | 30\% |  | 28\% | 16\% |
| Somewhat approve | 33\% | 32\% | 34\% | 35\% | 27\% | 30\% | 44\% | 36\% |  | 30\% | 36\% |
| Somewhat disapprove | 11\% | 12\% | 11\% | 10\% | 12\% | 10\% | 14\% | 12\% |  | 10\% | 13\% |
| Strongly disapprove | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% | 8\% | 6\% | 13\% | 10\% | 7\% |  | 9\% | 15\% |
| Not sure | 20\% | 22\% | 18\% | 23\% | 24\% | 19\% | 12\% | 15\% |  | 23\% | 20\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  | 100\% | 100\% |
| (Unweighted N) | (999) | (480) | (519) | (174) | (282) | (379) | (164) | (333) |  | (435) | (231) |
|  |  |  | Race |  |  | ily Income |  |  |  | Region |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Under 40 | 40-80 | 80+ | Northeast | Midwes | st South | West |
| Strongly approve | 26\% | 25\% | 31\% | 29\% | 31\% | 28\% | 21\% | 21\% | 30\% | \% 26\% | 28\% |
| Somewhat approve | 33\% | 37\% | 21\% | 22\% | 28\% | 34\% | 42\% | 32\% | 34\% | \% 30\% | 38\% |
| Somewhat disapprove | 11\% | 11\% | 16\% | 8\% | 12\% | 9\% | 13\% | 10\% | 11\% | \% 12\% | 12\% |
| Strongly disapprove | 10\% | 9\% | 7\% | 16\% | 10\% | 9\% | 6\% | 11\% | 7\% | \% 12\% | 7\% |
| Not sure | 20\% | 18\% | 26\% | 25\% | 19\% | 20\% | 19\% | 27\% | 18\% | 20\% | 16\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | \% 100\% | 100\% |
| (Unweighted N) | (999) | (767) | (115) | (117) | (371) | (311) | (191) | (172) | (218) | ) (380) | (229) |

## 5. Current relationship status

Which of the following best describes your current relationship status?

|  | Total | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | Party ID |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-29 | 30-44 | 45-64 | 65+ | Democrat |  |  | Republican |
| Single and not seeing anyone | 34\% | 36\% | 32\% | 47\% | 28\% | 27\% | 41\% | 36\% |  |  | 26\% |
| In a casual dating relationship | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 5\% | 4\% | 0\% | 5\% |  |  | 3\% |
| In a committed relationship | 15\% | 12\% | 19\% | 22\% | 20\% | 13\% | 4\% | 20\% |  |  | 9\% |
| Married | 47\% | 49\% | 45\% | 25\% | 47\% | 56\% | 54\% | 39\% |  |  | 63\% |
| Totals (Unweighted N) | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (999) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (481) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (518) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (175) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (281) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (379) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 100 \% \\ & (164) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (334) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (230) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | Race |  |  | ily Income |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Under 40 | 40-80 | 80+ | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| Single and not seeing anyone | 34\% | 32\% | 52\% | 29\% | 48\% | 22\% | 14\% | 43\% | 37\% | 33\% | 26\% |
| In a casual dating relationship | 4\% | 2\% | 7\% | 9\% | 3\% | 5\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| In a committed relationship | 15\% | 15\% | 17\% | 17\% | 16\% | 17\% | 11\% | 19\% | 14\% | 15\% | 14\% |
| Married | 47\% | 51\% | 24\% | 44\% | 32\% | 55\% | 71\% | 31\% | 45\% | 49\% | 57\% |
| Totals <br> (Unweighted N) | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (999) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 100 \% \\ & (767) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (115) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 100 \% \\ & (117) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (370) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (311) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (191) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (173) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (217) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (380) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (229) \end{aligned}$ |

