

# YouGov / Sunday Times Survey Results

Sample Size: 2056 GB Adults  
Fieldwork: 5th - 6th May 2011

	Voting intention			2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region						
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	626	713	171	645	546	451	999	1057	249	524	703	580	1172	884	263	668	440	506	179	
<b>Unweighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	583	612	200	604	468	518	980	1076	173	488	805	590	1397	659	294	656	424	476	206	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

## Headline Voting Intention

[Excluding Don't Knows and Wouldn't Votes]

Con	<b>36</b>	100	0	0	92	2	8	34	39	31	34	33	45	40	32	43	42	41	30	15
Lab	<b>41</b>	0	100	0	3	93	40	43	39	47	44	45	32	36	49	39	32	42	55	38
Lib Dem	<b>10</b>	0	0	100	1	0	39	10	10	15	11	9	8	12	7	8	16	8	7	6
Other	<b>12</b>	0	0	0	4	5	13	13	12	8	11	14	14	12	13	10	11	9	8	41

## Other Parties Voting Intention

UKIP	<b>5</b>	0	0	0	3	1	6	5	5	2	2	5	8	5	5	2	6	5	5	3
SNP / PCY	<b>4</b>	0	0	0	1	2	3	5	2	0	5	4	3	3	4	1	0	1	0	36
Green	<b>2</b>	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	3	4	2	3	1	3	1	3	3	1	2	2
BNP	<b>1</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	0
Respect	<b>0</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Other	<b>0</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

## Non Voters

Would Not Vote	<b>6</b>	0	0	0	1	1	5	5	7	10	8	4	4	4	7	4	7	9	3	2
Don't know	<b>11</b>	0	0	0	8	6	14	7	14	10	9	11	12	11	10	12	10	11	9	14

13-14 Apr  
5-6 May

## Do you approve or disapprove of the Government's record to date?

Approve	31	<b>33</b>	78	5	45	68	7	25	36	29	27	34	29	38	37	26	34	39	33	28	22
Disapprove	55	<b>55</b>	9	90	38	18	86	65	55	55	54	51	61	51	51	60	51	48	52	63	70
Don't know	14	<b>12</b>	13	5	17	14	6	11	9	16	19	15	11	10	12	14	15	13	15	10	8

28-29 Apr  
5-6 May

## Do you think that David Cameron is doing well or badly as Prime Minister?

Very well	7	<b>8</b>	25	0	2	22	1	3	10	7	6	6	8	11	9	8	10	10	9	6	3
Fairly well	38	<b>38</b>	71	12	56	66	13	33	37	38	32	39	34	44	42	32	34	43	39	33	32
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>96</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>35</b>
Fairly badly	26	<b>28</b>	3	42	24	8	42	35	26	29	24	32	30	24	26	30	26	25	24	34	35
Very badly	23	<b>21</b>	0	43	10	2	43	23	24	19	29	16	25	18	18	25	22	16	23	24	27
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>62</b>
Don't know	6	<b>5</b>	1	2	8	2	2	6	3	7	10	7	4	3	4	5	7	6	5	4	3

Fieldwork: 5th - 6th May 2011

	Total	Voting intention			2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					
		Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	626	713	171	645	546	451	999	1057	249	524	703	580	1172	884	263	668	440	506	179	
<b>Unweighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	583	612	200	604	468	518	980	1076	173	488	805	590	1397	659	294	656	424	476	206	
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
<b>Do you think Ed Miliband is doing well or badly as leader of the Labour party?</b>																					
Very well	3	3	0	7	2	0	7	2	3	3	5	2	3	3	2	4	3	2	3	5	1
Fairly well	30	33	14	64	27	14	60	35	34	32	35	34	34	31	30	37	30	29	34	38	38
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>39</b>
Fairly badly	31	32	46	18	36	44	17	33	33	30	25	30	34	33	37	25	36	32	32	29	31
Very badly	18	16	25	3	15	26	6	11	19	13	11	14	16	21	16	16	14	19	14	14	20
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>51</b>
Don't know	18	16	15	8	20	16	9	19	11	21	24	19	14	12	15	18	17	18	18	15	9
<b>Do you think that Nick Clegg is doing well or badly as leader of the Liberal Democrats?</b>																					
Very well	2	3	4	0	14	3	0	5	3	2	1	2	2	4	3	2	4	4	3	1	1
Fairly well	21	18	33	5	44	28	6	22	17	20	10	20	18	20	21	14	12	24	19	16	9
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>10</b>
Fairly badly	29	32	38	28	31	38	28	32	31	32	37	34	28	33	31	33	37	32	28	30	37
Very badly	39	39	16	64	6	22	64	35	45	35	41	33	46	37	39	41	40	32	40	46	47
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>84</b>
Don't know	8	8	9	2	5	8	2	6	4	12	11	11	6	6	6	10	8	8	10	6	6
<b>Do you think the Conservative and Liberal Democrat coalition partners are working together well or badly?</b>																					
Very well	3	3	7	0	8	6	0	3	4	2	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	5	2	2	1
Fairly well	35	35	69	11	60	62	12	32	34	35	26	37	31	41	40	27	32	41	36	29	27
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>28</b>
Fairly badly	30	30	17	37	22	22	37	35	28	32	29	30	31	30	30	30	28	27	31	34	34
Very badly	25	26	3	50	6	7	47	26	31	23	30	23	31	22	22	32	30	22	25	30	35
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>69</b>
Don't know	7	6	4	2	4	3	3	4	3	8	11	7	4	4	4	7	7	6	7	5	3
<b>Do you think this coalition government will be good or bad for people like you?</b>																					
Very good	3	3	8	0	7	7	0	3	4	2	2	2	3	5	3	3	4	4	2	3	1
Fairly good	20	20	46	3	39	39	3	16	21	20	18	19	20	23	23	16	22	25	20	17	14
<b>TOTAL GOOD</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>15</b>
Fairly bad	27	25	18	30	15	21	29	25	25	25	26	28	24	23	26	24	26	23	26	25	29
Very bad	27	29	4	56	9	7	55	29	32	25	35	22	33	26	23	36	28	22	28	36	37
<b>TOTAL BAD</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>66</b>
Won't make much difference either way	19	19	23	9	29	24	9	24	16	21	9	23	18	20	20	16	16	21	19	17	17
Don't know	5	4	2	3	2	2	4	3	2	6	9	5	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	4	1

Fieldwork: 5th - 6th May 2011

	Voting intention			2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	626	713	171	645	546	451	999	1057	249	524	703	580	1172	884	263	668	440	506	179
<b>Unweighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	583	612	200	604	468	518	980	1076	173	488	805	590	1397	659	294	656	424	476	206
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Do you think the coalition government is managing the economy well or badly?

Very well	6	6	17	0	8	14	0	4	8	5	5	4	7	8	7	5	6	8	6	4	3
Fairly well	33	33	68	9	54	61	10	29	34	33	29	35	29	39	38	28	33	37	35	29	27
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>30</b>
Fairly badly	30	30	8	46	17	14	44	32	29	31	24	30	32	30	28	33	25	30	29	34	35
Very badly	23	21	1	42	10	4	41	23	22	20	26	19	24	17	19	24	24	14	21	26	25
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>60</b>
Don't know	9	9	6	3	11	7	5	12	7	11	16	12	7	6	8	11	12	10	9	7	10

In your opinion how good or bad is the state of Britain's economy at the moment?

Very good	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
Quite good	5	4	11	1	2	10	1	2	6	3	6	5	3	5	5	4	3	6	5	3	3
<b>TOTAL GOOD</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3</b>
Neither good nor bad	20	20	28	13	30	26	13	20	21	20	19	23	18	21	21	19	26	19	22	17	17
Quite bad	51	51	46	58	45	47	55	56	49	52	52	46	50	54	50	51	43	55	45	53	53
Very bad	22	22	13	26	20	16	29	20	22	22	16	23	27	18	22	22	22	17	25	25	25
<b>TOTAL BAD</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>78</b>
Don't know	2	2	1	1	2	1	2	3	1	4	6	3	2	1	2	3	5	1	4	2	2

14-15  
Apr 5-6 May

How do you think the financial situation of your household will change over the next 12 months?

Get a lot better	2	1	2	1	4	2	1	2	2	1	3	2	1	1	1	2	0	2	3	0	0
Get a little better	8	9	15	4	12	13	4	8	11	7	13	13	7	5	10	7	9	12	5	7	9
<b>TOTAL BETTER</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>
Stay about the same	28	32	43	27	36	38	28	30	31	32	42	34	25	35	35	27	33	30	38	32	24
Get a little worse	37	37	32	40	32	35	37	41	35	38	25	33	40	41	36	37	33	37	30	40	46
Get a lot worse	21	18	7	24	13	10	24	18	18	18	6	15	24	17	14	23	17	16	19	19	19
<b>TOTAL WORSE</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>65</b>
Don't know	4	4	2	3	2	2	6	2	3	4	12	4	3	1	3	5	8	2	6	3	3

Fieldwork: 5th - 6th May 2011

	Voting intention			2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region						
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	
Weighted Sample	2056	626	713	171	645	546	451	999	1057	249	524	703	580	1172	884	263	668	440	506	179	
Unweighted Sample	2056	583	612	200	604	468	518	980	1076	173	488	805	590	1397	659	294	656	424	476	206	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

28-29  
Apr

5-6 May

How well or badly do you think David Cameron has responded to the situation in Libya?

Very well	7	6	15	1	6	14	0	4	7	5	3	4	6	9	5	7	5	8	6	5	4
Fairly well	37	38	56	29	42	54	27	34	43	32	29	38	36	43	41	33	39	39	39	35	34
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>38</b>
Fairly badly	23	24	12	36	20	14	36	28	24	24	34	20	25	22	24	23	21	21	25	25	31
Very badly	15	12	3	16	10	4	18	12	14	11	8	9	15	13	11	13	14	11	10	12	17
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>48</b>
Don't know	18	21	14	17	21	14	19	23	12	29	26	29	19	13	18	24	21	20	20	24	14

13-14  
Apr

5-6 May

Do you think Britain, France, the US and other countries are right or wrong to take military action in Libya?

Right	41	42	52	41	52	50	38	40	51	33	42	42	40	43	43	39	35	44	40	42	46
Wrong	40	37	32	38	26	33	40	38	35	38	33	31	38	43	37	36	35	36	37	37	42
Don't know	19	22	16	21	22	17	22	23	14	29	26	27	23	14	20	24	31	20	23	21	12

Overall do you think the coalition's military action in Libya is going well or badly?

Very well	2	1	3	1	2	2	1	1	2	0	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	0
Fairly well	38	30	44	24	40	40	23	26	37	23	28	31	29	31	30	29	23	36	25	29	27
<b>TOTAL WELL</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>27</b>
Fairly badly	29	33	27	42	25	27	41	39	33	33	32	25	35	38	34	31	34	29	34	34	40
Very badly	8	8	3	10	6	3	12	7	10	6	7	8	9	8	8	9	8	8	7	8	13
<b>TOTAL BADLY</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>53</b>
Don't know	23	28	24	23	27	28	23	27	18	37	32	35	26	21	26	30	33	26	31	27	20

Turning to this week's referendum on the voting system for electing MPs, how much do you think the standing of each of the following has been improved or damaged by their role in the referendum campaign?  
David Cameron

Improved a lot	5	13	1	1	12	1	1	5	4	1	3	5	7	4	6	5	7	3	4	2
Improved a little	14	29	6	10	26	7	6	17	11	13	13	12	16	15	12	15	16	16	11	7
<b>TOTAL IMPROVED</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>9</b>
Neither improved nor damaged	34	37	32	43	38	31	38	34	33	33	30	34	36	36	30	30	32	34	36	39
Damaged a little	18	9	27	21	8	28	24	18	17	18	16	19	17	19	16	19	16	17	20	20
Damaged a lot	12	1	20	9	3	18	16	13	10	12	11	12	12	10	14	14	11	10	11	18
<b>TOTAL DAMAGED</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>38</b>
Don't know	18	13	14	16	14	16	16	13	24	23	26	16	12	15	22	18	19	20	18	14

Fieldwork: 5th - 6th May 2011

	Voting intention			2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	626	713	171	645	546	451	999	1057	249	524	703	580	1172	884	263	668	440	506	179
<b>Unweighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	583	612	200	604	468	518	980	1076	173	488	805	590	1397	659	294	656	424	476	206
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
<b>Nick Clegg</b>																				
Improved a lot	1	2	1	4	1	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	2	1	2	4	1	1	0	0
Improved a little	6	6	4	20	5	4	11	6	6	8	8	4	5	6	6	5	7	6	4	4
<b>TOTAL IMPROVED</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>
Neither improved nor damaged	21	24	20	32	22	20	23	22	21	22	19	22	21	21	21	16	22	22	21	25
Damaged a little	21	27	20	20	25	21	22	25	18	22	22	20	22	24	17	24	20	20	22	20
Damaged a lot	33	28	42	10	32	41	27	34	31	23	26	39	36	33	32	34	30	32	35	37
<b>TOTAL DAMAGED</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>57</b>
Don't know	18	14	13	15	14	14	16	12	24	24	25	14	13	14	23	17	19	19	18	13
<b>Chris Huhne</b>																				
Improved a lot	2	0	3	5	0	2	5	3	1	2	3	1	2	2	2	4	1	1	2	3
Improved a little	6	4	9	11	4	9	9	8	5	7	5	8	5	7	5	11	8	5	4	4
<b>TOTAL IMPROVED</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>
Neither improved nor damaged	29	29	32	36	27	31	32	31	26	39	28	28	25	30	27	23	29	28	30	31
Damaged a little	11	15	10	8	14	8	12	13	9	5	7	11	17	12	9	9	9	12	13	9
Damaged a lot	13	17	9	7	18	10	8	16	10	7	7	13	20	14	11	16	13	11	12	14
<b>TOTAL DAMAGED</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>23</b>
Don't know	40	35	38	33	36	39	35	29	49	41	50	38	31	35	45	37	40	43	38	39
<b>Ed Miliband</b>																				
Improved a lot	3	1	6	3	1	6	2	3	2	4	3	2	3	3	3	4	3	3	1	1
Improved a little	15	7	27	16	8	24	19	16	15	16	16	17	12	14	17	18	14	14	17	14
<b>TOTAL IMPROVED</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>15</b>
Neither improved nor damaged	39	40	42	48	38	40	43	41	37	39	38	41	37	41	35	36	41	36	40	39
Damaged a little	15	23	9	11	22	10	13	17	13	11	11	13	22	16	13	13	12	17	16	21
Damaged a lot	7	12	1	3	13	3	3	9	5	4	5	8	10	8	6	8	8	6	5	9
<b>TOTAL DAMAGED</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>30</b>
Don't know	21	17	15	20	18	17	20	14	28	26	27	19	16	18	26	21	22	23	21	17
<b>Imagine the NO campaign win the AV referendum. To what extent, if at all, do you think this will destabilise the coalition between the Conservatives and Liberal Democrats?</b>																				
Will destabilise the coalition a lot	16	6	24	12	8	25	18	16	15	15	12	17	17	14	17	16	13	15	15	26
Will destabilise the coalition a little	38	45	42	37	44	39	38	40	36	33	34	40	41	41	34	31	39	36	45	31
<b>TOTAL WILL DESTABILISE</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>57</b>
Will not destabilise the coalition much	23	27	18	32	26	19	23	25	21	26	24	21	23	25	20	28	23	22	18	30
Will not destabilise the coalition at all	5	7	3	7	6	3	7	6	5	2	4	6	6	5	5	6	5	7	4	5
<b>TOTAL WILL NOT DESTABILISE</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>35</b>
Don't know	18	15	13	12	15	14	14	13	24	24	26	16	12	14	24	19	20	21	17	10

Fieldwork: 5th - 6th May 2011

	Voting intention			2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	626	713	171	645	546	451	999	1057	249	524	703	580	1172	884	263	668	440	506	179
<b>Unweighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	583	612	200	604	468	518	980	1076	173	488	805	590	1397	659	294	656	424	476	206
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Imagine the YES campaign win the AV referendum. To what extent, if at all, do you think this will destabilise the coalition between the Conservatives and Liberal Democrats?

Will destabilise the coalition a lot	20	21	22	11	22	22	16	20	19	16	15	21	23	21	18	19	19	19	20	20
Will destabilise the coalition a little	33	37	37	32	35	35	37	37	30	34	30	36	33	36	30	29	34	34	35	31
<b>TOTAL WILL DESTABILISE</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>51</b>
Will not destabilise the coalition much	22	22	21	35	22	21	27	22	22	21	21	20	26	23	21	23	21	19	23	31
Will not destabilise the coalition at all	5	5	3	11	5	4	7	7	4	5	5	7	5	5	5	6	6	3	8	8
<b>TOTAL WILL NOT DESTABILISE</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>39</b>
Don't know	20	15	16	11	16	17	14	14	25	23	29	17	13	16	25	24	20	23	18	11

If there is a vote in favour of retaining the first past the post voting system, do you believe Nick Clegg, who demanded the referendum as part of the deal to form a coalition, should resign as Deputy Prime Minister?

Nick Clegg should resign as Deputy Prime Minister if the NO campaign win	17	11	27	4	13	26	13	20	14	14	13	20	19	14	21	17	17	18	16	22
Nick Clegg should stay as Deputy Prime Minister if the NO campaign win	42	64	25	76	60	24	51	44	40	40	42	38	48	48	34	43	49	39	36	38
Nick Clegg should resign as Deputy Prime Minister regardless of who wins the campaign	20	10	32	8	13	33	18	22	19	24	19	22	18	20	21	17	15	21	28	25
Don't know	20	14	16	12	15	17	18	14	26	22	26	20	15	18	23	23	20	22	19	16

If there is a vote in favour of retaining the first past the post voting system, do you believe Chris Huhne, the most vocal Liberal Democrat supporter of AV in the cabinet, should resign as Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change?

Chris Huhne should resign as Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change if the NO campaign win	15	15	18	5	16	19	10	18	12	10	12	16	17	14	16	13	14	14	14	24
Chris Huhne should stay as Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change if the NO campaign win	34	39	32	66	37	28	49	38	31	39	34	32	36	38	29	35	38	28	34	33
Chris Huhne should resign as Secretary of State for Energy and Climate Change regardless of who wins the campaign	16	18	16	6	19	17	8	19	13	13	11	17	21	18	13	15	15	17	17	16
Don't know	35	28	34	23	28	36	32	26	44	38	43	35	25	30	41	36	32	40	35	27

Fieldwork: 5th - 6th May 2011

	Voting intention			2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	626	713	171	645	546	451	999	1057	249	524	703	580	1172	884	263	668	440	506	179
<b>Unweighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	583	612	200	604	468	518	980	1076	173	488	805	590	1397	659	294	656	424	476	206
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Do you think the referendum campaign has made the coalition more or less likely to last a full five years?

More likely to last the full five years	<b>2</b>	4	1	4	4	1	2	3	2	1	2	3	3	2	3	3	2	3	0	
Less likely to last the full five years	<b>42</b>	35	55	32	38	54	41	44	41	35	37	48	43	44	40	37	40	42	44	53
No difference - the coalition is still likely to last 5 years	<b>26</b>	41	13	41	38	13	29	28	23	15	27	23	32	27	23	26	29	28	18	28
No difference - the coalition was never likely to last 5 years anyway	<b>17</b>	9	23	14	10	23	19	17	18	27	17	16	13	17	17	18	14	15	23	13
Don't know	<b>13</b>	10	8	8	11	9	10	9	17	22	18	9	9	10	16	15	15	12	13	6

Do you believe the Liberal Democrats have got too close to the Conservatives in coalition?

Yes, they have got too close	<b>46</b>	12	76	34	16	75	57	51	41	47	45	49	41	42	50	39	40	43	52	65
No, they have got the balance about right	<b>25</b>	50	6	49	46	7	25	26	24	17	26	21	32	30	19	27	29	26	19	21
No, they should get closer	<b>12</b>	23	6	7	22	5	7	11	12	11	10	12	14	12	11	14	13	12	11	6
Don't know	<b>18</b>	15	12	11	16	13	11	12	23	25	20	18	12	16	20	19	18	19	18	8

Do you believe the Liberal Democrats should now distance themselves from the Conservatives?

Yes, they should leave the coalition altogether	<b>24</b>	5	43	9	7	43	23	30	18	27	23	27	18	21	27	21	19	23	27	33
Yes, they should remain in the coalition but refuse to back policies they oppose	<b>30</b>	12	41	48	13	40	48	28	32	34	30	29	30	30	30	24	27	31	32	39
No, they should remain in the coalition and continue to make the compromises necessary for the coalition to work	<b>33</b>	71	8	36	67	9	21	34	32	19	31	31	43	38	27	35	39	32	29	22
Don't know	<b>13</b>	12	7	7	13	8	8	8	18	20	16	13	9	11	16	19	14	14	12	6

How much do you think each of the coalition parties has gained or lost from being in the coalition?

Conservative Party

Gained a lot	<b>16</b>	13	19	17	11	19	22	18	14	12	15	16	16	17	14	21	16	14	14	15
Gained a little	<b>34</b>	46	27	45	42	27	34	35	33	36	36	32	34	36	32	36	33	37	33	33
<b>TOTAL GAINED</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>48</b>
Lost a little	<b>29</b>	28	36	22	29	35	26	30	28	26	26	31	30	30	28	24	31	26	31	32
Lost a lot	<b>8</b>	3	11	5	5	11	7	9	7	4	8	9	9	7	9	5	6	9	10	12
<b>TOTAL LOST</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>44</b>
Not sure	<b>13</b>	11	6	10	12	8	11	8	18	21	16	11	11	10	17	15	15	14	12	8

Fieldwork: 5th - 6th May 2011

	Voting intention			2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	626	713	171	645	546	451	999	1057	249	524	703	580	1172	884	263	668	440	506	179
<b>Unweighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	583	612	200	604	468	518	980	1076	173	488	805	590	1397	659	294	656	424	476	206
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
<b>Liberal Democrats</b>																				
Gained a lot	8	17	2	11	15	2	5	10	6	5	8	8	9	9	6	6	10	8	6	5
Gained a little	13	22	8	16	21	8	11	13	13	14	16	12	12	14	12	14	16	13	11	7
<b>TOTAL GAINED</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>12</b>
Lost a little	18	26	10	29	22	11	19	16	19	9	18	16	23	20	15	22	20	17	15	11
Lost a lot	50	24	74	37	29	73	57	54	46	53	44	54	48	49	50	44	42	47	57	73
<b>TOTAL LOST</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>84</b>
Not sure	11	11	5	7	12	6	8	8	15	18	14	9	8	8	16	13	12	14	11	4

Some people have suggested that David Cameron will make more concessions to the Liberal Democrats to help them if the AV referendum results in a NO vote. Which of the following better reflects your view?

David Cameron would be better off giving more policy concessions to the Liberal Democrats to help the Liberal Democrats and maintain the coalition	27	17	29	73	17	22	51	30	24	35	31	26	22	29	25	27	33	20	26	28
David Cameron would be better off not giving and more policy concessions to the Liberal Democrats and pursuing more Conservative policies, even if this upsets his partners	24	43	17	4	42	17	10	29	20	13	18	26	33	25	23	26	21	28	24	22
Neither	29	25	37	10	25	43	24	29	29	28	24	32	30	29	29	26	26	31	31	33
Don't know	20	15	17	14	16	17	16	12	27	24	27	17	14	18	23	21	20	21	18	16

On another subject...

Do you the British government is right or wrong to give aid money to Pakistan?

Right	17	15	20	28	13	20	22	19	14	31	21	13	11	20	12	20	18	14	12	30
Wrong	68	75	64	49	77	64	57	68	67	49	57	73	79	65	72	60	66	72	75	53
Don't know	16	10	16	23	10	15	21	12	19	20	23	14	10	16	16	21	16	14	13	17

Turning to President Obama's announcement that US forces have killed Osama bin Laden, do you believe Osama Bin Laden is really dead?

Yes, I do believe he is dead	72	80	69	84	78	73	75	76	69	70	62	74	82	75	69	70	74	71	73	73
No, I suspect he is still alive	14	10	16	8	11	14	10	13	14	17	21	12	8	12	17	14	13	16	13	14
Not sure	14	11	14	8	12	13	15	11	17	13	17	15	10	13	14	16	13	14	14	12



Fieldwork: 5th - 6th May 2011

	Voting intention			2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					
	Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	626	713	171	645	546	451	999	1057	249	524	703	580	1172	884	263	668	440	506	179
<b>Unweighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	583	612	200	604	468	518	980	1076	173	488	805	590	1397	659	294	656	424	476	206
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

There have been calls for the US Government to publish pictures of the dead Osama Bin Laden to provide evidence of his death. However, the US Government has refused, saying the graphic nature of the pictures would create a national security risk.

**Do you think...?**

The US Government should publish the pictures	<b>34</b>	26	39	26	30	36	32	40	28	48	39	33	24	30	39	32	34	34	31	44
The US Government should not publish the pictures	<b>55</b>	66	50	64	62	53	58	52	59	46	48	57	65	60	49	54	57	55	57	47
Don't know	<b>11</b>	8	10	10	8	11	10	9	13	6	13	10	11	10	12	14	9	11	12	9

3-4  
May

5-6 May

**The US has said their forces were told to kill Osama Bin Laden, not to capture him. Was this the right decision?**

Yes, the US forces were right to set out to kill him, even if he offered no resistance	<b>55</b>	<b>52</b>	62	47	41	62	49	39	55	49	49	40	55	60	50	54	48	52	49	57	47
No - they should have taken him alive if they could, and put him on trial	<b>32</b>	<b>39</b>	29	46	50	29	43	51	40	38	45	49	37	30	40	38	38	38	42	35	46
Don't know	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>	9	8	9	8	8	10	5	13	6	10	8	11	10	8	14	9	9	9	6

**Do you believe the US should or should not have made a greater effort to capture Osama Bin Laden alive?**

Should	<b>40</b>	30	48	49	31	43	51	40	40	51	47	39	32	40	41	39	40	41	38	50
Should not	<b>45</b>	55	39	36	55	41	35	49	40	34	34	49	54	44	45	40	46	42	49	39
Don't know	<b>15</b>	14	14	15	14	16	14	10	19	15	19	13	15	16	14	22	14	17	13	11

**Do you think the death of Osama Bin Laden makes the world more safe, less safe or does it make little difference?**

Makes the world more safe	<b>12</b>	14	14	15	12	14	11	15	10	19	11	13	10	12	13	11	14	11	10	16
Makes the world less safe	<b>24</b>	23	25	18	24	21	25	19	28	24	23	23	25	22	26	24	25	20	26	20
Makes little difference	<b>60</b>	61	58	65	62	62	62	64	58	53	62	61	62	63	57	59	58	64	61	61
Don't know	<b>3</b>	2	3	3	2	3	2	2	5	4	4	3	3	3	4	5	2	4	3	3

Fieldwork: 5th - 6th May 2011

	Voting intention			2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	626	713	171	645	546	451	999	1057	249	524	703	580	1172	884	263	668	440	506	179
<b>Unweighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	583	612	200	604	468	518	980	1076	173	488	805	590	1397	659	294	656	424	476	206
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

And thinking specifically about Britain, do you think the death of Osama bin Laden has made a terrorist attack in Britain more likely, less likely or has it made no difference?

More likely	<b>55</b>	52	57	49	53	56	56	50	60	55	50	55	59	54	56	52	56	54	54	58
Less likely	<b>3</b>	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	2	7	3	3	2	3	3	3	2	4	3	2
No difference	<b>37</b>	40	35	46	39	37	36	42	32	31	40	38	36	39	34	37	37	37	38	34
Don't know	<b>5</b>	5	4	1	5	4	4	4	6	8	7	5	3	4	7	7	5	5	4	5

How much do you trust the Pakistani government to deal with terrorists inside Pakistan?

Trust a lot	<b>0</b>	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Trust a little	<b>7</b>	5	10	12	4	11	9	8	7	9	8	7	7	8	6	9	8	6	7	7
<b>TOTAL TRUST</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>7</b>
Do not trust much	<b>38</b>	41	37	44	39	38	39	38	38	35	40	37	38	40	35	35	38	37	41	35
Do not trust at all	<b>46</b>	49	44	33	52	44	40	49	43	41	39	48	51	44	49	48	43	49	44	52
<b>TOTAL NO TRUST</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>87</b>
Don't know	<b>9</b>	5	8	11	4	7	12	5	12	13	13	7	4	8	10	8	11	8	8	6

Now a different subject, there have been improvements in water quality and the cleanliness of our rivers and beaches. How much credit do you think the water companies deserve for the cleaner rivers and beaches?

They have done a lot and deserve a lot of credit	<b>7</b>	7	8	6	7	6	6	9	5	3	6	8	8	7	7	6	7	5	9	4
They have helped, and deserve some credit	<b>34</b>	38	35	37	36	34	38	35	34	35	28	34	39	35	32	21	36	30	39	43
They have done a little, but could do more	<b>32</b>	33	32	24	34	35	27	31	33	22	29	34	37	31	34	34	31	36	30	29
They have done practically nothing and should do a lot more	<b>8</b>	5	8	11	6	8	8	9	6	6	7	9	7	8	7	8	7	9	8	4
Don't know	<b>20</b>	17	17	22	17	17	20	17	22	34	30	15	10	19	20	30	18	20	15	20

Do you believe water companies should be required to publish all the information on spillages of raw sewage, wherever they occur?

Yes, they should always provide this information	<b>86</b>	86	87	88	86	86	89	87	84	78	81	88	90	86	86	85	85	87	84	89
No, unless there is a clear risk to public health	<b>10</b>	12	10	10	12	10	8	9	12	13	11	10	9	11	10	9	11	10	12	9
Don't know	<b>4</b>	2	3	3	2	5	3	4	4	9	7	2	0	4	4	7	4	3	4	2

Fieldwork: 5th - 6th May 2011

	Voting intention			2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	
<b>Weighted Sample</b> 2056	626	713	171	645	546	451	999	1057	249	524	703	580	1172	884	263	668	440	506	179	
<b>Unweighted Sample</b> 2056	583	612	200	604	468	518	980	1076	173	488	805	590	1397	659	294	656	424	476	206	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

**Do you believe they should alert bathers as soon as there is a spill of sewage near a beach, even if the water company believes there is no danger to public health?**

Yes, they should	<b>89</b>	89	90	90	88	90	90	88	89	77	81	92	96	89	89	87	87	91	88	95
No, they should not have to do so	<b>7</b>	9	7	8	9	7	5	8	6	13	12	5	3	7	7	6	9	5	8	4
Don't know	<b>4</b>	2	3	1	3	3	5	4	5	9	7	3	1	4	5	7	5	4	4	2

**Do you believe bathers should be informed when raw sewage is let out into bathing waters?**

Yes, they should - bathers have a right to this information	<b>94</b>	95	94	95	94	94	95	93	94	86	92	95	98	94	94	93	95	94	92	98
Not necessarily - people should already be aware of the risks of this sort of thing	<b>3</b>	4	4	2	3	4	2	4	3	6	4	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	5	1
Don't know	<b>3</b>	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	3	8	4	2	0	3	3	4	3	4	3	1

**Water companies are still allowed to pump raw sewage into waterways when there is a heavy downpour that overwhelms their sewage system. Do you believe the water companies have done enough to upgrade our sewage system to avoid this?**

Yes, they probably have done enough - it is not worth making water more expensive, simply to cope with very rare event	<b>16</b>	19	16	17	20	15	15	17	15	21	17	16	13	15	17	13	18	16	16	12
No, they probably have not done enough - their systems should be upgraded, even if this means dearer water for consumers	<b>64</b>	64	64	61	65	64	64	66	61	45	58	66	73	64	63	64	64	63	63	68
Not sure	<b>20</b>	17	19	22	15	20	22	17	24	34	25	18	14	21	20	23	18	21	21	20

**Water companies claim they don't come under freedom of information laws which prevents the public from obtaining more detailed information about spillages. Do you believe they should be brought under freedom of information laws on issues such as this?**

Yes, they should: they provide a public service	<b>85</b>	86	87	85	86	88	88	86	84	74	80	87	93	86	83	80	86	83	87	92
No, they should not: it would be a dangerous step to apply freedom of information laws to private companies	<b>5</b>	7	5	6	6	5	4	7	4	6	6	5	4	6	5	7	6	7	4	3
Don't know	<b>10</b>	7	8	9	8	7	9	7	12	20	14	8	3	8	12	13	9	10	9	5

Fieldwork: 5th - 6th May 2011

	Voting intention			2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	
<b>Weighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	626	713	171	645	546	451	999	1057	249	524	703	580	1172	884	263	668	440	506	179
<b>Unweighted Sample</b>	<b>2056</b>	583	612	200	604	468	518	980	1076	173	488	805	590	1397	659	294	656	424	476	206
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

Finally, some questions about soccer...

How interested are you in international soccer matches played by your country?

Very interested	22	22	26	17	22	27	18	32	12	24	26	22	19	22	23	23	20	20	22	33
Fairly interested	24	25	26	26	25	27	25	28	21	18	27	26	22	24	25	24	25	23	26	21
<b>TOTAL INTERESTED</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>54</b>
Not very interested	16	16	17	13	15	16	17	14	18	15	16	16	17	18	13	22	14	14	19	12
Not at all interested	36	36	30	44	38	29	39	25	47	38	31	36	42	35	38	28	40	41	33	34
<b>TOTAL NOT INTERESTED</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>46</b>
Don't know	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	2	5	1	1	0	1	1	3	1	1	1	1

The following questions were shown only to respondents who are very or fairly interested in international soccer matches played by their country  
n=883

As you probably know, The world soccer organisation FIFA has decided to hold the 2018 World Cup in Moscow and the 2022 World Cup in Qatar. Do you believe the way in which the World Cup venues were decided by Fifa for 2018 and 2022 was generally fair or un

Fair	10	6	16	2	5	13	12	12	7	26	13	7	4	8	13	8	9	4	7	40
Unfair	74	78	70	78	80	70	76	74	73	62	59	81	85	78	68	71	77	78	80	44
Don't know	16	17	14	20	15	17	12	13	20	12	27	11	11	14	19	21	15	18	13	16

From what you have seen or read, do you believe that executive members of Fifa either were prepared to or did take bribes from bidding countries in return for giving them their votes?

Yes, I believe one or more executive members actually did take bribes	54	55	51	56	57	50	52	58	46	43	44	58	64	52	56	62	55	53	55	34
Yes, I believe one or more were prepared to take bribes but I'm not sure whether the bribes actually happened	36	38	37	28	36	38	38	34	39	46	39	35	30	40	32	21	39	39	33	50
No, I believe the members of the executive are honest and not open to bribery	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	5	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	3
Not sure	9	5	10	14	5	11	9	6	13	7	15	6	5	7	11	14	5	7	10	14

Fieldwork: 5th - 6th May 2011

	Voting intention			2010 Vote			Gender		Age				Social grade		Region					
Total	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Male	Female	18-24	25-39	40-59	60+	ABC1	C2DE	London	Rest of South	Midlands / Wales	North	Scotland	
<b>Weighted Sample</b> 2056	626	713	171	645	546	451	999	1057	249	524	703	580	1172	884	263	668	440	506	179	
<b>Unweighted Sample</b> 2056	583	612	200	604	468	518	980	1076	173	488	805	590	1397	659	294	656	424	476	206	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%

**At present it remains secret which countries Fifa executive members have voted for. Do you think that the public should or should not be able to see which bids members of FIFAs executive committee voted for?**

Should be able to see which bids members of FIFAs executive committee voted for	<b>79</b>	76	79	86	79	79	83	81	76	74	66	84	91	80	78	74	84	73	82	79
Should NOT be able to see which bids members of FIFAs executive committee voted for	<b>13</b>	14	14	11	12	12	14	12	15	24	21	9	6	14	12	14	10	17	11	18
Don't know	<b>8</b>	11	7	4	8	9	3	6	9	3	14	7	3	6	10	11	6	10	7	3

**If it is found that there has been corruption in the process of awarding either the 2018 or 2022 World Cup, do you think the decision should be left to stage the games in Moscow and Qatar, or should the selection process be rerun?**

Decisions should stand	<b>19</b>	19	19	23	18	21	23	21	16	23	27	14	16	21	18	17	17	18	17	38
Selection process should be rerun	<b>73</b>	76	69	72	77	67	70	72	74	70	61	79	78	72	74	71	77	74	74	57
Don't know	<b>8</b>	5	12	5	5	12	7	7	10	7	12	6	5	8	8	12	6	7	9	5

**Which of these views about Sepp Blatter, the current president of Fifa, comes nearer to yours?**

He has served the sport well, and should continue	<b>4</b>	5	5	2	5	3	4	5	3	8	5	2	3	3	5	6	5	3	2	5
He has refused to reform FIFA and should step down	<b>77</b>	75	76	78	78	74	82	85	64	70	63	82	88	80	73	73	76	80	77	78
Don't know	<b>19</b>	21	19	20	17	23	14	11	34	23	32	15	9	17	22	21	19	17	21	17

## YouGov Weighting Data

In addition to weighting by age, gender, social class and region (weighted and unweighted figures shown in the tables), YouGov also weighted its raw data by newspaper readership and political party identification:

	Unweighted no.	Weighted no.
<b>Age and Gender</b>		
Male 18 to 24	64	127
Male 25 to 39	205	263
Male 40 to 54	393	347
Male 55 +	318	261
Female 18 to 24	109	121
Female 25 to 39	283	261
Female 40 to 54	412	356
Female 55 +	272	319
<b>Region</b>		
North England	476	506
Midlands	326	337
East England	205	197
London	294	263
South England	451	471
Wales	98	103
Scotland	206	179
<b>Social Grade</b>		
AB	800	576
C1	597	596
C2	259	432
DE	400	452
<b>Newspaper Type</b>		
Express / Mail	408	308
Sun / Star	230	442
Mirror / Record	116	319
Guardian / Independent	178	62
FT / Times / Telegraph	209	175
Other Paper	238	236
No Paper	677	514
<b>Political Party Identification</b>		
Labour	569	668
Conservative	521	586
Liberal Democrat	268	247
Others	157	62
None/ DK	541	493