## 1. Increase/decrease spending on the following programs - Food stamps

Should the federal government increase or decrease spending on the following programs?

|  | Total | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | Party ID |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-29 | 30-44 | 45-64 | 65+ | Democrat | Independent | Republican |
| Increase | 28\% | 27\% | 29\% | 24\% | 29\% | 34\% | 24\% | 48\% | 24\% | 8\% |
| Keep the same | 33\% | 32\% | 33\% | 34\% | 38\% | 31\% | 22\% | 33\% | 37\% | 23\% |
| Decrease | 28\% | 30\% | 26\% | 25\% | 25\% | 27\% | 41\% | 11\% | 27\% | 55\% |
| Eliminate completely | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | 6\% | 3\% | 5\% | 7\% | 2\% | 5\% | 10\% |
| Not sure | 6\% | 5\% | 8\% | 11\% | 6\% | 3\% | 6\% | 6\% | 8\% | 4\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| (Unweighted N ) | (993) | (430) | (563) | (160) | (229) | (409) | (186) | (355) | (411) | (227) |


|  | Total | Race |  |  | Family Income |  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White | Black | Hispanic | Under 40 | 40-80 | 80-100 | 100+ | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| Increase | 28\% | 24\% | 53\% | 32\% | 40\% | 19\% | 18\% | 19\% | 30\% | 25\% | 30\% | 27\% |
| Keep the same | 33\% | 32\% | 24\% | 44\% | 28\% | 38\% | 42\% | 40\% | 28\% | 39\% | 31\% | 33\% |
| Decrease | 28\% | 32\% | 17\% | 14\% | 22\% | 33\% | 32\% | 31\% | 26\% | 26\% | 30\% | 29\% |
| Eliminate completely | 5\% | 6\% | 1\% | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 7\% | 8\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Not sure | 6\% | 7\% | 4\% | 6\% | 7\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% | 11\% | 5\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| Totals (Unweighted N) | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (993) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (805) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (111) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (351) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (291) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (123) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (152) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (150) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (412) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (279) \end{aligned}$ |

## 2. Increase/decrease spending on the following programs - Section 8 housing vouchers

Should the federal government increase or decrease spending on the following programs?

|  | Total | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | Party ID |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-29 | 30-44 | 45-64 | 65+ | Democrat | Independent | Republican |
| Increase | 21\% | 20\% | 21\% | 19\% | 24\% | 23\% | 12\% | 32\% | 19\% | 8\% |
| Keep the same | 31\% | 31\% | 32\% | 27\% | 30\% | 34\% | 28\% | 35\% | 30\% | 26\% |
| Decrease | 24\% | 21\% | 26\% | 22\% | 25\% | 21\% | 31\% | 13\% | 26\% | 34\% |
| Eliminate completely | 9\% | 12\% | 7\% | 8\% | 7\% | 10\% | 12\% | 4\% | 9\% | 17\% |
| Not sure | 15\% | 16\% | 15\% | 24\% | 13\% | 11\% | 16\% | 15\% | 16\% | 15\% |
| Totals (Unweighted N) | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (993) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (429) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (564) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (159) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (231) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (408) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (186) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (356) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (412) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (225) \end{aligned}$ |


|  | Total | Race |  |  | Family Income |  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White | Black | Hispanic | Under 40 | 40-80 | 80-100 | 100+ | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| Increase | 21\% | 15\% | 51\% | 23\% | 31\% | 14\% | 15\% | 13\% | 31\% | 16\% | 16\% | 23\% |
| Keep the same | 31\% | 31\% | 26\% | 36\% | 30\% | 32\% | 37\% | 34\% | 21\% | 34\% | 34\% | 31\% |
| Decrease | 24\% | 27\% | 11\% | 14\% | 20\% | 27\% | 30\% | 25\% | 19\% | 26\% | 27\% | 20\% |
| Eliminate completely | 9\% | 11\% | 1\% | 4\% | 7\% | 10\% | 8\% | 14\% | 12\% | 7\% | 9\% | 10\% |
| Not sure | 15\% | 15\% | 11\% | 23\% | 12\% | 16\% | 11\% | 14\% | 16\% | 17\% | 14\% | 16\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| (Unweighted N) | (993) | (806) | (110) | (77) | (354) | (291) | (65) | (122) | (153) | (151) | (411) | (278) |

## 3. Increase/decrease spending on the following programs - VA education benefits

Should the federal government increase or decrease spending on the following programs?

|  | Total | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | Party ID |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-29 | 30-44 | 45-64 | 65+ | Democrat | Independent | Republican |
| Increase | 43\% | 42\% | 44\% | 32\% | 38\% | 47\% | 55\% | 55\% | 40\% | 32\% |
| Keep the same | 42\% | 45\% | 39\% | 43\% | 40\% | 44\% | 38\% | 30\% | 42\% | 57\% |
| Decrease | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% | 5\% | 3\% | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% |
| Eliminate completely | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 6\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Not sure | 10\% | 9\% | 10\% | 16\% | 14\% | 5\% | 3\% | 7\% | 13\% | 6\% |
| Totals (Unweighted N) | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (987) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (427) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (560) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (158) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (228) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (409) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (183) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 100 \% \\ & (352) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 100 \% \\ & (410) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (225) \end{aligned}$ |


|  | Total | Race |  |  | Family Income |  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White | Black | Hispanic | Under 40 | 40-80 | 80-100 | 100+ | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| Increase | 43\% | 42\% | 48\% | 44\% | 47\% | 37\% | 39\% | 43\% | 48\% | 37\% | 40\% | 51\% |
| Keep the same | 42\% | 43\% | 39\% | 36\% | 35\% | 50\% | 50\% | 49\% | 32\% | 49\% | 45\% | 37\% |
| Decrease | 3\% | 3\% | 5\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 1\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 5\% | 3\% |
| Eliminate completely | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 5\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Not sure | 10\% | 10\% | 4\% | 12\% | 11\% | 9\% | 8\% | 4\% | 13\% | 11\% | 8\% | 7\% |
| Totals (Unweighted N) | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (987) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (802) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (108) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (351) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (286) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (123) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (151) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (149) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (409) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (278) \end{aligned}$ |

## 4. Increase/decrease spending on the following programs - VA home loan guarantees

Should the federal government increase or decrease spending on the following programs?


## 5. Increase/decrease spending on the following programs - Small business loans

Should the federal government increase or decrease spending on the following programs?


## 6. Increase/decrease spending on the following programs - Unemployment benefits

Should the federal government increase or decrease spending on the following programs?

|  | Total | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | Party ID |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-29 | 30-44 | 45-64 | 65+ | Democrat | Independent | Republican |
| Increase | 29\% | 27\% | 31\% | 28\% | 31\% | 29\% | 26\% | 46\% | 25\% | 12\% |
| Keep the same | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 32\% | 46\% | 40\% | 39\% | 40\% | 41\% | 37\% |
| Decrease | 22\% | 24\% | 20\% | 22\% | 13\% | 25\% | 32\% | 8\% | 22\% | 43\% |
| Eliminate completely | 3\% | 4\% | 2\% | 7\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| Not sure | 6\% | 4\% | 7\% | 10\% | 6\% | 5\% | 1\% | 5\% | 8\% | 3\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| (Unweighted N ) | (992) | (429) | (563) | (160) | (230) | (408) | (185) | (354) | (412) | (226) |


|  | Total | Race |  |  | Family Income |  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White | Black | Hispanic | Under 40 | 40-80 | 80-100 | 100+ | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| Increase | 29\% | 24\% | 54\% | 31\% | 35\% | 27\% | 19\% | 16\% | 29\% | 30\% | 29\% | 27\% |
| Keep the same | 40\% | 40\% | 35\% | 43\% | 36\% | 44\% | 44\% | 49\% | 40\% | 42\% | 38\% | 41\% |
| Decrease | 22\% | 26\% | 9\% | 16\% | 19\% | 24\% | 23\% | 28\% | 17\% | 22\% | 24\% | 25\% |
| Eliminate completely | 3\% | 4\% | 0\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 8\% | 6\% | 4\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2\% |
| Not sure | 6\% | 6\% | 1\% | 7\% | 7\% | 3\% | 6\% | 1\% | 9\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| (Unweighted N ) | (992) | (805) | (111) | (76) | (351) | (291) | (65) | (122) | (153) | (151) | (411) | (277) |

## 7. Increase/decrease spending on the following programs - Crop subsidies

Should the federal government increase or decrease spending on the following programs?


## 8. Increase/decrease spending on the following programs - Advanced Technology Program grants

Should the federal government increase or decrease spending on the following programs?

|  | Total | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | Party ID |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-29 | 30-44 | 45-64 | 65+ | Democrat | Independent | Republican |
| Increase | 33\% | 39\% | 26\% | 30\% | 31\% | 38\% | 29\% | 44\% | 28\% | 26\% |
| Keep the same | 35\% | 29\% | 41\% | 30\% | 37\% | 34\% | 40\% | 33\% | 34\% | 41\% |
| Decrease | 13\% | 16\% | 11\% | 15\% | 11\% | 13\% | 14\% | 7\% | 16\% | 17\% |
| Eliminate completely | 4\% | 6\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 3\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Not sure | 15\% | 10\% | 19\% | 21\% | 16\% | 11\% | 14\% | 14\% | 17\% | 12\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| (Unweighted N ) | (994) | (429) | (565) | (160) | (230) | (409) | (186) | (354) | (412) | (228) |


|  | Total | Race |  |  | Family Income |  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White | Black | Hispanic | Under 40 | 40-80 | 80-100 | 100+ | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| Increase | 33\% | 32\% | 33\% | 34\% | 27\% | 33\% | 37\% | 42\% | 29\% | 32\% | 36\% | 31\% |
| Keep the same | 35\% | 35\% | 37\% | 34\% | 33\% | 41\% | 38\% | 34\% | 32\% | 37\% | 34\% | 37\% |
| Decrease | 13\% | 13\% | 23\% | 7\% | 16\% | 11\% | 14\% | 9\% | 17\% | 15\% | 12\% | 11\% |
| Eliminate completely | 4\% | 5\% | 0\% | 6\% | 6\% | 4\% | 1\% | 3\% | 6\% | 2\% | 3\% | 7\% |
| Not sure | 15\% | 15\% | 6\% | 19\% | 17\% | 13\% | 9\% | 12\% | 16\% | 14\% | 15\% | 14\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| (Unweighted N ) | (994) | (807) | (111) | (76) | (353) | (290) | (65) | (123) | (153) | (150) | (412) | (279) |

## 9. Support for food stamp work requirements

Do you \$supp text or \$opp text work requirements for food stamp recipients?

|  | Total | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | Party ID |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-29 | 30-44 | 45-64 | 65+ | Democrat | Independent | Republican |
| Support strongly | 41\% | 37\% | 44\% | 31\% | 43\% | 43\% | 51\% | 30\% | 38\% | 62\% |
| Support somewhat | 31\% | 33\% | 30\% | 34\% | 29\% | 33\% | 29\% | 34\% | 31\% | 28\% |
| Oppose somewhat | 11\% | 11\% | 11\% | 10\% | 12\% | 10\% | 10\% | 17\% | 11\% | 3\% |
| Oppose strongly | 7\% | 7\% | 6\% | 11\% | 5\% | 7\% | 3\% | 7\% | 8\% | 3\% |
| Not sure | 10\% | 11\% | 9\% | 14\% | 11\% | 8\% | 6\% | 11\% | 12\% | 4\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| (Unweighted N) | (999) | (432) | (567) | (161) | (232) | (411) | (186) | (358) | (413) | (228) |


|  | Total | Race |  |  | Family Income |  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White | Black | Hispanic | Under 40 | 40-80 | 80-100 | 100+ | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| Support strongly | 41\% | 44\% | 31\% | 34\% | 37\% | 45\% | 62\% | 45\% | 36\% | 38\% | 45\% | 43\% |
| Support somewhat | 31\% | 31\% | 36\% | 31\% | 31\% | 34\% | 24\% | 29\% | 31\% | 38\% | 30\% | 29\% |
| Oppose somewhat | 11\% | 11\% | 15\% | 8\% | 12\% | 9\% | 9\% | 17\% | 14\% | 11\% | 9\% | 12\% |
| Oppose strongly | 7\% | 5\% | 11\% | 12\% | 7\% | 5\% | 2\% | 3\% | 7\% | 6\% | 6\% | 7\% |
| Not sure | 10\% | 10\% | 7\% | 14\% | 13\% | 7\% | 3\% | 5\% | 12\% | 7\% | 10\% | 10\% |
| Totals (Unweighted N) | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (999) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (810) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (112) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (357) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (291) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (123) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (154) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (151) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (415) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (279) \end{aligned}$ |

## 10. Support for crop insurance subsidies

Do you \$supp text or \$opp text the federal government subsidizing crop insurance for farmers?

|  | Total | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | Party ID |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-29 | 30-44 | 45-64 | 65+ | Democrat | Independent | Republican |
| Support strongly | 12\% | 8\% | 16\% | 13\% | 15\% | 11\% | 10\% | 16\% | 11\% | 9\% |
| Support somewhat | 24\% | 26\% | 23\% | 14\% | 26\% | 29\% | 22\% | 25\% | 20\% | 32\% |
| Oppose somewhat | 25\% | 30\% | 21\% | 18\% | 20\% | 27\% | 36\% | 24\% | 26\% | 25\% |
| Oppose strongly | 16\% | 20\% | 12\% | 20\% | 9\% | 17\% | 21\% | 13\% | 18\% | 16\% |
| Not sure | 23\% | 15\% | 30\% | 34\% | 29\% | 16\% | 11\% | 23\% | 25\% | 18\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| (Unweighted N ) | (998) | (431) | (567) | (161) | (231) | (411) | (186) | (357) | (414) | (227) |


|  | Total | Race |  |  | Family Income |  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White | Black | Hispanic | Under 40 | 40-80 | 80-100 | 100+ | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| Support strongly | 12\% | 11\% | 21\% | 10\% | 13\% | 15\% | 11\% | 5\% | 15\% | 13\% | 13\% | 8\% |
| Support somewhat | 24\% | 26\% | 14\% | 22\% | 19\% | 28\% | 30\% | 28\% | 22\% | 25\% | 26\% | 22\% |
| Oppose somewhat | 25\% | 26\% | 23\% | 21\% | 25\% | 23\% | 21\% | 27\% | 24\% | 26\% | 21\% | 32\% |
| Oppose strongly | 16\% | 16\% | 15\% | 14\% | 14\% | 16\% | 19\% | 25\% | 16\% | 16\% | 14\% | 18\% |
| Not sure | 23\% | 20\% | 27\% | 34\% | 29\% | 18\% | 19\% | 15\% | 22\% | 20\% | 26\% | 20\% |
| Totals (Unweighted N) | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (998) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (809) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (112) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (356) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (292) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (123) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (154) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (152) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (413) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (279) \end{aligned}$ |

## 11. Support for federal price floors

Do you \$supp_text or \$opp_text the federal government establishing price floors, or a minimum price, for farmers' crops?


|  | Total | Race |  |  | Family Income |  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White | Black | Hispanic | Under 40 | 40-80 | 80-100 | 100+ | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| Support strongly | 7\% | 6\% | 14\% | 5\% | 8\% | 9\% | 4\% | 3\% | 12\% | 4\% | 8\% | 6\% |
| Support somewhat | 27\% | 27\% | 27\% | 26\% | 25\% | 27\% | 48\% | 25\% | 27\% | 29\% | 30\% | 21\% |
| Oppose somewhat | 22\% | 23\% | 20\% | 21\% | 22\% | 21\% | 18\% | 29\% | 21\% | 23\% | 19\% | 28\% |
| Oppose strongly | 16\% | 18\% | 11\% | 11\% | 14\% | 15\% | 14\% | 25\% | 13\% | 17\% | 15\% | 18\% |
| Not sure | 28\% | 26\% | 29\% | 36\% | 31\% | 28\% | 17\% | 19\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% | 28\% |
| Totals (Unweighted N) | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (999) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (810) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (112) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (357) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (291) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (123) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (154) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (152) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (414) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (279) \end{aligned}$ |

## 12. Support for direct payments

Do you \$supp_text or \$opp_text the federal government program that directly pays farm owners every year regardless of need?

|  | Total | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | Party ID |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-29 | 30-44 | 45-64 | 65+ | Democrat | Independent | Republican |
| Support strongly | 7\% | 5\% | 9\% | 13\% | 10\% | 5\% | 1\% | 10\% | 7\% | 3\% |
| Support somewhat | 15\% | 12\% | 18\% | 24\% | 19\% | 11\% | 8\% | 17\% | 14\% | 16\% |
| Oppose somewhat | 27\% | 29\% | 26\% | 20\% | 28\% | 32\% | 25\% | 29\% | 22\% | 34\% |
| Oppose strongly | 33\% | 40\% | 26\% | 19\% | 21\% | 39\% | 57\% | 27\% | 37\% | 33\% |
| Not sure | 17\% | 14\% | 21\% | 24\% | 22\% | 14\% | 9\% | 17\% | 20\% | 14\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| (Unweighted N ) | (994) | (429) | (565) | (160) | (230) | (410) | (186) | (356) | (411) | (227) |


|  | Total | Race |  |  | Family Income |  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White | Black | Hispanic | Under 40 | 40-80 | 80-100 | 100+ | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| Support strongly | 7\% | 5\% | 12\% | 12\% | 8\% | 10\% | 5\% | 3\% | 14\% | 4\% | 8\% | 3\% |
| Support somewhat | 15\% | 13\% | 24\% | 20\% | 18\% | 14\% | 10\% | 11\% | 14\% | 17\% | 18\% | 12\% |
| Oppose somewhat | 27\% | 29\% | 21\% | 22\% | 26\% | 28\% | 46\% | 26\% | 24\% | 28\% | 27\% | 29\% |
| Oppose strongly | 33\% | 36\% | 21\% | 25\% | 25\% | 33\% | 30\% | 53\% | 29\% | 37\% | 28\% | 41\% |
| Not sure | 17\% | 16\% | 22\% | 22\% | 23\% | 14\% | 9\% | 7\% | 19\% | 15\% | 19\% | 15\% |
| Totals (Unweighted N) | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (994) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (806) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (112) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (76) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (354) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (290) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (123) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (154) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (151) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (410) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & (279) \end{aligned}$ |

## 13. Family farmers income

Do you think the average farm household earns more income than the average American household, earns about the same income as the average American household, or earns less income than the average American household?

|  | Total | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  | Party ID |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female | 18-29 | 30-44 | 45-64 | 65+ | Democrat | Independent | Republican |
| More income | 24\% | 28\% | 20\% | 29\% | 20\% | 27\% | 16\% | 27\% | 23\% | 20\% |
| About the same | 27\% | 31\% | 23\% | 30\% | 27\% | 25\% | 25\% | 26\% | 27\% | 28\% |
| Less income | 25\% | 20\% | 31\% | 17\% | 25\% | 28\% | 32\% | 28\% | 22\% | 28\% |
| Not sure | 24\% | 21\% | 26\% | 24\% | 27\% | 21\% | 27\% | 19\% | 27\% | 24\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| (Unweighted N) | (999) | (431) | (568) | (161) | (232) | (411) | (186) | (357) | (414) | (228) |


|  | Total | Race |  |  | Family Income |  |  |  | Region |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White | Black | Hispanic | Under 40 | 40-80 | 80-100 | 100+ | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| More income | 24\% | 22\% | 31\% | 27\% | 23\% | 27\% | 24\% | 18\% | 20\% | 25\% | 23\% | 27\% |
| About the same | 27\% | 27\% | 21\% | 31\% | 28\% | 28\% | 24\% | 23\% | 28\% | 35\% | 26\% | 19\% |
| Less income | 25\% | 27\% | 21\% | 23\% | 23\% | 26\% | 33\% | 33\% | 24\% | 18\% | 29\% | 29\% |
| Not sure | 24\% | 24\% | 26\% | 19\% | 26\% | 19\% | 19\% | 26\% | 29\% | 22\% | 22\% | 25\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| (Unweighted N ) | (999) | (810) | (112) | (77) | (357) | (291) | (65) | (123) | (154) | (152) | (414) | (279) |

